A Cross Sectional Study to Assess the Awareness, Knowledge and Practice towards Implant Protocols Followed by Dental Professionals during Covid-19 Pandemic
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ABSTRACT

Background: SARS-COV2, the virus also popularly known as the Coronavirus is an RNA-based virus that came to light in December 2019 when China informed the World Health Organization about the rampant spread of pneumonia like cases in the country. As many countries of the world have entered into an era of unlocking and practicing safer dentistry, it has become pivotal in understanding the protocols to be followed in preventing the Covid-19 infection. With a basic question in mind that how dental professionals should be practicing during COVID-19 pandemic, a survey was done to collect various protocols followed by dental professionals during implant surgery.

Methodology: It was a cross-sectional questionnaire based study carried out among the dental professional to assess the awareness, knowledge and practise towards implant protocols followed by dental professionals during covid-19 pandemic. Sampling was done by complete enumeration method and a total of 107 dental professionals were included in this study.

Result: A series of questions asked among the dental professionals drew various conclusions regarding the safety protocols and were assessed in terms of knowledge and understanding about protocols to be followed during implant surgery. Through this study it was found out that 64.5% of dental professional felt that it was safe to perform implant surgery during covid-19.

Conclusion and Clinical Implication: In the current study we have discussed the two aspects of impact of COVID19 outbreak on Implantology; first includes the knowledge and prevention of aerosols and second its clinical implications on Implant dentistry among dental professional.
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INTRODUCTION

SARS-COV2, the virus also popularly known as the Coronavirus is an RNA-based virus that came to light in December 2019 when China informed the World Health Organization about the rampant spread of pneumonia like cases in the country. It was not very far after this news that on the 11th of March, 2020, Covid-19 was declared as a pandemic and was considered as a public health crisis.
This virus that causes COVID-19 infection, is thought to spread primarily between people who are often in close contact with one another i.e. within a distance of 6 feet or less. This infection spreads through respiratory droplets when an infected person coughs, sneezes or talks. The virus has been shown to persist in aerosols for hours, and by surface touch for days under laboratory conditions.

Dental health care professionals (DHCP) are at a great risk according to Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and are placed at the highest risk category as dental professionals work in close proximity to patient’s oral cavity. The Ministry of Health and Family welfare (MOHFW), India has formulated specific guidelines and given paramount importance to constantly updating them with the changing dynamics of the disease.

Various dental procedures that involve the production of aerosols with the widespread use of rotary dental and surgical instruments, such as handpieces or ultrasonic scalers and air-water syringes.

In the recent times, dental implants are among the pre-eminent mode of dental services offered by majority of dentists worldwide. During implant procedures the dental professionals encounter contaminated surfaces of instruments and aerosols that can serve as a potent source of viral transmission.

As many countries of the world have entered into an era of unlocking and practicing safer dentistry, it has become pivotal in understanding the protocols to be followed in preventing the Covid-19 infection.

Several papers, guidelines, reports and suggestions have been released in the year of 2020 on how this infection could be transmitted through dental services and what could be done.

With a basic question in mind that how dental professionals should be practicing during COVID-19 pandemic, a survey in the form of questionnaire has been made to collect various protocols followed by dental professionals during implant surgery.

**METHODOLOGY**

It was a cross-sectional questionnaire based study carried out among the dental professional to assess the awareness, knowledge and practise towards implant protocols followed by dental professionals during covid-19 pandemic.

Questionnaire consist of 2 Sections- Section A and Section B. Section A consist of Demographic details of the study participants e.g. Name, Age, Sex, educational qualification and current designation. Section B was further divided into Group A, Group B and Group C. Group A consists of 4 questions on awareness of Covid-19 infection, Group B consists of 6 questions on aerosol knowledge and prevention and Group C consists of 10 questions on practise towards implant protocols followed by dental professionals during covid-19 pandemic.

Questionnaire piloting was done on 10 dental professionals of Dhule district and the prepared survey questions were used for assessment of the awareness, knowledge and practise towards implant protocols followed by dental professionals during covid-19 pandemic. The sampling was done by complete enumeration method and a total of 104 dental professional around Khandesh and Mumbai region were included in this study. Informed consent was taken prior to the collection of data. Study included the dental professionals who were willing to take part in the study and incompletely filled questionnaire were excluded for the study.

The data collected from the questionnaire was entered on Ms Excel (2007 version developed by Microsoft) and was deployed on SPSS version 21 to carry out statistical analysis, descriptive statistics was used in the study.
RESULT

Table 1 shows that out of 107 study most were males (52.3%) followed by females (47.7%). Out of 107 most were the MDS as qualification were more (63.60%) followed by BDS as qualification (36.40%).

Table 2. Assessment of Awareness for covid

Table 2 shows the awareness and knowledge regarding covid guidelines and safety measures among dental professionals. Out of 107 many dental professionals neither agreed or disagreed (72%) to follow the protocols given by WHO on hand hygiene. A large number of dental professionals (68.2) agree that 70% alcohol is the best method to sanitize the metallic objects. Around 47.7% dental professional’s agree that dentist must use PPE Kit for every patient and 51.4% neither agreed or disagreed that the standard mask that should be used by the dental practitioners are N95, N-100, FFP-2.

Table 3. Assessment Aerosol knowledge & prevention

Table 1: Distribution of study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education qualification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 shows the assessment regarding aerosol knowledge and prevention among dental health professionals. Out of 107 study participants most dental professionals (86.9%) thought that aerosol generation procedures should be carried out as the last appointment of the day. Among the study participants 51.4% dental professionals agreed that 0.2% Povidine – Iodine is the most effective Pre and Post procedures mouthrinse and 49.5% study participants replace their aerator with micromotor to reduce the aerosol load. Out of 107 study participants 48.6% and 52.3% knew the correct sequence of donning and doffing respectively.

Through this study we also found out that almost most of the dental professionals (92.5%) have replaced their air conditioner with air purifier and 42.1% have used a filtration membrane of 0.12 micron size.

Table 4 shows assessment regarding implant protocols amid covid-19. Out of 107 study participants 64.5% dental professionals thought that it is safe to practice implant dentistry during covid 19. To the various questions asked about the safety protocols 55.1% said the fumigation procedure for dental clinics should be done at the end of the day and 68.2% dental professionals were with the view that autoclave is the best method used for sterilization of implant procedure instrument. When asked about procedures to reduce aerosol production during implant procedures 52.3% dental professionals have updated their dental suction units and it was seen that 61.7% dental professionals used high volume evacuators, 11.2% used saliva ejectors and 27.1% used high speed suction tips to reduce aerosol load during implant procedures and other minor oral surgical procedures. Some dental professionals

Table 3 shows the assessment regarding aerosol knowledge and prevention among dental health professionals. Out of 107 study participants most dental professionals (86.9%) thought that aerosol generation procedures should be carried out as the last appointment of the day. Among the study participants 51.4% dental professionals agreed that 0.2% Povidine – Iodine is the most effective Pre and Post procedures mouthrinse and 49.5% study participants replace their aerator with micromotor to reduce the aerosol load. Out of 107 study participants 48.6% and 52.3% knew the correct sequence of donning and doffing respectively.

Through this study we also found out that almost most of the dental professionals (92.5%) have replaced their air conditioner with air purifier and 42.1% have used a filtration membrane of 0.12 micron size.

Table 4. Assessment of implant protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you feel it is safe to practice dental implant procedure during covid-19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>69 64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>38 35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>when should be fumigation done</td>
<td>After every patient</td>
<td>48 44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at the end of the day</td>
<td>59 55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>-  -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>-  -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What according to you is the best method used for sterilization of implant procedure instrument</td>
<td>U.V. chamber</td>
<td>31 29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autoclave</td>
<td>73 68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chemical solutions</td>
<td>3  2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you updated your dental suction unit next to the dental chair to help reduce aerosols from implant and other dental procedures</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>56 52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>51 47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, which of the following do you use?</td>
<td>High volume evacuators</td>
<td>66 61.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saliva ejectors</td>
<td>12 11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High speed suction tips</td>
<td>29 27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What according to you is the minimum exposure time safe to carry out an implant procedure</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>67 62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>one hour</td>
<td>40 37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>two hours</td>
<td>-  -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What according to you is the recovery time for an implant procedure to be performed for a covid positive patient</td>
<td>14 to 20 days</td>
<td>28 26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 to 30 days</td>
<td>35 32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>40 37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4  3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do you feel is the safest procedure for implant surgery during covid times</td>
<td>Flap surgical technique</td>
<td>9  8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flapless implant procedure</td>
<td>49 45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>using implant surgical guides</td>
<td>48 44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1  0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What according to you is the most efficient concentration of chlorhexidine as post implant mouthwash to maintain oral hygiene</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>47 43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>39 36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>21 19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you incorporated augmented ventilation (exhaust fans and windows) instead of air conditioners to decrease aerosol contamination during implant procedure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>67 62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>40 37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What aerosol adjuvants have you installed for minimizing aerosol production</td>
<td>Fogger</td>
<td>54 50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.VGI (Ultraviolet Gamma Irradiation)</td>
<td>38 35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative ion Generation</td>
<td>15 14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(37.4%) feel that the minimum exposure time safe to carry out implant procedure is 1 hour while others (62.6%) feel a minimum time of 30 minutes is safe.

There is a less clear understanding among dental professionals regarding the recovery time for an implant procedure to be performed for a covid positive patient. 26.2% study participants feel that it should be 14 to 20 days, 32.7% said 20 to 30 days while the rest 37.4% feel the recovery time should be around 3 months.

The safest procedure according to 45.8% dental professionals in this study is the flapless implant surgical technique and 44.9% had an opinion that the use of surgical guides is the safest procedure for implant surgery.

Knowledge about the disinfectant concentration was assessed and it was found that 43.9% study participants agreed that 0.2% was the most effective concentration of chlorhexidine as post implant mouthrinse to maintain oral hygiene. It was found out that of the total study participants 62.6% have incorporated augmented ventilation instead of air conditioners whereas 50.5% dental professionals have installed fogger to decrease aerosol contamination during implant procedure.

**DISCUSSION**

As it is a matter of the end of the pandemic will have to mark the beginning of new methods of approach and management in dental practise.

Coronaviruses (CoVs) belong to the subfamily Orthocoronavirinae in the family Coronaviridae, Order Nidovirales. There are four genera within the subfamily Orthocoronavirinae, namely Alphacoronavirus (α-CoV), Betacoronavirus (β-CoV), Gammacoronavirus (γ-CoV) and Deltacoronavirus (δ-CoV).

2019-nCoV possesses the typical coronavirus structure with the “spike protein” in the membrane envelope, and also expresses other polyproteins, nucleoproteins, and membrane proteins, such as RNA polymerase, 3-chymotrypsin-like protease, papain-like protease, helicase, glycoprotein, and accessory proteins.

COVID-19 pandemic has been a dreadful experience and has led to an array of medico-legal and professional concerns for dentist in India and around the globe in terms of patient care provisions. Due to this, the government, and the World Health Organisation and the Dental Council of India (DCI) have promulgated guidelines on the aspect of appropriate patient management principles during the pandemic.

During this pandemic crisis, clinics with emergency care must follow stringent measures recommended by the local and regional health authorities to minimize risks of disease transmission and increase measures taken for its prevention.

Majority of dental and prostodontic procedures involve close physical contact with the patient generating aerosols increasing the risk of viral transmission. In the absence of clinical studies, the most important challenge in performing oral antisepsis is to find the effective concentration of solution used and duration of topical preparations which are safe to administer.

This study provides an insight on the level of knowledge, attitude and preparedness of health care professional towards performing implant surgical procedures during the times of COVID-19.

**AEROSOL REDUCTION**

Out of 107 study participants most dental professionals (86.9%) thought that aerosol generation procedures should be carried out as the last appointment of the day.

When performing aerosol generating procedures (using air-water syringe, ultrasonic scaler and high-speed handpiece), a type of respirator that is at least as protective as a National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)-certified N95, European Standard Filtering Face Piece 2 (EU FFP2), or equivalent,
should be used. When performing emergency dental treatment with suspected COVID-19 cases, a higher level of respiratory protection should be considered, such as EU FFP3 respirators conforming to European Standard 149 (EN149).\(^8\)

**MOUTH RINSE**

Among the study participants 51.4% dental professionals agreed that 0.2% Povidone-Iodine is the most effective Pre and Post procedures mouthrinse and 49.5% study participants replace their aerator with micromotor to reduce the aerosol load. Knowledge about the disinfectant concentration was assessed and it was found that 43.9% study participants agreed that 0.2% was the most effective concentration of chlorhexidine as post implant mouthrinse to maintain oral hygiene.

One of the most effective methods of reducing the proportion of microorganisms in oral aerosols is the pre-procedural mouth rinse (Samaranayake and Peiris, 2004; Feres et al., 2010). A meta-analysis carried out by Marui et al., 2019 showed that the use of preprocedural mouth rinse, including chlorhexidine (CHX), essential oils, and cetlypyridinium chloride (CPC), resulted in a mean reduction of 68.4% colony-forming units in dental aerosol. It has been proven by Wood and Payne, 1998 that CHX is effective against several infectious viruses, including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), herpes simplex virus (HSV), and hepatitis B virus (HBV). But the effect of preprocedural mouth rinse against coronavirus is still unknown. About 0.12% CHX was used as a preprocedural mouth rinse. According to Feres et al., 2010 for patients who develop mucosal irritation or other side effects such as tongue stain, 0.05% CPC could be a good alternative.

**HEPA FILTER**

Through this study we found out that almost most of the dental professionals (92.5%) have replaced their air conditioner with a high efficiency air purifier and 42.1% have used a filtration membrane of 0.12 micron size.

It was found out that of the total study participants 62.6% have incorporated augmented ventilation instead of air conditioners whereas 50.5% dental professionals have installed fogger to decrease aerosol contamination during implant procedure.

To the various questions asked about the safety protocols 55.1% said the fumigation procedure for dental clinics should be done at the end of the day and 68.2% dental professionals were with the view that autoclave is the best method used for sterilization of implant procedure instrument. When asked about procedures to reduce aerosol production during implant procedures 52.3% dental professionals have updated their dental suction units and it was seen that 61.7% dental professionals used high volume evacuators, 11.2% used saliva ejectors and 27.1% used high speed suction tips to reduce aerosol load during implant procedures and other minor oral surgical procedures.

Several methods to remove/filter contaminated air in treatment areas have been accounted by surveying dental professionals out of them the two most commonly used devices include the inexpensive high volume evacuator (HVE) and the expensive high efficiency particulate arrestor (HEPA) filters.

Through this study it was found that 49.5% study participants replaced their aerator with micromotor to reduce the aerosol load.

Studies have shown that the use of high-speed turbines with anti-retraction valve has significantly reduced the return flow of oral bacteria.\(^9\)

Specially designed anti-retractive valves in anti-retraction dental handpiece or other anti-reflux designs are strongly recommended as an extra preventive measure for crossinfection.\(^10\)

- **HVE filter:** According to Narayana et al., 2016- It is a suction device that helps remove air at a rate of up to 2.83 m\(^3\) per minute. Studies have shown that it is the easiest way to remove dental aerosols as
they are generated and could effectively reduce contamination caused by the operating site by 90%

- However, when in use the device should be held at a proper distance at approximately 6–15 mm from the active ultrasonic tip. But one limitation of the HVE is that without the help of a dental assistant, clinicians might face difficulty in operating it. Modified HVEs are available in the market that addresses this problem.

- HEPA filter: It is an air filtration device that can remove 99.97% of the particles measuring 0.3 μm in diameter.

- One major disadvantage is that the filter may become a source of microbes if the retained microorganisms proliferate and enter back into the filtered air.

**PPE**

Around 47.7% dental professional’s agree that dentist must use PPE Kit for every patient and 51.4% neither agreed or disagreed that the standard mask that should be used by the dental practitioners are N95, N-100, FFP-2.

Out of 107 study participants 48.6% and 52.3% knew the correct sequence of donning and doffing respectively.

The protection of our frontline health workers is of prime importance and PPE, including medical masks, respirators, gloves, gowns, and eye protection, must be prioritized for health care workers and others looking after for COVID-19 patients.

Out of 107 study participants 48.6% and 52.3% knew the correct sequence of donning and doffing respectively.

The protection of our frontline health workers is of prime importance and PPE, including medical masks, respirators, gloves, gowns, and eye protection, must be prioritized for health care workers and others looking after for COVID-19 patients.

The type of PPE used when caring for COVID-19 patients will differ according to the setting, and type of personnel, and activity.

- According to Indications, Specifically, for aerosol-generating procedures and support treatments (tracheal intubation, noninvasive ventilation, tracheotomy, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, manual ventilation before intubation, and bronchoscopy) Health care workers should use PPE respirators, eye protection, gloves and gowns; 12

- In the absence of aerosol generating procedures -Medical mask, Gown, Gloves, Eye protection (goggles or face shield), Perform hand hygiene.

- In case of direct care to patients in settings where aerosol generating procedures are frequently in place, Respirator N95 or FFP2 or FFP3 standard, or equivalent should be used along with gown, gloves, eye protection, apron, perform hand hygiene.

- Dental Assistant should consider the use of medical mask, gown, heavy-duty gloves, eye protection (if risk of splash from organic material or chemicals is anticipated), closed work shoes and perform hand hygiene.

- Professionals Handling and processing of specimens from cases with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection that are expected for additional laboratory tests, such as haematology or blood gas analysis, should apply standard precautions and make use of medical mask, eye protection, gown, gloves, perform hand hygiene. 12

**IMPLANT PROCEDURE PROTOCOLS:**

The million dollar question which arise here is, precautions pertaining to covid19 that are deemed necessary by the implantologist for a bilaterally safe procedure

It is of paramount importance presently to consider every patient as a potential carrier of the virus and thus treat accordingly. It has become almost compulsory in current scenario to use WHO recommended guidelines and PPE kits 13

Some dental professionals (37.4%) feel that the minimum exposure time safe to perform implant procedure is 1 hour while others (62.6%) feel a minimum time of 30 minutes is safe.

There is a less clear understanding and knowledge among dental professionals regarding the recovery time for an implant
procedure to be performed for a covid positive patient.

26.2% study participants feel that it should be 14 to 20 days, 32.7% said 20 to 30 days while the rest 37.4% feel the recovery time should be around 3 months.

Since the incubation period of SARS-CoV-2 may extend over 2 weeks, a positive response any of the above queries commands deferring the appointment for at least 2 weeks. Additionally, the patients should be motivated to self quarantine at home and contact their primary care physician for tele-consultation.13

At the appointment visit, patients should be asked to fill out detailed questionnaire regarding their medical history, Covid-19 screening as well as a true emergency questionnaire.

The safest procedure according to 45.8% dental professionals in this study is the flapless implant surgical technique and 44.9% had an opinion that the use of surgical guides is the safest procedure for implant surgery.

During surgery, slow speed drilling with sharp drills is favoured. Intermittent external irrigation along with high volume suction should be achieved. Use of ultrasonic devices and piezolectric surgery should be reduced; whereas use of osteotomes should be encouraged in order to decrease aerosol formation.

Immediate implants with immediate loading should be taken up wherever indicated as they require lesser time and less number of visits. It is judicious to avoid complex full mouth procedures. Digital impression with scan bodies is suggested as an alternative to conventional impression making can be used and a flapless implant surgical procedure will help minimize the risk of aerosol production. Implant impressions and components need to be carefully disinfected/autoclaved before reusing them. Imaging procedures should use extraoral techniques incuding panoramic radiography or computed tomography to avoid contact with oral secretions and minimise gag/cough reflex.13

The post operative sequelae of implant surgery involve the metabolic response of soft tissue and alveolar bone surrounding the implant site. Viruses pose significantly higher health risk and put an extra strain on host immune system, which in turn has an adverse effect on the bone marrow. This successively may cause bone marrow pathology, eventually resulting in compromised healing from implant surgery.13

In light of available literature, the prognosis on dental implant associated procedures remains guarded in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 virus.

CONCLUSION

Famously said that saliva is the colourless carrier of corona hence prevention of oral health worldwide represents the gold standard towards which health care professionals in dentistry should always be oriented.

As the entire world is coping with the pandemic in two major fronts one being health care and second economy, dentistry and its sub stream of implantology isn’t spared from the same, the speculations and certainty are going hand in hand about impact of COVID19 outbreak on Implantology. In the current study we have discussed the two aspects of impact of COVID19 outbreak on Implantology; first includes the knowledge and prevention of aerosols and second its clinical implications on Implant dentistry among dental professional.

At the end it can be safely concluded that the covid-19 outbreak is going to cause a drastic paradigm shift in business and health care for sure. In such a scenario it is no surprise that Dental Implantology being an amalgamation of both the abovementioned sectors will definitely not remain untouched.13
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