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ABSTRACT

Background: The current study was conducted to examine customer satisfaction with cooperative health insurance coverage.

Materials and Methods: Primary data collected from a sample of 395 local and expatriate workers of the Al-Qassim region in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Cross-sectional data were analyzed using the partial least squares algorithm to test the proposed model. The study used a self-administered questionnaire to investigate the role of the service features, customer knowledge, and the national culture in customer satisfaction with the cooperative health insurance system in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Results: A positive and significant relationship was found between the four cooperative health insurance service characteristics (availability, acceptability, accessibility, and quality) and customer satisfaction. However, the quality factor emerged as critical in determining customer satisfaction, with 34% variation in the dependent variable with \( R^2 \) (0.34). Furthermore, no significant relationship was found between customer satisfaction and customer knowledge. However, investigation of the impact of national culture as a mediator was insignificant.

Conclusions: The study findings are expected to be of great interest for healthcare providers and health insurance companies with regard to more diversification, improvement, and better service delivery for their customer satisfaction.
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INTRODUCTION

In an attempt to achieve the development goals of the health sector in the country and reform of the health system, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) began implementing Cooperative Health Insurance (CHI) in 1999, when the Cabinet passed a resolution for establishment of the CHI program. The aim was to provide health care services and also to cover all non-Saudis who were working in the private sector as well as their families.\(^1\)

However, actual work on the Act was initiated on July 16, 2006 through stage-wise implementation. First, the healthcare insurance plan became mandatory for expatriate workers employed by organizations with more than 500 employees and it was then extended to cover all workers, including Saudis and non-Saudis, in the private sector.\(^2\)

The CHI program was initially introduced to address healthcare needs of expatriate workers who were not covered by the government-based insurance plan. The CHI framework helps policyholders to pool their resources collectively to reduce the overall cost of healthcare services.\(^3\)
However, the development of mandatory CHI and private insurance for expatriate workers during the early stage has faced certain challenges and problems, including lack of sufficient data and standardized health reporting systems. Hence, it was not possible to make statistical analyses concerning the efficiency of the Saudi healthcare system as a whole. The CHI program was criticized for the lack of formal regulatory control. This has resulted in some participating insurers flouting Sharia laws as well as the lack of transparency in the CHI program, in addition to the prevalence of fraud and abuse of stakeholders (insurance companies, insured employees, and healthcare providers).

Al-Mutawa argued that many CHI customers in the KSA have expressed dissatisfaction with the services provided by the CHI program in addition to the daily complaints received for the services. Nevertheless, such claims about CHI customer dissatisfaction with the services provided were based on direct complaints and large-scale reports. In other words, it seemed that there was a lack of scientific studies on customer satisfaction with the services provided by the CHI program in the KSA.

These issues might have led to low-quality services with respect to CHI. However, there is a lack of information about the quality of care provided by the private sector because most studies on the quality of care were conducted at the facilities of the Ministry of Health.

We investigated the role of the service features, customer knowledge, and the national culture in customer satisfaction with the CHI program in the KSA. The objective of the study was to examine customer satisfaction with the CHI coverage and its relationship with respect to services characteristics, customer knowledge, and national culture as a mediating factor. Within the health care industry, customer satisfaction is considered a significant component of community-based health insurance plans. This is akin to the satisfaction that a customer or patient derives with regard to the healthcare system.

Patterson and Johnson argued that customer satisfaction is a key issue for all organizations that desire to develop and maintain a competitive advantage in today’s highly competitive world. This implies that customer satisfaction is a key factor to enhance the performance of organizations. As far as insurance companies are concerned, there seems to be agreement among researchers and practitioners that customer satisfaction is a key factor that results in better organizational performance. Bovbjerg and Hadley argued that insurance coverage is related to better health outcomes for people. It makes health care cost-effective and reasonably priced and helps consumers use the care provided to them appropriately.

Recently, Alawni investigated the relationship and effects of communications, customer knowledge, and their loyalty to insurance companies in the KSA. He concluded that future studies should be directed toward variable explorations, such as service quality and customer satisfaction, in health insurance coverage in the KSA.

Several researchers have argued that a number of factors might influence customer perceptions regarding their own satisfaction with the services provided by insurance companies, including customer perception about the properties of the health service they receive, that is the availability, accessibility, acceptability, and quality (AAAQ) of the health service. Similarly, for example, Germain argued that the workers’ right to obtain a high quality of health requires the provision of these four characteristics without discrimination. Likewise, these characteristics of healthcare service should be explored and examined in future studies as they definitively affect customer satisfaction.

With this context, Ishfaq et al. suggested that the quality of health

Insurance coverage services is a prerequisite to guarantee consumer satisfaction in terms of service design and service matching. Therefore, it is critical to measure and analyze the quality of health insurance coverage services, since the Saudi health care system transforms from the public to the private sector. Further, it is emphasized that quality is important for the providers and recipients within the entire health system. [13,14] Potts [15] asserted that satisfaction with the level of health services can be achieved through the four interrelated factors (AAAQ). He concluded that these crucial factors are often described in connection with healthcare services; however, they also apply to the primary determinants of health.

Beside the aforementioned factors, one more important factor that supposedly influences customer satisfaction with health insurance coverage is customer perception of the sufficient knowledge and awareness of the CHI plans. This represents a critical part of the effective implementation of governance activities imposed by lawmakers. Significantly, the efficacy of these initiatives would be weakened in case of lack of information, awareness, and understanding of health coverage options, as well as rules and regulations with respect to the CHI coverage plans. It would also weaken the quality and availability of care that is received by the native citizens and expatriate workers in the KSA.

Mohammed et al. [16] reported that the insured persons’ background information about the health insurance coverage plan is a factor that has a decisively significant role in influencing the level of satisfaction with health care services. Customers consider health coverage as a good way of helping the clients reduce the problems related to healthcare costs. Agyei-Baffour et al. [17] also examined the knowledge, perceptions, expectations, and attitudes of health coverage policyholders and health providers toward health insurance coverage schemes in Ghana, and they found that a lack of client knowledge might prevent a policyholder from using health insurance coverage services.

Among other factors that might affect customer satisfaction with health insurance coverage, one critical factor is the culture of a country. Significantly, people’s attitude about the degree of governmental involvement in the health care insurance sector seems to correlate highly with the culture differences, as they are perceived in general. [18]

Hofstede [19] argued that what determines the level of insurance within any community is the national culture and desire of the people to make use of the coverage as a way of confronting risk. Likewise, Douglas and Wildavsky [20] noted that the culture of a country, based on cultural effects on the level of attempts made to avert risks, influences the quest for health insurance coverage in developed and developing countries. As a result of the existence of a variety of cultural groups within a society that are significantly different in values, norms are acquired and shaped unconsciously, which leads to the development of cognitive maps among customers regarding insurance in healthcare. These values and norms affect the customer perceptions of “right and wrong” and “how things ought to be” inter alia the interpretation of satisfaction perceptions. [21]

Saaty and Ansari [9] and Tsoukatos and Rand [22] highlighted the need for future research in examining the national culture regarding service quality and customer satisfaction with health insurance, and these studies should be of interest to other researchers who seek to establish a sound knowledge base on the effects of culture.

Furthermore, customer satisfaction with health insurance coverage programs, such as the CHI program, has been widely studied and reported mostly in Western countries. However, very few studies could be found in emerging and developing countries. [23] Therefore, to understand the mechanism by which they operate and interplay, we must depend on the theories
and investigations in the Western perspective as they might be helpful in the Saudi context. Nevertheless, they cannot provide a clear and deep understanding of the factors that influence customer satisfaction with health insurance coverage in an emerging country, like the KSA. This is due to the differences between the KSA and its Western counterparts in terms of cultural differences between nations and countries. Moreover, Aldosari et al have studied the relationship between the properties of the CHI service and expatriate labor’ satisfaction through incorporating customers’ knowledge as a mediating variable. They confirmed that it is necessary for future researches to incorporate local and expatriate workers with respect to cooperative health insurance coverage in KSA.

Significantly, our study contributes to the Saudi insurance sector, particularly to the CHI program, as it generates some recommendations that can be taken into consideration by the organizations that are adopting and dealing with the program in the Saudi environment. Our findings shall contribute to a better service quality that produces more satisfying and loyal customers, which enables the government to take decisive actions and make plans to improve areas that are weak and to evaluate the measure of performance in the delivery of healthcare services. Furthermore, current study generated valuable information about the potentials and weaknesses for healthcare providers, which will help policy makers use the information to improve the process and procedures when delivering health care and services. Thus, healthcare system legislatures and administrative agencies can focus on areas for improvement based on real data from the beneficiaries of the current health care services to health insurance programs.

Accordingly, to the best of our knowledge, no previous studies on customer satisfaction with cooperative health insurance coverage have addressed the application of the theory to local and expatriate employees in the KSA. Therefore, the study attempted to examine whether the CHI health service characteristics (AAAQ) affected local and foreign worker satisfaction with the CHI program in the KSA. The study also attempted to investigate worker knowledge, which is insufficient information, about the rules and regulations of CHI services and how this knowledge influences customer satisfaction with the CHI program.

Furthermore, the study focused on analysis of the construct of national culture as a mediator between the previous factors (service characteristics and customer knowledge) and local and expatriate worker satisfaction with the services provided by the CHI program and how it influences this relationship.

The conceptual model suggested based on literature that the connection between these CHI factors could be mediated by national culture. Therefore, the study sought to fill this gap through conducting an empirical study on customer satisfaction with the CHI services characteristics in an emerging country, like the KSA. The figure 1 below represents the theoretical framework of the present study.

![Figure 1: Theoretical Framework of the Present Study](image)

**Hypotheses of the Study**

The hypotheses suggested for this study were based on the relationships between the independent and dependent variables, as well as the mediating link of the mediating variable as highlighted in the theoretical model of the study. Based on the
above argument, we proposed the following hypotheses:

**Hypothesis 1:** Characteristics of CHI coverage have influence on local and expatriate worker satisfaction with the CHI program. The main hypothesis further proposed 4 sub-hypotheses (AAAQ), which were tested. These include:

- **Hypothesis 1a:** The availability of the health insurance coverage facility influences workers’ satisfaction with the CHI program.
- **Hypothesis 1b:** The accessibility of the CHI system has an impact on workers’ satisfaction with the CHI program.
- **Hypothesis 1c:** The acceptability of the CHI system has an impact on workers’ satisfaction with CHI the program.
- **Hypothesis 1d:** The quality of the CHI program has an impact on workers’ satisfaction with CHI.

**Hypothesis 2:** Customer knowledge has a significant positive effect on customer satisfaction with the CHI program.

**Hypothesis 3:** National culture (individualism and power distance) mediates the relationship between the antecedent factors and workers’ satisfaction with the CHI program.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Our study used the quantitative approach and had a cross-sectional survey design. A self-administered questionnaire was used to obtain the opinion of the sample respondents. The study population included local and expatriate workers of the Al-Qassim region in the KSA who were enrolled in the CHI program. The target population for this study was 450,064 workers in the private sector of this region, most of whom were enrolled compulsorily in CHI plans.

A total of 395 local and foreign workers from the Al-Qassim regions of the KSA were selected for the study. These workers belonged to organizations that had adopted the CHI initiative. Of 650 self-administered questionnaires distributed to the participants, 436 were filled and returned. Of these 436 filled questionnaires, 41 were invalid. Therefore, data were analyzed based on responses from the remaining 395 questionnaires (response rate, 67%).

**Measurement of Variables**

All items in the questionnaire were adopted from the well-known measures presented in previous studies pertaining to the key variables of this research. The antecedent factors of this research essentially comprise four key sub-sections; that is, the CHI service characteristics (AAAQ). Various studies from the past that sought to assess factors that affect the CHI program and customer satisfaction were used to obtain the items that measure the variables in the study. Four items from the literature were initially used (e.g., Ware et al.) to evaluate the idea of availability of the CHI service. In addition, four items from the study by Marshall et al. were used to investigate and assess the accessibility factor. Moreover, three items were used from the study of Sovd et al. to assess the acceptability factor, and six items were used from the study by Marshall et al. to measure the quality factor.

Customer knowledge was the second variable used in the research. This variable was measured using seven items from the study by McCormack and Uhrig. Similarly, the variable of customer satisfaction, which is the dependent variable of the study, was measured through six items adopted from the study of Marshall et al. Likewise, culture, which is the mediating variable, was studied using the dimensions of power distance and individualism/collectivism reported by Hofstede. On the whole, the power distance dimension was measured using four items, while the individualism/collectivism factor was also measured using four other items.

A five-point Likert scale was used to obtain the responses of the respondents on questions signifying factors, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).
Data Analysis Technique

We used partial least square (PLS) path modeling using SmartPLS 3.0 software (SmartPLS GmbH, Boenningstedt, Germany).

RESULTS

Measurement Model Results

Key multivariate assumptions, such as normality, linearity, and multicollinearity, were checked and found satisfactory before the main analysis was performed. The individual indicator reliability, internal consistency reliability, and discriminant validity were checked for the purpose of evaluating the psychometric properties of the scales. Initially, the outer loadings of each construct were measured and the assessments of individual item reliability were examined. Items with loadings less than 0.50 were deleted, which left us with 29 of 38 items. For this reason, as can be observed in Table 1, items were retained with loadings between 0.720 and 0.904. Thereafter, the composite reliability of coefficient and the internal consistency reliability measure were established. The rule given by Bagozzi and Yi was followed, which states that when the composite reliability coefficient is 0.7 or 0.7+, the internal consistency reliability is acquired. The ranges of the composite reliability coefficient of each latent construct were 0.824 and 0.907 (Table 1). Based on these results, we concluded that the measurement model in this research possessed sufficient internal consistency reliability because the composite reliability coefficients for each latent construct surpassed the minimum acceptable level of 0.70. Ultimately, through comparison between the correlations among the latent constructs with square roots of average variance extracted, the discriminant validity of the measurement model was determined. As shown in Table 2, all correlations among latent constructs were lesser than the square roots of the average variances acquired (values in bold face); thus, it was well established that adequate discriminant takes place.

Table 1: Measurement model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct/Indicator</th>
<th>Loading</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Average Variance Extracted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptability</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCEPT1</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCEPT2</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCEPT3</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS1</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS2</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS3</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS4</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVAIL2</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVAIL3</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Distance</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIST2</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIST3</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIV1</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIV2</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIV3</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer knowledge</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC1</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC2</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC3</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC4</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT1</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT2</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT3</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT4</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Discriminant validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent variables</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptability</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer knowledge</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualism</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power distance</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Structural Model Results
A standard bootstrapping procedure with 5000 bootstrap samples was used to determine the path coefficients of the model’s significance. Moreover, in addition to that, a test of the hypotheses for indirect effect of acceptability and availability, as well as accessibility, health service quality, and customer knowledge on customer satisfaction by way of national culture was done. Determination of the indirect effect in simple mediation models used new methods. Critical examination of the two structural models (direct effect model in Table 3 and indirect effect model in Table 4) conformed to the new methods used to determine the indirect effects in simple mediation models were done.

Table 3 shows a significant and positive relationship between quality, acceptability, accessibility, and availability and local and foreign worker satisfaction with the CHI program ($\beta = 0.340, P < 0.01$; $\beta = 0.168, P < 0.01$; $\beta = 0.213, P < 0.01$; and $\beta = 0.199, P < 0.01$, respectively). Hence, hypothesis 1 is substantiated.

The results also show the direct effect model values of $R^2 = 0.468$ and $Q^2 = 0.306$, which means that the direct effect model justifies 46.8% of the overall variance in customer satisfaction with the CHI program.

Likewise, Table 3 also indicates no significant association between customer knowledge and customer satisfaction ($\beta = 0.007, P < 0.85$); hence, hypothesis 2 was not supported. The path coefficient having a $P$ value for all direct effect models is shown in Table 3.

**Table 3: Path coefficients of direct effect model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Original Sample (O)</th>
<th>Sample Mean (M)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation (STDEV)</th>
<th>T Statistics (O/STDEV)</th>
<th>P Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptability → satisfaction</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>3.276</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility → satisfaction</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>4.133</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability → satisfaction</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>5.698</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer knowledge → satisfaction</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality → satisfaction</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>7.271</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The criteria of Falk and Miller were used, where the satisfaction level of the customers was used to provide an explanation for the $R^2$ value acceptable levels, which were above 10%.

Furthermore, the findings in Table 3 demonstrate the direct effect model predictive relevance to be $Q^2 > 0$. Testing of the direct effect model was done, and the results of the mediating effect are shown (Table 4).

**Table 4: Results of the mediating effect**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Path a</th>
<th>Path b</th>
<th>Indirect Effect</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>95% LL</th>
<th>95% UL</th>
<th>Bootstrap Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individualism culture→ acceptability → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.105</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualism culture→ accessibility → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualism culture→ availability → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualism culture→ quality → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualism culture→ customer knowledge → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power distance culture→ acceptability → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>-0.173</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power distance culture→ accessibility → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power distance culture→ availability → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power distance culture→ quality → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.114</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power distance culture→ customer knowledge → customer satisfaction</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There was a need for the interval values of the bootstrapped confidence to be remarkably distinct from zero, while there was another need for the t-value to be distinct as well to determine the mediating effect. Table 4 also highlights that not all hypotheses have a statistical effect, considering the significance of the confidence interval values that include zero.

The comparison brings into light the justification of the direct model for the variance in customer satisfaction (46%) and quality services variance (34%). Besides, a mediating variables effect was taken into consideration; however, it demonstrated an absence of significant difference from zero.

**DISCUSSION**

The present research was conducted to examine and analyze the local and foreign workers’ satisfaction with the CHI program. The study focused on the significance of customers’ satisfaction in elevating the performance of all enterprises with the ambition of developing and sustaining a competitive advantage in the highly competitive world. [8-10] In particular, the direct effects of CHI service characteristics (AAAQ) and customer knowledge of customer satisfaction have been studied in this research. Furthermore, the impacts of these factors were investigated through the mediating influence of the construct of national culture.

The results showed that, when tested individually, all independent variables representing the CHI service characteristics significantly contributed to the dependent variable, the satisfaction of local and foreign workers with the CHI program. This finding indicated that CHI characteristics are significant in influencing the satisfaction of workers with the CHI program.

For the CHI service characteristics, the findings were consistent with the claim by Germain [12] that the right to the greatest possible standard of health calls for the achievement of AAAQ attributes without discrimination. Also, characteristic findings of the CHI services were consistent with those of Potts, [15] who asserted that the satisfaction with the level of health services could be achieved through four interrelated factors (AAAQ) and that these crucial factors are often described in connection with healthcare services.

The variable of quality was the most clearly represented independent variable. Customer satisfaction was related mainly to the $R^2$ value (0.34) of the quality dimension in a positive direction. This indicated that there was a strong connection between the perceptions of client service quality and customer satisfaction with the services given to them. This was demonstrated by the vital and positive link between quality and customer satisfaction in accordance with prior studies. [43-46] Therefore, it is necessary to enhance the standard of care to realize the complete advantage of health insurance schemes. According to some studies, there is a positive connection between health insurance and improved standard of care. [47-49] The positive connection between the availability of CHI services and customer satisfaction with the CHI program was illustrated by the outcomes of the direct effect hypotheses. This result was not surprising, because suggestions have been made previously that availability of CHI services has a greater role in the explanation of customer satisfaction. [50,51] However, some studies also indicated that increasing the availability of health service providers to insured and uninsured persons would improve their access to medical care and thus their satisfaction with the service provided. [52,53]

The study also hypothesized a significant relationship between customer worker perception of accessibility of CHI and their satisfaction in the context of the KSA. The consistency of the significant and positive relationship between accessibility and customer satisfaction with prior research indicated that a vital healthcare consumption indicator in the public and private healthcare sectors is the perceptions of a client’s easy access. Thus, by enhancing the accessibility and flexibility,
healthcare providers must manage quality and customer satisfaction. [54–56]

Furthermore, Sovd et al. [31] indicated that this dimension gives stronger determinants of customer satisfaction once accessibility of facilities is ensured.

A significant and positive relationship was also found between the satisfaction and acceptability dimensions. The finding was in accordance with that of prior studies, indicating that customer satisfaction is influenced by their perception of service acceptability. [31,55,57] Because the KSA has fairly widespread public and private health services, there is a possibility of this finding being a part.

Based on prior empirical findings, our study proposed that customer knowledge is an antecedent factor for customer (i.e., worker) satisfaction with the CHI program in the KSA. Nevertheless, this hypothesis is not supported by the outcome of the analysis, and it is also not consistent with prior studies, indicating that it is deterring patients from using facilities. Demand-side barriers to access health facilities may exist, such as the attitude of health insurance policy holders (clients) and lack of knowledge being as important as supply factors. [17,58,59] The explanation for this outcome indicates that there is a deficiency in significant impact of customer knowledge of customer satisfaction with the CHI program, which might be caused by customers’ (i.e., workers’) deficiency in knowledge, awareness, and understanding of health insurance plans, rules, and regulations. For this reason, it is not considered to have a major role in determining their satisfaction with health insurance. The efficacy of these initiatives can be reduced by such lack of awareness and it can also diminish the overall quality and adequacy of healthcare acquired by workers (citizens or foreign workers).

Also, the nature of foreign workers possibly may be significant, especially those who work in the private sector, the majority of which come from countries where Arabic is not spoken. For this reason, there is a possibility that the essence of the CHI program was not fully relayed in terms of rules and regulations at the time of interaction and communication with CHI employees. Therefore, all worker knowledge regarding these regulations can be adversely affected, since there was no significance in being aware of the rules and regulations of health insurance and they approved the insurance policy as is.

Furthermore, we investigated the indirect impact of national culture as a mediator between CHI service properties (AAAQ) and customer knowledge of customer satisfaction. Nevertheless, this hypothesis was not supported by the outcome of this analysis and it also was not consistent with prior studies indicating a relationship between the attitude of the people regarding the degree of governmental involvement in the healthcare insurance sector and the culture differences as they are perceived overall. [9,18] On the contrary, the conclusion drawn in the study is also consistent with prior studies. [22,60,61] Only quality and customer satisfaction directly supported the connection between quality service, national culture, customer satisfaction, and dimensions of quality service; culture was not an influential factor. The workers in the state had the desire to keep their jobs on a personal basis. They accepted any insurance policy, specifically the CHI that is made available to them. Individuals from these cultures frequently do not accept differences in status and expect equal chances and treatments. This is a clear elaboration of the mediating effect on national culture.

Likewise, it was evident from our conclusion that there was no presence of the social hierarchy and that the people believe that they should be given treatment the same way and they acknowledge equality among themselves.

There are various limitations that recommend caution when drawing conclusions from the outcomes, even though significant contributions concerning the mediating effect of the national culture have
been made by our study. First, because our study mostly emphasized workers employed in one region in the KSA, only limited generalizations can be made. Therefore, this research should be extended to all regions to acquire more comprehensive outcomes. Secondly, a cross-sectional design was adopted for the purpose of investigating the phenomenon at one point in time. The longitudinal design of the study was more suitable as the latter method; the conclusion may be more in-depth since the aspects of human psychology are always changing. Finally, because measurement of all constructs was performed from a single source, the most significant limitation of our study was the effect of common method bias.

CONCLUSIONS

While the $R^2$ value obtained for customer satisfaction with the CHI program was 46.8%, and it can be stated that some other variables may increase the satisfaction of workers with the CHI program, other significant variables, such as insurance policy premium, reward, and compensation, among others, could better shed light on the factors that influence the satisfaction of all workers concerning the CHI program. Moreover, our study was invaluable for managers of the CHI program and health insurance firms as they are responsible for ensuring assessment of the healthcare provision and accessibility of services to various socioeconomic groups. In addition, our study is also expected to be significant for healthcare providers and health insurance firms with information concerning their strengths and weaknesses. Moreover, our study allows healthcare policy makers to use the information to improve the process and procedures when delivering healthcare and services, enabling healthcare system legislatures and administrative agencies to focus on areas for improvement. The government will be able to increase and improve the accessibility of healthcare for those who need it by understanding that effective healthcare provision and delivery entails AAAQ.
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