www.ijhsr.org

Original Research Article

Prevalence and Predictors of Diabetic Retinopathy in the Population of Punjab: North Indian Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetic **Study (Ni-Dreams)**

Kanchan Mehta¹, Ritu Sharma¹, Jaswinder Singh Bhatti², Sarabajit Mastana³, Monica Singh¹, Puneetpal Singh¹

¹Department of Human Genetics, Punjabi University, Patiala ²Department of Biotechnology, Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Chandigarh ³Human Genomics Lab, SSEHS, Loughborough University, Leicestershire LE11 3TU, UK

Corresponding Author: Puneetpal Singh

ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetic retinopathy (DR), an imperative sequel is posing huge threat of blindness because of substantial prevalence of diabetes in the population of Punjab. In depth understanding of its risk factors is relatively unexplored in this region.

Objective: To identify the prevalence and risk predictors of diabetic retinopathy in the population of Punjab.

Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted in 562 type 2 diabetic subjects of age ≥45 years. Consenting subjects were tested with dilated pupil fundoscopy and categorised into non proliferative (NPDR) and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) according to modified Airlie House Classification.

Results: Univariate regression analysis revealed advancing age (≥55 years), duration of diabetes (DOD) >10 years, systolic (>120mmHg), diastolic blood pressure (>80mmHG), smoking, sedentary life style, total cholesterol (>200mg/dl), low density lipoproteins (LDL) cholesterol (>150mg/dl) and high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (< 40 mg/dl) as risk factors. In multivariable logistic regression analysis, age>65 years, DOD>10 years, SBP>120mmHg, DBP>80mmHg, total cholesterol >200mg/dl, sedentary life style and smoking were observed to be substantial risk variables influencing independently the risk of DR in the population of Punjab.

Conclusion: Higher prevalence of NPDR (33.98%) and PDR (31.50%) existed in the population of Punjab, which is regulated by advancing age (>65 years), DOD>10 years, SBP>120mmHg, DBP>80mmHg, total cholesterol >200mg/dl, sedentary life style and cigarette smoking. Key Words: Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy, Risk variables, Predictors, NPDR, PDR

INTRODUCTION

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a complication of retina of the eye that results in visual impairment or blindness and is largely influenced due to long term effects of uncontrolled hyperglycemia. relatively unnoticed micro-vascular complication in developing countries. especially India, where largest number of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients

are living. [1] Only few studies from south and central India have investigated and revealed its prevalence ranging from 7.3 to 65 percent [2-8] with high rural-urban heterogeneity [6] and decadal increase by 2 percent. [7] If its prevalence remains is estimated unaltered, then it approximately 7 lakh Indians will have proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) and 18 lakh Indians will have severe clinical

ISSN: 2249-9571

macular oedema. ^[8] In order to understand in depth causes and consequences of diabetic retinopathy, several risk factors have been identified and observed so far. ^[9,10] However, contrasting regional variations of the prevalence of DR exist in India similar to the prevalences of other diseases like cardiovascular disorders, ^[11] diabetes ^[12] and adult celiac disease. ^[13]

Risk surveillance for diabetic retinopathy and their effective management have helped in markedly reducing the risk of visual acuity and blindness in developed countries. [14] Consequently, epidemiological and public health studies, which address and identify regional trends occurring risks and reasons have special role in health care and its cost effective prevention. The current cross sectional study has examined the prevalence and predictors of diabetic retinopathy in the population of Punjab, which will help for the better management and welfare of such subjects.

Assessment and Definition of risk variables

Information regarding demographic variables such as age, education status, marital status, tobacco smoking and alcohol drinking was collected by detailed interview with the subjects. Fasting blood sample was drawn by trained paramedic staff and sent to pathology laboratory for biochemistry tests such as total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglyceride levels, high density lipoproteins and glucose levels. Duration of diabetes, medication use, selfreported diseases and previous medical history were recorded from the medical records of the subjects. Socioeconomic status was examined by using an updated version of Kuppuswamy and Pareekh scale and classified the subjects according to per capita per month income in Indian rupees (≤ 10, 000; low income group, 10,000 to 50,000; middle income group and >50,000; high income group). BMI was determined by using Quetelet's equation i.e. BMI= Weight in Kilograms/ Height in meters squared. Physical activity was assessed; whether the subject did aerobic exercise/walked for at least half an hour. Subjects doing it daily were considered to be physically active, otherwise sedentary. For blood pressure determination, two individual tests were conducted and noted down after an interval of three minutes in sitting relaxed position. The mean of these two tests were taken as final value of systolic or diastolic blood pressure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present cross sectional study was conducted from January, 2013 to March, 2018 on type 2 diabetic mellitus (T2DM) subjects attending ophthalmology outpatient departments (OPDs) of G.S Randhawa Eve Hospital and Lasik Centre, Patiala, Rajindra Government Medical College and Hospital (GMCH), Patiala, J.P Hospital, Zirakpur, Dhami Eye Hospital, Ludhiana and Kalia Eye Hospital, Ferozepur. These hospitals provide specialized state of the art facilities to referral cases. A total of 1049 subjects were screened being diagnosed as according to the diagnostic criteria given by American Diabetes Association (ADA, 2012). [15] Out of these, 487 subjects were excluded depending upon the exclusion criteria as shown in Figure 1. Finally, 562 subjects were qualified for participation in the study. All these eligible participants examined with dilated fundoscopy and/or fluorescein angiography. Subjects having diabetic retinopathy were confirmed if they had any pronounced lesion comprising microaneurysms, cotton wool spot, hemorrhage, microvasculature derangement, exudates, bleeding from retina neovascularization. These categorized on the basis of modified Airlie house classification, [16] whereby level < 10, levels upto 53 and levels>60 were considered as no retinopathy, NPDR and PDR respectively. After confirmation, 191 T2DM patients had NPDR, 177 subjects had PDR and 194 subjects were diagnosed negative for diabetic retinopathy. All the subjects were examined for cognitive function by using the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), a 30 point test given by Folstein *et al.*(1975). ^[17] It identifies memory, language use, arithmetic and orientation (basic motor skills) of the subjects at a given point of time. It is a validated tool to access cognitive decline and impairment. Score of <23 were confirmed to have 81.3 percent sensitivity and 62 percent specificity. ^[18] All the participants gave their written consent. The study protocol was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee and strictly adhered to Helsinki Declaration.

Statistical analysis

Data values are given as absolute numbers, mean±SD and percentages in the parenthesis. Descriptive statistics between groups was calculated with chi-square for categorical and student's *t*-test for continuous variables. A linear regression analysis was done (JLM procedure) to examine the association between risk variables and diabetic retinopathy (dependent variable). All those variables which showed linear relationship (P<0.05) with the dependent variable were further included multivariable (backward in stepwise) regression analysis to identify the independent predictors. Insignificant variables were excluded to avoid any noise in the data and collinearity diagnostic was done to determine variance inflation factor (VIF). The significance was checked at 5 percent level however, Bonferroni correction was applied for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS

Current study comprised of 562 T2DM subjects of Punjab whereby, 321 (57.12%) were men and 241 (42.88%) were women. Point prevalence of NPDR and PDR in T2DM patients of Punjab aged 45 years and more appeared to be 33.98 percent and 31.50 percent respectively. In the age range of 55-64 years, lesser number of subjects (32.77%) had PDR in comparison to NPDR (36.13%), however, in subjects with ≥65 years of age, this trend reversed

significantly and more number of subjects (38.98%) had PDR than NPDR (33.80%). Similarly subjects having ≤ 10 years of diabetes were more susceptible to NPDR (60.21%) than PDR (33.90%) however, when DOD exceeded 10 years, higher number of subjects (66.10%) had PDR than less severe form of NPDR (39.79%). Almost 34 percent of the subjects were current smokers, where as 44 percent were non-smokers and 22 percent were exsmokers. Higher number of those subjects had PDR (46.33%) in comparison to NPDR (41.36%) who did not drink alcohol whereas; drinkers had lesser propensity of PDR (27.68%) than their NPDR (31.94%) counterparts (Table 1).

Analysis of the univariate (GLM) full factorial model (Table 2) revealed that diabetic subjects with ≥65 years of age were at 2.5 times higher risk of developing PDR (OR 2.52, 95% CI:1.49-4.27, P<0.001), however, this age did not influence the risk of NPDR (P<0.05). Some variables such as gender, education level, socio-economic status, alcohol drinking, low lipoprotein, place of residence neurocognition did not impact the risk of diabetic retinopathy. Duration diabetes>10 years significantly influenced the risk of both NPDR (OR 1.64, 95% CI: 1.09-2.47, P=0.02) and PDR (OR 1.65, 95% CI: 1.08-2.51, P=0.02). Blood pressure and smoking were found to be significant risk factors whereby, SBP, DBP, smoking and ex-smoking influenced the risk of NPDR and PDR considerably (P<0.01). Subjects having BMI of 23-29.99 kg.m $^{-2}$ and \geq 30 kg.m⁻²were at 1.69 to 4.75 times risk of developing NPDR and PDR (P<0.05). Other risk factors that influenced the risk of diabetic retinopathy were total cholesterol>200 mg/dl, triglycerides >150 mg/dl, high density lipoprotein <40 mg/dl (P<0.01). All these univariate risk factors were analysed by multivariable logistic regression analysis (backward stepwise) to discern those variables which independently influenced the risk of NPDR and PDR.

			istics of study participants			
Characteristics	Diabetic Retinopathy subjects (n=368)		Controls	Total	P value	
			(n=194)	(n=562)		
Variables	Subjects with	Subjects with	Diabetic Subjects without		NPDR	PDR
	NPDR (n=191)	PDR (n=177)	retinopathy (DWR)		versus	versus
ACE			(n=194)		DWR	DWR
AGE	59 (20 27)	50(29.25)	75/29 (6)	192(22.50)	1	1
45-54 years 55-64 years	58 (30.37) 69 (36.13)	50(28.25) 58(32.77)	75(38.66) 78(40.21)	183(32.56) 205(36.48)		
≥65 years	64 (33.50)	69(38.98)	41(21.13)	174(30.96)	0.02	< 0.001
Mean Age (years)	56.27±8.76	60.07±11.25	63.96±11.98	59.91±11.63	< 0.001	0.003
GENDER	J0.27±6.70	00.07±11.23	03.90±11.98	39.91±11.03	<0.001	0.003
Men	112(58.64)	101(57.06)	108(55.67)	321(57.18)		
Women	79(41.36)	76(42.94)	86(44.33)	241(42.88)	0.56	0.79
DURATION OF DIABETES	79(41.30)	70(42.94)	80(44.33)	241(42.88)	0.50	0.79
	65(34.03)	60(22.00)	90(45.99)	214(29.09)		
≤10 years >10 years	126(65.97)	60(33.90) 117(66.10)	89(45.88) 105(54.12)	214(38.08) 348(61.92)	0.02	0.02
GLUCOSE LEVELS (mg/dl)	138.76±36.65	145.80±37.60	130.81±31.20	128.10±31.20	0.02	0.02
BLOOD PRESSURE (mmHg)	136.70±30.03	143.60±37.00	130.81±31.20	126.10±31.20	0.033	0.001
Systolic Systolic	132.5±15.47	137.0±12.81	129.4±12.34	127.80±11.64	0.046	< 0.001
Diastolic	81.16±12.91	85.20±11.51	84.81±11.17	83.68±11.32	0.006	0.008
EDUCATION LEVEL	01.10±12.91	63.20±11.31	64.61±11.17	63.06±11.32	0.000	0.008
Matriculation LEVEL	70(36.65)	85(48.02)	82(42.27)	237(42.17)		
Secondary	54(28.27)	39(22.03)	53(27.32)	146(25.98)		
Graduation and above	67(35.08)	53(29.94)	59(30.41)	179(31.85)	0.48	0.42
SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS	. ,	33(29.94)	39(30.41)	179(31.03)	0.40	0.42
High Income	59(30.89)	53(29.94)	54(27.83)	166(29.54)	1	1
Middle Income	72(37.70)	67(37.85)	73(37.63)	212(37.72)		
Low Income	60(31.41)	57(32.20)	67(34.54)	184(32.74)	0.74	0.86
SMOKING STATUS	00(31.41)	37(32.20)	07(34.34)	104(32.74)	0.74	0.00
Non-Smokers	63(32.98)	70(39.55)	115(59.28)	248(44.13)		
Smokers	92(48.17)	53(29.94)	47(24.23)	192(34.16)		
Ex-Smokers	36(18.85)	54(30.51)	32(16.49)	122(21.71)	< 0.001	< 0.001
ALCOHOL DRINKING	30(16.63)	34(30.31)	32(10.49)	122(21.71)	(0.001	(0.001
Non-Drinkers	79(41.36)	82(46.33)	98(50.51)	259(46.09)		
Drinkers	61(31.94)	49(27.68)	60(30.93)	170(30.25)	0.09	0.23
Ex-Drinkers	51(26.70)	46(25.99)	36(18.56)	133(23.66)	0.07	0.23
BODY MASS INDEX (kg.m ⁻²)	26.42±4.01	26.91±4.91	25.18±3.98	25.42±3.62	0.005	< 0.001
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY	20.42±4.01	20.71±4.71	23.10±3.70	23.42±3.02	0.003	<0.001
Active	81(42.41)	75(42.37)	117(60.31)	273(48.58)		
Sedentary	110(57.59)	102(57.63)	77(39.69)	289(51.42)	< 0.001	< 0.001
LIPID LEVELS (mg/dl)	110(37.37)	102(37.03)	, , (37.07)	207(31.72)	\0.001	\0.001
Total cholesterol	214.28±35.70	216.58±41.20	209.8±17.23	212.6±20.9	0.14	0.054
Low density lipoproteins	149.2±36.4	154.6±38.9	140±46.5	147.8±39.9	0.048	0.003
Triglycerides	172.10±37.1	196.0±52.1	176.1±45.0	188.3±51.2	0.38	0.003
High density lipoproteins	46.2±3.9	47.8±4.2	45.8±3.6	46.4±5.2	0.002	< 0.001
PLACE OF RESIDENCE	10.2±3.7	77.0±4.2	15.0±5.0	10.T±J.2	0.002	₹0.001
Rural	110(57.59)	99(55.93)	114(58.76)	323(57.47)		
Urban	81(42.41)	78(44.07)	80(41.24)	239(42.53)	0.81	0.58
COGNITION (MMSE Score)	01(72.71)	70(44.07)	00(71.27)	237(72.33)	3.01	3.50
Normal cognition (>23)	106(55.50)	102(57.63)	113(58.25)	321(57.12)		1
Impaired cognition (<23)	85(44.50)	75(42.37)	81(41.75)	241(42.88)	0.59	0.90
MMSE Score(mean ± SD)	24.76±6.68	22.81±7.14	23.63±4.61	23.68±3.28	0.054	0.90
` '			thesis P values were calculated b			

Values are either mean \pm SD or numbers with percentages in the parenthesis. P values were calculated by chi square analysis for categorical variables and t-test for continuous variables. NPDR: Non proliferative diabetic retinopathy, PDR: Proliferative diabetic retinopathy. MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination.

Table 2. Disease association analysis for the risk of diabetic retinopathy							
Characteristics	Diabetic Retinopathy Subjects (n=368)		Controls (n=194)	Disease association analysis			
	Subjects with NPDR (n=191)	Subjects with PDR (n=177)	Diabetic Subjects without retinopathy (n=194)	OR (95% CI), P value†	OR (95% CI), P value‡		
AGE							
45-54 years	58 (30.37)	50(28.25)	75(38.66)	Referent	Referent		
55-64 years	69 (36.13)	58(32.77)	78(40.21)	1.14(0.71-1.83), 0.58	1.11(0.68-1.83), 0.66		
≥65 years	64 (33.50)	69(38.98)	41(21.13)	1.62(0.98-2.68), 0.059	2.52(1.49-4.27), < 0.001		
GENDER							
Men	112(58.64)	101(57.06)	108(55.67)	Referent	Referent		
Women	79(41.36)	76(42.94)	86(44.33)	0.88(0.59-1.33), 0.56	0.94(0.63-1.42), 0.79		

Table 2 to be continued							
DURATION OF DIABETES							
≤10 years	65(34.03)	60(33.90)	89(45.88)	Referent	Referent		
>10 years	126(65.97)	117(66.10)	105(54.12)	1.64(1.09-2.47), 0.02	1.65(1.08-2.51), 0.02		
BLOOD PRESSURE (mmHg):SBP							
≤120	82(42.93)	57(32.20)	135(69.59)	Referent	Referent		
>120	109(57.07)	120(67.80)	59(30.41)	3.04(2.00-4.62), < 0.001	4.82(3.10-7.47), <0.001		
BLOOD PRESS	URE (mmHg):DBP						
≤80	69(36.13)	52(29.38)	143(73.71)	Referent	Referent		
>80	122(63.87)	125(70.62)	51(26.29)	4.96(3.21-7.66), <0.001	6.74(4.28-10.62), <0.001		
EDUCATION L							
Matriculation	70(36.65)	85(48.02)	82(42.27)	Referent	Referent		
Secondary	54(28.27)	39(22.03)	53(27.32)	1.19(0.73-1.96), 0.48	0.71(0.42-1.18), 0.19		
Graduation and above	67(35.08)	53(29.94)	59(30.41)	1.33(0.83-2.14), 0.24	0.87(0.54-1.40), 0.56		
SOCIO-ECONO	SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS						
High Income	59(30.89)	53(29.94)	54(27.83)	Referent	Referent		
Middle Income	72(37.70)	67(37.85)	73(37.63)	0.90(0.55-1.48), 0.68	0.93(0.56-1.55), 0.79		
Low Income	60(31.41)	57(32.20)	67(34.54)	0.82(0.49-1.36), 0.44	0.87(0.51-1.45), 0.59		
SMOKING STA	TUS						
Non-Smokers	63(32.98)	70(39.55)	115(59.28)	Referent	Referent		
Smokers	92(48.17)	53(29.94)	47(24.23)	3.57(2.24-5.69), < 0.001	1.85(1.13-3.03), 0.01		
Ex-Smokers	36(18.85)	54(30.51)	32(16.49)	2.05(1.16-3.62), 0.01	2.77(1.63-4.70), < 0.001		
ALCOHOL DRI	NKING						
Non-Drinkers	79(41.36)	82(46.33)	98(50.51)	Referent	Referent		
Drinkers	61(31.94)	49(27.68)	60(30.93)	1.38(0.88-2.18), 0.16	0.98(0.60-1.57), 0.92		
Ex-Drinkers	51(26.70)	46(25.99)	36(18.56)	1.55(0.91-2.63), 0.10	1.53(0.90-2.58), 0.11		
BODY MASS IN							
<23	53(27.75)	37(20.90)	87(44.85)	Referent	Referent		
23-29.99	65(34.03)	51(28.81)	63(32.47)	1.69(1.04-2.75), 0.03	1.90(1.12-3.24), 0.02		
≥30	73(38.22)	89(50.28)	44(22.68)	2.72(1.64-4.52), < 0.001	4.75(2.81-8.06), < 0.001		
PHYSICAL ACT							
Active	81(42.41)	75(42.37)	117(60.31)	Referent	Referent		
Sedentary	110(57.59)	102(57.63)	77(39.69)	2.06(1.37-3.09), < 0.001	2.07(1.36-3.12), <0.001		
LIPID LEVELS	(mg/dl)						
Total cholesterol							
≤200	84(43.98)	48(27.12)	112(57.73)	Referent	Referent		
>200	107(56.02)	129(72.88)	82(42.27)	1.74(1.66-2.60), 0.007	3.67(2.37-5.68), <0.001		
Low density lipo	. ,	125 (72.00)	02(12127)	117 1(1100 2100); 01007	5.07(2.07 5.00); 10.001		
≤100	86(45.03)	97(54.80)	102(52.58)	Referent	Referent		
>100	105(54.97)	80(45.20)	92(47.42)	1.35(0.91-2.02), 0.14	0.91(0.61-1.38), 0.67		
Triglycerides	105(51.57)	00(13.20)	72(17.12)	1.55(0.51 2.02); 0.11	0.91(0.01 1.30); 0.07		
≤150	93(48.69)	58(32.77)	127(65.46)	Referent	Referent		
>150	98(51.31)	119(67.23)	67(34.54)	1.99(1.32-3.01), <0.001	3.89(2.53-5.99), <0.001		
High density lipo		()	2. (= ··= ·)		1 (= 2), 10.001		
≥40	88(46.07)	79(44.63)	117(60.31)	Referent	Referent		
<40	103(53.93)	98(55.37)	77(39.69)	1.78(1.19-2.66), 0.005	1.88(1.24-2.85), 0.003		
PLACE OF RESIDENCE							
Urban	81(42.41)	78(44.07)	80(41.24)	Referent	Referent		
Rural	110(57.59)	99(55.93)	114(58.76)	0.95(0.63-1.43), 0.81	0.89(0.59-1.34), 0.58		
COGNITION (M		1 - 1 (00.20)	((00.70)	1,, 0.01	1 2.22 (0.03 2.0 1), 0.00		
Normal (<23)	106(55.50)	102(57.63)	113(58.25)	Referent	Referent		
Impaired (≥ 23)	85(44.50)	75(42.37)	81(41.75)	1.12(0.74-1.67), 0.58	1.02(0.68-1.55), 0.90		
			, ,	thy. †Comparison between sub			

†Comparison between subjects with NPDR and diabetic subjects without retinopathy. ‡Comparison between subjects with PDR and diabetic subjects without retinopathy. NPDR: Non proliferative diabetic retinopathy, PDR: Proliferative diabetic retinopathy, OR: Odds ratio, SBP: Systolic blood pressure, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination.

Collinearity statistics was done to determine variance inflation factor which revealed (VIF=2.20) that there was no interaction between independent variables and the model was free from multicollinearity. In the multivariable regression analysis (Table 3), DOD \geq 10 years showed independent effect that added 2.02 fold risk (OR 2.02 95% CI:1.06-4.73, P=0.036) of developing NPDR. Tobacco smoking appeared to be the most risky independent predictor (OR 13.08 P<0.001). 95%CI:4.77-35.86, Other variables that showed significant risk for NPDR were TC>200mg/dl (OR 1.74 95%CI: 1.03-2.49, P=0.034),

SBP>80mmHg (OR 2.45 95%CI: 1.17-5.12, P=0.009), DBP>120mmHg (OR 2.11 95%CI:1.32-4.92, P=0.22) and sedentary life style (OR 3.71 95%CI:1.52-9.31, P=0.001). Risk variables that independently influenced the risk of PDR were observed to be Age≥65 years (OR 3.92 95%CI: 2.65-6.10, P<0.001), DOD≥10years (OR 2.32 95%CI:1.06-5.07, P=0.025), TC>200mg/dl (OR 4.44 95%CI:2.52-7.45, P<0.001),

SBP>80mmHG (OR 2.35 95%CI:1.37-5.89, P=0.005) and sedentary life style (OR 5.34 95%CI:2.25-8.29, P<0.001). The smokers were at 14.12 times higher risk (OR 14.12 95%CI:5.36-37.19, P<0.001) of developing PDR than those who did not smoke. Age≥65 years appeared to be insignificant (P>0.05) factor whereas DBP>120mmHg lost its significance in this mode.

Table 3. Multivariable backward retinopathy.	l stepwise regression ana	lysis to determine	factors independently	associated with diabetic
Non proliferative diabetic retinopathy	/			
Variables	$\beta \pm SE$	OR	95% CI	P
Age \geq 65 years	0.52±0.22	1.74	1.03-2.49	0.034
DOD ≥10 years	0.83±0.40	2.02	1.06-4.73	0.036
SBP > 80mmHg	0.92±0.39	2.45	1.17-5.12	0.009
DBP > 120mmHg	0.89±0.26	2.11	1.32-4.92	0.022
Sedentary life style	1.43±0.21	3.71	1.52-9.31	0.001
Smoking	2.57±0.14	13.08	4.77-35.86	< 0.001
Proliferative diabetic retinopathy	·			
Variables	$\beta \pm SE$	OR	95% CI	P
Age \geq 65 years	1.43±0.33	3.92	2.65-6.10	< 0.001
DOD ≥10 years	0.84±0.44	2.32	1.13-5.07	0.025
TC >200mg/dl	1.52±0.28	4.44	2.52-7.45	< 0.001
SBP > 80mmHg	0.93±0.21	2.35	1.37-5.89	0.005
Sedentary life style	1.89±0.24	5.34	2.25-8.29	< 0.001
Smoking	2.65±0.50	14.12	5.36-37.19	< 0.001

DOD: duration of diabetes, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, SBP: systolic blood pressure, TC: total cholesterol.

DISCUSSION

The present cross sectional study observed a prevalence of NPDR and PDR to be 33.98% and 31.50% respectively in the region of Punjab. Several studies have reported the prevalence of DR in India, which ranged from 7.3% to 65%. [3,6,8,19-27] The reason for such incongruent prevalence is primarily due to different classifications of diabetic retinopathy, different sample size, partial inclusion/exclusion criteria and unadjusted effects of the risk variables. A nationwide population based multicentric cross sectional study on the diabetic subjects has concluded that the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in India is 21.7%. [3]

Present study has revealed that advancing age from 55 years is a significant marker whereby, the risk of having diabetic retinopathy increases significantly after every 10 year of age, which is consistent with other studies. [24,25] Present study has exposed that one unit increase after 65 years of age increases 0.52 times (0.52±0.22) the

risk of NPDR and 1.43 times (1.43±0.33) the risk of PDR.

Duration of diabetes seems to be intransigent parameter, which increases the risk of DR in many populations. [28-30] Wong et al. have analysed that the risk of diabetic retinopathy increases by 1.07 times with every year passing after the diagnosis of diabetes. [10] A recent study suggests that duration of diabetes after five years is significantly associated with the risk of DR in more than half of the patients (P<0.001). Duration of diabetes is observed to be very risky (OR 6.01, 95% CI 2.63-13.75, P<0.05) in Chennai and rural population of Tamil Nadu. [6,24] In the present study also duration of diabetes >10 years emerges as significant variable which is independently associated and doubles the risk for both NPDR and PDR.

A strong correlation between hypertension and diabetic retinopathy is evident from the perspective that unnecessarily increased blood flow to the

retinal capillaries may damage endothelium of the eye in the subjects having diabetes. [31] It is also validated that aggressive control of blood pressure in T2DM subjects attenuates the risk of blindness, requirement of photocoagulation and progression of diabetic retinopathy. [32] A study has reported that 74.3% of subjects with diabetic retinopathy coexisting had hypertension hence, showing strong [25] Higher SBP association (P<0.001). (>120mmHG) and DBP (>80mmHg) have also been reported to be a significant marker for the risk of diabetic retinopathy by some studies. [6,9] According to the Wisconsin Epidemiological Study of Diabetic Retinopathy(WESDR), [33] DBP is observed as an independent predictor for the progression of NPDR to PDR over 14 years of follow up, irrespective of diabetes mellitus, glycosylated haemoglobin or gross proteinuria. Present study concludes that both SBP>120 mmHg and DBP>80 mmHg increases the risk of NPDR by 2.45 and 2.11 times respectively however, the expression of DBP for the risk of PDR has lost its significance. Every unit increase SBP>120 mmHg increases the development of PDR by 0.93±0.21 (β±SE) in the present analysis.

correlation of physical Inverse activity and development of diabetic retinopathy [34] and direct relationship of sedentary lifestyle with the risk of diabetic retinopathy [35] has been identified. Plausibly the effect of urbanization, high trans fat rich diet and sedentary behaviour encourages the glucose load in the retinal microvasculature whereby, increased fat deposition enhances the vascular pressure of the eye. Consequently, the chances of retinal bleeding haemorrhage and macular edema increases. Present study reveals sedentary lifestyle is an independent and strong risk variable, which increases approximately four fold risk of NPDR and fivefold risk of PDR.

It is still controversial that whether smoking enhances the risk of diabetic retinopathy. Smoking is reported as a

significant risk for diabetic retinopathy in WESDR, [36] whereas it has been observed in United protective Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS). [9] Lately, it is reported that 90% of the smokers have diabetic retinopathy and this association is found to be significant (P<0.001) in Indian population. [25] Other studies also corroborate the fact that smoking adds substantial risk to the development of DR in multivariable analysis. [24,37] Present study has observed cigarette smoking to be the strongest independent risk factor which exacerbates the risk of NPDR by 13 times and PDR by 14 times after adjusting the effect of other affiliated risk factors. The reason for its vigorous contribution for the development of diabetic retinopathy lies in the fact that approximately 12 percent of the world's smokers reside in India [38] and highest number of diabetics in India further impinges upon the deteriorating risk statistics of microvascular complications such as diabetic retinopathy. Secondly, it is well known that cigarette smoking is with retinal membrane associated degradation, whereby nicotine is the major toxic substance which activates the nicotine cholinergic receptors present in pigmented epithelium of the retina. [39]

In conclusion present study exposes that 33.98 percent and 31.50 percent T2DM subjects over the age of 45 years have NPDR and PDR respectively. Age≥65 years, DOD>10 years, SBP>120mmHg, DBP>80mmHg, total cholesterol >200mg/dl, sedentary life style and cigarette smoking are substantial risk variables, which independently influence the risk of DR in the population of Punjab.

Financial support:

UGC-BSR fellowship to Ms. Kanchan Mehta is thankfully acknowledged.

Conflict of interest:

Authors have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for

- the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1047-53.
- 2. Mohan V, Sandeep S, Deepa R, Shah B, Varghese C. Epidemiology of type 2 diabetes: Indian scenario. Indian J Med Res 2007:125:217-30.
- 3. Gadkari SS, Maskati QB, Nayak BK. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in India: The All India Ophthalmological Society Diabetic Retinopathy Eye Screening Study 2014. Indian J Ophthalmol 2016;64:38-44.
- 4. Raman R, Gella L, Srinivasan S, Sharma T. Diabetic retinopathy: An epidemic at home and around the world. Indian J Ophthalmol 2016;64:69-75.
- Raman R, Ganesan S, Pal SS, Gella L, Kulothungan V, Sharma T. Incidence and Progression of Diabetic Retinopathy in Urban India: Sankara Nethralaya- Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetics Study (SN-DREAMS II), Report 1. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2017;24:294-302.
- Raman R, Ganesan S, Pal SS, Kulothungan V, Sharma T. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy in rural India. SankaraNethralaya Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetic Study III (SN-DREAMS III), report no 2. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2014;2(1):e000005.
- 7. Jotheeswaran AT, Lovakanth N, Nadiga S, Anchala R, Murthy GV, Gilbert CE. Estimating the proportion of persons with diabetes developing diabetic retinopathy in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Indian J EndocrinolMetab 2016; 20:S51-8.
- 8. Rema M, Premkumar S, Anitha B, Deepa R, Pradeepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in urban India: The Chennai urban rural epidemiology study (CURES) eye study, I. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46:2328–33
- 9. Stratton IM, Kohner EM, Aldington SJ, Turner RC, Holman RR, Manley SE, *et al.* UKPDS 50: risk factors for incidence and progression of retinopathy in Type II diabetes over 6 years from diagnosis. Diabetologia 2001;44:156-63.
- 10. Wong TY, Cheung N, Tay WT, Wang JJ, Aung T, Saw SM, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. Ophthalmology 2008;115:1869-75.
- 11. Gupta R, Guptha S, Sharma KK, Gupta A, Deedwania P. Regional variations incardiovascular risk factors in India: India heart watch. World J Cardiol 2012;4:112-20.

- 12. Gupta R, Misra A. Type 2 diabetes in India: regional disparities. Brit J Diab Vascular Dis 2007;7:12-6.
- 13. Ramakrishna BS, Makharia GK, Chetri K, Dutta S, Mathur P, Ahuja V, et al. Prevalenceof Adult Celiac Disease in India: Regional Variations and Associations. Am JGastroenterol 2016;111:115-23.
- 14. Antonetti DA, Klein R, Gardner TW. Diabetic retinopathy. N Engl J Med 2012; 366:1227-39
- 15. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2012. Diabetes Care 2012;35:S4-S10.
- 16. The Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. A modification of the Airlie House classification of diabetic retinopathy. DRS report #7. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1981;21:210–26.
- 17. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical methodfor grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975;12:189-98.
- 18. Tsolaki M, Iakovidou V, Navrozidou H, Aminta M, Pantazi T, Kazis A. Hindi mental state examination (HMSE) as a screening test for illiterate demented patients. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2000; 15:662-4.
- 19. Rema M, Ponnaiya M, Mohan V. Prevalence of retinopathy in non insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in southern India. Diab Res Clin Practice 1996;24:29-36.
- Dandona L, Dandona R, Naduvilath TJ, McCarty CA, Rao GN. Population based assessment of diabetic retinopathy in an urban population in Southern India. Br J Ophthalmol 1999;83:937–40.
- 21. Rema M, Shanthirani CS, Deepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in a selected South Indian Population The Chennai Urban Population Study (CUPS). Diabetes Res ClinPract 2000;50:S252.
- 22. Narendran V, John RK, Raghuram A, Ravindran RD, Nirmalan PK, Thulasiraj RD. Diabetic retinopathy among self reported diabetics in Southern India: A population based assessment. Br J Ophthalmol 2002;86:1014–8.
- 23. Namperumalsamy P, Kim R, Vignesh TP, Nithya N, Royes J, Gijo T, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy: A population-based assessment from Theni District, South India. Postgrad Med J 2009:85:643–8.
- 24. Raman R, Rani PK, ReddiRachepalle S, Gnanamoorthy P, Uthra S, *et al.* Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in India:

- SankaraNethralaya Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetics Study report 2. Ophthalmology 2009;116:311-8.
- Shah K, Gandhi A, Natarajan S. Diabetic Retinopathy Awareness and Associationswith Multiple Comorbidities: Insights from DIAMOND Study. Indian J EndocrinolMetab 2018;22:30-35.
- 26. Zheng Y, Lamoureux EL, Lavanya R, Wu R, Ikram MK, Wang JJ, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in migrant Indians in an urbanized society in Asia: the Singapore Indian eye study. Ophthalmology 2012;119:2119-24.
- 27. Bansal D, Gudala K, Muthyala H, Esam HP, Nayakallu R, Bhansali A. Prevalenceand risk factors of development of peripheral diabetic neuropathy in type 2diabetes mellitus in a tertiary care setting. J Diabetes Investig 2014;5:714-21.
- Zhang X, Saaddine JB, Chou CF, Cotch MF, Cheng YJ, Geiss LS, Gregg EW, Albright AL, Klein BE, Klein R. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in the United States, 2005-2008. JAMA 2010:304:649-56.
- 29. Thomas RL, Dunstan FD, Luzio SD, Chowdhury SR, North RV, Hale SL, *et al.* Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy within a national diabetic retinopathy screening service. Br J Ophthalmol 2015;99:64-8.
- 30. Thapa R, Twyana SN, Paudyal G, Khanal S, van Nispen R, Tan S, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy among an elderly population with diabetes in Nepal: the Bhaktapur Retina Study. Clin Ophthalmol2018;12:561-8.
- 31. Kohner EM. Diabetic retinopathy. Br Med Bull 1989;45:148-73.
- 32. Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular

- complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 38. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. BMJ 1998;317:703-13.
- 33. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Cruickshanks KJ. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Studyof Diabetic Retinopathy: XVII. The 14-year incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy and associated risk factors in type 1 diabetes. Ophthalmology 1998;105:1801-15.
- 34. Loprinzi PD, Brodowicz GR, Sengupta S, Solomon SD, Ramulu PY.Accelerometer-assessed physical activity and diabetic retinopathy in the United States. JAMA Ophthalmol 2014;132:1017-9.
- 35. Ding J, Wong TY. Current epidemiology of diabetic retinopathy and diabeticmacular edema. CurrDiab Rep 2012;12:346-54.
- 36. Klein R, Knudtson MD, Lee KE, Gangnon R, Klein BE. The Wisconsin EpidemiologicStudy of Diabetic Retinopathy XXIII: the twenty-five-year incidence of macularedema in persons with type 1 diabetes. Ophthalmology 2009;116:497-503.
- 37. Hammes HP, Welp R, Kempe HP, Wagner C, Siegel E, Holl RW; DPVInitiative—German BMBF Competence Network Diabetes Mellitus. Risk Factors forRetinopathy and DME in Type 2 Diabetes-Results from the German/Austrian DPV Database. PLoS One 2015; 10:e0132492.
- 38. WHO global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco smoking. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015. Available from: apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/156262/1/9 789241564922 eng.pdf
- 39. Maugeri G, D'Amico AG, Rasà DM, La Cognata V, Saccone S, Federico C, *et al.* Nicotine promotes blood retinal barrier damage in a model of human diabetic macular edema. Toxicol In Vitro 2017;44:182-9.

How to cite this article: Mehta K, Sharma R, Bhatti JS et.al. Prevalence and predictors of diabetic retinopathy in the population of Punjab: north Indian diabetic retinopathy epidemiology and molecular genetic study (ni-dreams). Int J Health Sci Res. 2018; 8(9):1-9.
