Effect of 3 Months Exercise on Ovarian Reserve and Ovulatory Status of Premenopausal Students of Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus
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ABSTRACT

There is a traditional believe that if premenopausal women engage in prolonged physical exercise, they are likely to have infertility. This study assessed the effect of prolonged moderate – vigorous exercise on ovarian reserve and ovulatory status in premenopausal students of Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus. The objectives were to determine the effect of moderate – vigorous intensity exercise on Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), day 3 Follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), Luteinizing Hormone (LH), day 3 Estrogen, day 21 Estrogen, and day 21 Progesterone levels in premenopausal women. This was a prospective comparative study involving 80 participants aged between 19 and 25 years, randomly selected and assigned to exercise group and control group. The exercise group comprised 40 participants who engaged in moderate – vigorous intensity exercise using elliptical bike for 30 minutes, five days a week for 3 months; however, 30 individuals completed the exercise. The control group comprised of 40 individuals that did not do exercise but 30 individuals completed the study. Blood (8ml) was collected from each of the participants at baseline, 1 month, 2 months, and 3 months. AMH, FSH, LH, Estrogen and Progesterone levels were analyzed using enzyme-linked Immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method. Results were regarded as significant at p˂ 0.05.

In the exercise group, the serum level of day 3 FSH, LH, Estrogen were significantly lower from 7.27±0.77 mIU/ml, 7.00±0.77 mIU/ml, 36.33±5.13 pg/ml respectively at baseline to 5.62±0.48 mIU/ml, 5.36±0.80 mIU/ml, 21.36±4.34 pg/ml respectively after 3 months of exercise while there was significantly higher levels of AMH and day 21 progesterone after 3 months of exercise compared with the baseline and respective control (p<0.05). Prolonged Moderate-to-vigorous exercise may enhance fertility and well-being of premenopausal women.
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INTRODUCTION

Physical activity is defined as each bodily movement formed by contraction of skeletal muscles that significantly rises energy expenditure (1). Physical exercise is a subsection of Leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) that is scheduled, designed, repetitive, and purposive for enhancement...
or upkeep of physical fitness (1). It is usually grouped into three, namely, flexibility exercise (2), aerobic exercise (3), and anaerobic exercise (4). Over the past three decades, main social changes have encouraged the development of a positive attitude towards physical exercise in general. Hence, women are becoming increasingly involved in physical activities, ranging from regular mild exercise to highly competitive performance requiring intensive and strenuous training. The beneficial role of exercise in the promotion of both mental and physical health is indisputable. Regular exercise can increase insulin sensitivity, help blood glucose control, and prevent or delay the development of diabetes complications (5-6). Physical exercise guards ovarian function (7), enhance ovulatory status in young females (8) and lead to a significant improvement in fertility (9). Several organizations including the World Health Organization (WHO) and the American College of Sports Medicine, have declared recommendations to the public for physical activity. They supported that to reduce the risk of chronic diseases and improve overall health status, people of all ages should perform a minimum of 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity on most days of the week (10). They also highlight that greater health benefits can be derived from physical activity that is more vigorous and longer in duration (10). However, as the current general trend is towards considerable increase in the duration, frequency and intensity of exercise, great concern is arising with regard to the harmful effects of intensive physical exercise on female sex hormones and fertility.

Hormones are specific regulatory molecules that modulate reproduction, growth, development and the maintenance of internal environments as well as energy production, utilization and storage (11). Regular and healthy reproductive cycle (eumenorrhea) is a complex process comprising the coordinated interaction of neurotransmitter systems, hypothalamic releasing factors, anterior pituitary gland hormones, gonadal sex steroid hormones, and various growth factors. Ovarian hormones such as estrogen and progesterone are main hormones for female reproductive and menstrual cycle. The ovarian cycle is stimulated by hormones in the circulation, i.e. follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH), which are, in turn, regulated by the hypothalamic gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH). GnRH released by hypothalamus stimulates anterior pituitary gland to release LH and FSH. These hormones enhance ova, i.e. egg development in ovaries (12). Anovulation is failure of the ovaries to produce, mature, or release ova. The condition may result from ovarian immaturity or postmaturity; altered ovarian function, as in disturbed interaction of the hypothalamus, pituitary gland and ovary caused by stress or disease. Anovulatory infertility is thought to be one of the leading causes of female infertility (13). Infertility is defined as inability to conceive a child by a couple in a stable relationship during the year of regular intercourse without the use of contraceptive methods (14). Experiences from clinical practice in Nigeria indicate that infertility is a major burden on clinical service delivery in Nigeria (15). Infertile obese women who experienced weight loss (about 10 kg/m²) through diet and exercise intervention, resumed spontaneous ovulation, achieved spontaneous pregnancy, had lower miscarriage rates, and increased live birth (16). Also, Atuegbu et al., reported that Moderate -to-vigorous exercise causes some changes in the reproductive hormones including estrogen, LH, FSH and significant increase in progesterone hormone levels after one month of exercise and they concluded that the hormonal pattern shows that moderate-to-vigorous exercise may enhance ovulatory status in young females (8). Therefore, prolonged moderate –to –vigorous intensity exercise may also have the same or contrary effect on some fertility hormones. The most commonly encountered fertility hormones include LH, FSH,
estrogen, Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), and Progesterone. Elevation in serum levels of progesterone is an indirect evidence of ovulation. The lower limits of progesterone levels in the luteal phase vary among laboratories but a level of more than 3ng/mL confirms ovulation (17). In a regularly menstruating woman, the mid-luteal phase (typical day 21-23) is the period of peak progesterone secretion from the corpus luteum and the serum progesterone level remains at a fairly constant high level.

The term “ovarian reserve” refers to the quantity and quality of a woman’s current reservoir of oocytes, and is closely associated with reproductive potential. It is an indirect measure of a woman’s reproductive age (18). Some of biological markers have been identified to estimate the ovarian reserve such as the evaluation of serum levels of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), inhibin B, estradiol, (E2) and antral follicle count (AFC). These are the commonly used ovarian reserve markers for assessing ovarian reserve in the women of reproductive age (19-22). Early follicular phase FSH elevations observed in older reproductive aged women may not only be due to a decrease in the number of recruitable follicles (and the resulting decrease in negative feedback), but may also be due to a relative resistance of the follicles to gonadotrophins (23). Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), a hormone originally known to inhibit the development of Mullerian ducts in male embryos, has been shown to be an excellent marker of ovarian reserve in women (24). AMH is secreted by the granulosa cells of the preantral and small antral follicles and inhibits the initial and cyclic recruitment of follicles (25). Serum AMH declines as age increases in women over 25 years of age (26), and has been shown to be as effective of a predictor of the ovarian response during controlled ovarian hyper stimulation as antral follicle counts (AFCs) (24). It has also been shown to help predict early ovarian follicle loss and the onset of menopause; and is defined as a reliable predictor of ovarian reserve (27). In addition, AMH is increasingly used in clinical practice for both prognostic purposes (28) such as prediction of ovarian response during in vitro fertilization treatment (IVF) and diagnostic purposes such as the identification of women with premature ovarian failure (POF) or polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) can be possible (19, 29).

Moreover, serum AMH exhibits less intra and intercycle variation than AFCs, suggesting that AMH may be a more reliable means of assessing ovarian reserve (30). It is well documented that the female reproductive system is highly sensitive to changes regarding both intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Thus, females who are exposed to intensive physical exercise and associated psychological stress are prone to developing reproductive dysfunction.

**METHODOLOGY**

This was a prospective comparative study involving 80 participants aged between 19 and 25 years, randomly selected and assigned to exercise group and control group. The exercise group comprised 40 participants who engaged in moderate – vigorous intensity exercise using elliptical bike for 30 minutes, five days a week for 3 months; however, 30 individuals completed the exercise. The control group comprised of 40 individuals that did not do exercise but 30 individuals completed the study. Blood (8ml) was collected from each of the participants at baseline, 1 month, 2 months, and 3 months. AMH, FSH, LH, Estrogen, Progesterone was analyzed using enzyme-linked Immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method.

**RESULT**

Table 1 shows the ovarian reserve, using serum Estrogen, FSH, LH and AMH of exercise and non-exercise group over a 3 months period.

The mean levels of Estrogen and LH showed significant reduction at 1 month, 2
Table 1: The Ovarian reserve, using serum Estrogen, FSH, LH and AMH of exercise and non-exercise group over a 3 months period (Mean±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen(pg/ml)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>36.33±5.13</td>
<td>35.68±5.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>30.62±4.69*</td>
<td>36.03±4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>25.65±4.51*</td>
<td>35.22±4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>21.36±4.34*</td>
<td>35.38±5.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH(mIU/ml)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>7.27±0.77</td>
<td>7.21±1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>6.78±0.55*</td>
<td>7.22±1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>6.21±0.46*</td>
<td>7.36±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>5.62±0.48*</td>
<td>7.33±1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH(mIU/ml)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>7.00±0.77</td>
<td>6.89±0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>6.49±0.55*</td>
<td>6.85±0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>5.92±0.78*</td>
<td>6.81±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>5.36±0.80*</td>
<td>6.90±0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMH(ng/dl)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>3.16±0.02</td>
<td>3.16±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>3.16±0.02</td>
<td>3.16±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>3.16±0.02</td>
<td>3.16±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>3.17±0.02</td>
<td>3.16±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a= p<0.05 compared with baseline, b = p<0.05 compared with 1st month, c = p<0.05 compared with 2nd month, d = p<0.05 compared with 3rd month.

Table 2: The Ovulatory Status using Estrogen and Progesterone of exercise and non-exercise group over a period of 3 months (Mean±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen(pg/ml) (Day 21)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>79.91±7.72</td>
<td>80.14±7.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>75.09±7.73*</td>
<td>79.94±5.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>70.23±7.92*</td>
<td>79.93±6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>64.97±7.99*</td>
<td>79.94±7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>10.55±1.17</td>
<td>10.59±0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>11.38±0.93*</td>
<td>10.49±1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone(ng/ml) (Day 21)</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>12.31±1.31*</td>
<td>10.57±0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st Month</td>
<td>Exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>13.07±1.84*</td>
<td>10.42±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-exercise (n=30)</td>
<td>13.07±1.84*</td>
<td>10.42±1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a= p<0.05 compared with baseline, b = p<0.05 compared with 1st month, c = p<0.05 compared with 2nd month, d = p<0.05 compared with 3rd month.

DISCUSSION

The analysis of ovarian reserve using serum Estrogen, FSH, LH and AMH in this study showed significant reduction in Estrogen, FSH and LH, while AMH showed significant increase at 3 months of exercise. The results of this study share comparisons with the study of McTiernan et al., (31) who reported statistically significant reduction of estrogen levels after 3 months of exercise. Also Christine et al., (32) reported that exercisers who abide by 150 to 225 min/wk, had an 18% reduction in estradiol.
concentrations compared with controls and a trend of percent change in estradiol levels with increasing exercise adherence was also observed in their study. Another study showed that moderate levels of leisure-time physical activity enhance estrogen metabolism, especially among women with higher body weight (33). De Souza et al., (34) stated that exercise and participation in sports even if only of moderate intensity are associated with reduced levels of ovarian Estrogen. Some trials found no significant effects of exercise on estrogen levels (35-36). Loucks et al., (37) specified that physical activity limits pituitary secretion of luteinizing hormone (LH) and, to a lesser extent, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), which, in turn, limits ovarian stimulation and estradiol production. Atuegbu et al. (8) also reported reduction in estrogen, LH and FSH in premenopausal women after one month of exercise. A study done by Guner et al (38), observed that the examination of ovarian reserve hormones showed that the post-exercise E2 and FSH levels were reduced but not statistically significant compared to baseline within aerobic exercise group, also, in their study, the differences between the levels of LH and AMH within aerobic exercise group were not statistically significant, either. But, in their strength training group; the FSH levels were increased whereas, the AMH levels decreased and these were statistically significant. Two studies have shown that after 12 months of exercise, levels of hormones circulating in the blood decreased and further decrease was observed after reduction in body weight (39, 40) while another hypothesis stated that physical training does not significantly reduce levels of sex hormones but significantly reduces the expression of their receptors (41). However, some studies have observed that restricted energy availability following intense exercise caused the reduction in LH concentration via disruption of GnRH release in females (42, 43). In contrary, Loucks (44) observed in another study that exercise alone has no effect on LH pulsatility. Moreover, it was reported by Bullen et al. (45) that an 8-week moderate endurance exercise did not affect LH level and this finding was similar to another study which reported that LH concentration did not change after bicycle ergometer exercise in middle-distance runners (46). Kiranmayee et al. (22) conducted a study on 162 women at the ages of 19-42 and found that a moderate degree of physical activity was associated with higher levels of AMH in women under 30 years.

Physical activity may influence circulating estrogens by reducing adiposity, which decreases conversion of androgens to estrogens by aromatase or may have effects independent of a change in adiposity, including a reduction in insulin levels, which, in turn, increases SHBG levels and decreases estradiol bioavailability (21). Moreover, Bentz et al., (47) found that physical activity may have influence on the estrogen metabolism by favoring its transformation in the body to the low estrogenic products 2-hydroxyestrone (2-OHE1). The product shows weak binding affinity to the estrogen receptor and, thereby, exerts antiproliferative effect on mammary cells. Basal estradiol (E2) levels may provide additional useful information for the evaluation of ovarian reserve. Early elevations in serum E2 are understood as a consequence of the advanced follicular development and early selection of a dominant follicle observed in older cycling women that are driven by rising FSH levels (48). Higher rates of cancelled assisted reproductive technology (ART) cycles have been demonstrated with E2 levels <20pg/mL or ≥80pg/mL (49) but the value of day 3 estrogen in this study is >20pg/mL and <80pg/mL after 3 months of exercise. Measurement of both FSH and E2 on cycle day 3 may help to diminish the incidence of false-negative tests based on measurement of FSH alone, when both markers are precociously elevated, poor ovarian response is likely to occur (48) and this might result to infertility.
The woman’s age and assays of serum FSH in the early follicular phase were among the earliest and most useful parameters used for evaluation of ovarian reserve (50). One mechanism whereby ovarian secretion of hormones and growth factors may remain adequate despite age-related decreases in oocyte quality and number (51-52) is through a compensatory effect of the early follicular phase FSH rise. Early follicular phase FSH elevations observed in older reproductive aged women may not only be due to a decrease in the number of recruitable follicles (and the resulting decrease in negative feedback), but may also be due to a relative resistance of the follicles to gonadotrophins. Regarding pregnancy occurrence, Klinkert et al., (53) suggested that it was less frequent among women with FSH levels ≥15IU/L when compared to those with lower levels, but with no statistical significance.

The elevation of early follicular phase FSH represents a standard clinical marker of reduced ovarian reserve and diminished responsiveness of the ovary to ovulation induction (54).

Furthermore, there was significant increase in day 21 Progesterone in this study. Bhattacharya (17) stated that any level of progesterone more than 3 ng/mL confirms ovulation. Results from the Nurse’s Health Study indicate reduced risk of ovulatory infertility in women doing vigorous exercise for at least 30 min daily (55). Also, a report of a cohort study indicated a 7% relative risk reduction for ovulatory infertility for each additional hour of vigorous exercise per week in normalweight women (56). Exercise-induced weight loss has been shown to improve metabolic function and hormonal profiles, and often leads to significant rise in fertility (7, 16). Another study reported that an intense workout of at least 30 minutes per day reduced the risk of infertility associated with ovulation in women (17). While there are many studies describing the positive effects of physical activity on ovarian reserve markers and fertility outcomes in women of reproductive age (57-59), there is another study reporting that an intense level of physical activity is associated with adverse effects on fertility outcomes (60).

CONCLUSION
Three months moderate-to-vigorous exercise causes some changes in the reproductive hormone levels in females, with significant decrease in day 3 estrogen, LH, FSH, then, significant increase in AMH and day 21 progesterone hormone levels. From this result, physical exercise at moderate-to-vigorous level for three months may not adversely affect ovarian reserve but may increase the receptiveness of the follicles to FSH and LH which may lead to improved ovulation as signified by increased production of progesterone even at reduced levels of FSH and LH. Therefore, prolonged moderate-to-vigorous exercise may enhance fertility in premenopausal women.

Acknowledgement: None

Conflict of Interest: None

Source of Funding: None

Ethical Approval: Approved

REFERENCES


