Routine Histopathological Examination of Appendix: The Practice That Cannot Be Ignored
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ABSTRACT

Background: Acute appendicitis is a global disease that is usually caused by luminal obstruction with fecoliths.
Aim: The aim of this study is to estimate the incidence of unusual findings in appendectomy specimens that can affect patient’s morbidity and mortality.
Methods and Material: This is a retrospective study of 340 appendectomies that were preformed from January 2018 to December 2019 at King Hamad University Hospital (KHUH). Histopathological reports were reviewed and final diagnosis, age and gender were recorded.
Results: Of the 340 appendectomy specimens, acute appendicitis was the most prevalent finding 62.64% (and showed significant correlation with both genders P value <0.001). Unusual findings were seen in (8.49%) cases and the commonest unexpected finding was fibrous obliteration (4.11%) followed by carcinoid (1.76%).
Conclusions: this study revealed that although majority of appendectomy cases fall in the spectrum of inflammatory cases with low incidence of unusual findings, it is atmost importance to perform the histopathological examination as it still change the course of treatment and affect the overall prognosis of the patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis is a common cause of acute abdomen. (¹) The lifetime risk for it is 7% and it is mostly seen during early adulthood, (¹,²) caused by luminal blockage, usually by fecoliths or lymphoid hyperplasia. (³) However, other uncommon causes like parasites and tumors are sometimes seen. (²,³)

Following a literature review, few studies suggested that routine histopathological examination of appendectomy specimens should be limited to those with abnormal macroscopic findings. (⁴) However, majority of the studies recommended to continue histopathological examination of appendectomy specimens as unusual causes can be missed. (⁵,⁶) Hence, changing the management. (⁷)

This is the first study in Kingdom of Bahrain that aims to estimate the prevalence of unusual findings of appendectomy specimens and to evaluate the overall pattern of neoplastic and non-neoplastic lesions.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This retrospective study was conducted at KHUH from January 2018 to December 2019. Histopathological reports...
of all appendectomy specimens of 340 patients including their age and gender were reviewed electronically and retrospectively through the hospital information system. Findings were recorded as positive or negative for appendicitis according to the final histopathological report.

All positive cases for appendicitis were sub-classified into different categories including (acute appendicitis, fibrous obliteration of the appendix, perforated appendix, reactive follicular hyperplasia of the appendix, appendix with neoplastic findings).

Inclusion criteria involved patients of both gender of all ages who underwent laparoscopic or open appendectomy at KHUH or private hospitals and were the appendectomy specimens has been grossed inside KHUH laboratory and examined microscopically by KHUH histopathologist consultants. Exclusion criteria included all second opinion cases that has been reported in other hospitals and sent as frozen blocks to KHUH for re-evaluation.

Statistical Analysis
We analyzed the Data using Microsoft Excel 2010 and SPSS1 21.0 software. And using the chi-square, the level of significance was set at p <0.05. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee at KHUH.

RESULTS

Table1: Distribution of appendectomy specimens according to the age and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total (number (=n))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>11(34.37%)</td>
<td>21(65.62%)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>62(66.66%)</td>
<td>31(33.33%)</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>70(62.5%)</td>
<td>42(37.5%)</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>47(78.33%)</td>
<td>13(21.66%)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>9(47.36%)</td>
<td>10(52.63%)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>3(23.07%)</td>
<td>10(76.92%)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;61</td>
<td>8(72.72%)</td>
<td>3(27.27%)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>210(61.76%)</td>
<td>130(38.23%)</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A total of 340 appendectomies reports were reviewed during the study period. Among these patients, 210(61.76%) were males and 130(38.23%) were females. Most appendectomies were preformed to those patients aged in their twenties followed by teenagers. The lowest number of cases was in those who are older than 60 years old. Table1

Out of 340 cases, 13(3.82%) had normal appendix and these predominantly were encountered in females 11(84.61%),
where only 2(15.38%) were noted in males. Abnormal findings associated with acute inflammation were documented in 294 out of 340 cases. These include cases of perforated appendix, acute appendicitis, acute suppurative appendicitis, acute appendicitis with periappendicitis or serositis.

| Table2: Distribution of appendectomy specimens according to their histopathological findings |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| Histological Diagnosis                      | Males (%)                     | Females (%)                              | Number of cases (%) | P-value  |
| Acute Appendicitis                          | 139(65.25)                    | 74(34.74)                                | 213(62.64)         | <0.001   |
| Acute Suppurative Appendicitis              | 17(60.71)                     | 11(39.28)                                | 28(8.23)           | 0.257    |
| Acute Appendicitis with periappendicitis or serositis | 35(71.42)                  | 14(28.57)                                | 49(14.41)          | 0.003    |
| Perforated appendix                         | 3(75)                         | 1(25)                                    | 4 (1.17)           | 0.317    |
| Normal appendix                             | 2(15.38)                      | 11(84.61)                                | 13 (3.82)          | 0.013    |
| Fibrous obliteration of the appendix        | 4(28.57)                      | 10(71.42)                                | 14 (4.11)          | 0.109    |
| Reactive follicular hyperplasia             | 4(100)                        | 0                                        | 4 (1.17)           | 0.025    |
| Enterobius vermicularis infestation         | 3(100)                        | 0                                        | 3 (0.88)           | 0.163    |
| Mucocele with focal area indefinite for low grade dysplasia | 0                          | 1(100)                                   | 1(0.29)            | 0.163    |
| Carcinoid                                   | 3(50)                         | 3(50)                                    | 6(1.76)            | 1.0      |
| Low grade appendicle mucinous neoplasm      | 0                             | 2(100)                                   | 2 (0.58)           | 0.163    |
| Low grade goblet cell adenocarcinoma        | 0                             | 1(100)                                   | 1 (0.29)           | 0.163    |
| High grade serous carcinoma                 | 0                             | 1(100)                                   | 1(0.29)            | 0.163    |
| Signet ring cell carcinoma                  | 0                             | 1(100)                                   | 1(0.29)            | 0.163    |
| Total                                       | 210                           | 130                                      | 340                |          |

Unexpected histopathological findings were seen in 33 (8.49%) cases out of the total cases. The most prevalent unexpected finding was fibrous obliteration of the appendix which constitute of 14/33 followed by carcinoid 6/33 and reactive follicular hyperplasia 4/33. Out of these 33 cases, only three males had Enterobius vermicularis (E. vermicularis) infestation. [figure 1] The remaining five unusual cases consist of neoplastic lesions like (carcinoid, goblet cell adenocarcinoma, serous carcinoma, mucinous neoplasm, mucocele,). Table2, [figure 2-6]

Significant correlation between gender and the following findings were seen (P <0.05): acute appendicitis, acute appendicitis with periappendicitis/serositis, normal appendix and reactive follicular hyperplasia.
DISCUSSION

Appendicitis is a common disease worldwide and its incidence reached its highest rate in the mid-portion of the 20th century in the western countries.\(^1,6\) Also, the incidence of appendicitis has been escalated in many newly industrialized countries including the Middle East.\(^8\) This might be due to many factors associated with industrialization of the societies, dietary habits, socioeconomic status and hygiene.\(^8\)

In line with previous studies, the maximum number of appendectomy surgeries was seen in young adults and adolescence with higher frequencies in males 61.76% in comparison to females 38.23%.\(^9\) Also, the most prevalent histopathological finding of appendectomy specimens in our study was acute appendicitis (62.64%) followed by acute appendicitis with periappendicitis or serositis (14.41%) and acute suppurative appendicitis (8.23%).\(^10\) In our study, the negative rate of appendicitis in appendectomy specimens is 3.82% which is at the lower limits in compression to many previous studies.\(^1,11,12\) This can be explained by the accurate clinical assessments in our hospital, different available diagnostic approach and expertise.

The literature showed that there is higher incidence of negative appendectomy specimens among young females.\(^11,13,14\) Also, this was observed in our study as more females underwent appendectomy and had normal appendix during histopathological examination (84.61%) in comparison to males (15.38%).

This can be justified by the overlapping symptoms of acute appendicitis and many gynaecological conditions like abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting. Thus, highlight the importance of gynaecological referral when acute appendicitis is suspected in young adult females especially when no proper clinical judgment can be made.

On the other hand, atypical presentation of acute appendicitis can mimic other conditions and this may delay the diagnosis of acute appendicitis and lead to perforated appendix. Few studies showed higher rates of perforation among females and that was thought to be influenced by regional and culture issues where girls are more likely to be less prioritized in their families and thus cause delayed presentation to the hospital and further delay in management.\(^15\) On the other hand, some studies did not reveal any significant relationship between delay to surgery and perforation rate.\(^16\) Majority of studies showed higher perforation rates among males and elderly with comorbidities as they hypothesized that there is low index of suspicion of acute appendicitis in the elderly population. Additionally, it tends to be more aggressive in this age group and some elderly might not be able to communicate probably and explain their symptoms.\(^9,17-19\)
Moreover, males group tend to have perforated appendix more frequently as they might have higher threshold for pain and thus delay the presentation to hospital. (16,17,20,21)

In the present study, slightly higher perforation rate was seen among males in comparison to females (3:1) and this might be due to the same listed reasons. However, the age group of the perforated appendix is not specified in this study and thus we cannot conclude any further correlations. Unexpected findings of appendectomy specimens including benign and malignant conditions were reported by different studies that emphasis on the importance of histopathological examination of all appendectomy specimens. (2,22,23) On the other hand, some published papers suggested histopathological examination should only be limited to the macroscopically abnormal appendix due to the low incidence of the unusual findings. (24,25)

In our study, 9.66% of the reviewed appendectomy specimens had unexpected histopathological findings. Of these, Enterobius vermicularis which is a widespread parasitic infection found in three cases (0.88%) in our data. While other studies presumed that it can be detected up to 41.8% of appendectomy specimens and higher incidence rate was detected in Asia, Africa, and the Middle East countries which might be related to overcrowding and poor sanitation. (3, 4) Those infected patients should be treated with anti-helminthic medications as appendectomy alone does not treat the root of the disease. (2,23) Lymphoid hyperplasia was seen in 1.17% of cases with male predominance. This condition is usually misdiagnosed as acute appendicitis and is hypothesized to be associated with lymphoid tissue development which increases after the age of ten and in males especially after the puberty leading to functional obstruction. (7,26) The most unusual findings in our study was neurogenic appendicopathy which constituted of 4.11% of appendectomy specimens and this is usually referred as a process of fibrous obliteration. Although, many studies indicated that it is secondary to hyperplasia of neuroendocrine cells and it is usually found as an incidental finding in asymptomatic patients. (7,26) Carcinoid tumors which is also known as the most prevalent appendiceal tumours 37 was found in 1.76% of cases. Simple appendectomy is usually sufficient for benign small carcinoid tumours; however larger tumours more than two cm is usually managed by right hemicolectomy. (26,27) Moreover, mucocele of the appendix is a rare disease accounting for 0.2 to 0.3%. (28) In our study, only one case of mucocele with focal area indefinite for low grade dysplasia was found. The management approach for benign mucocele is appendectomy with lymphadenectomy and further partial cecal resection is preserved for dilated appendicular base (> 2cm). (28)

Additionally, a similar incidence rate (0.29%) of low grade goblet cell adenocarcinoma, high grade serous carcinoma, signet ring cell carcinoma was found in the present study. And these conditions are rare and some of them are having different challenging treatment modalities. (29,30) That is why we believe that selective histopathological examination of abnormal looking appendix can miss important findings that can affects patients’ morbidity and mortality and would require further management plan rather than simple appendectomy.

CONCLUSION
In summary, acute appendicitis is the commonest findings in our appendectomy specimens. The most common unusual findings were carcinoid tumours. However other unexpected findings like parasitic infection and malignant tumours were found. Histopathological examination is of a great value as it can identify the exact cause of appendicitis which sometimes requires further treatment.
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