Medical Management of Type II Scar Ectopic Pregnancy: A Case Report
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ABSTRACT

Background: Cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy is a rare form of ectopic pregnancy. Due to increased cesarean section procedures its incidence is increasing. It is a diagnostic as well as therapeutic challenge for physicians as standardized guidelines are not yet been established.

Case report: A 32 years old African lady who had previous 2 cesarean sections, presented with irregular menstruation cycle, lower abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding. Patient was diagnosed as incomplete miscarriage but on follow-up ultrasound was suggestive of type II cesarean scar pregnancy. Our patient was successfully treated with single dose methotrexate.

Conclusion: It is important for physicians and sonologists to have a high index of suspicion while following patients with risk factors for scar ectopic pregnancy. Single dose methotrexate can be the first line of treatment for type II scar ectopic pregnancy.

Key words: Cesarean section, scar ectopic, diagnosis

INTRODUCTION

Cesarean scar pregnancy is a rare form of ectopic pregnancy in which gestational sac implantation is seen partially or completely within the previous cesarean scar. The reported incidence of cesarean scar pregnancy is from 1/1800 to 1/2500.¹,² The recent increase in cesarean scar pregnancy is due to increasing rate of cesarean sections and more awareness among the physicians about this particular condition. Evolving evidence about etiology is that it can be due to damage to endometrium and myometrium by previous cesarean section or any previous uterine surgery, the risk of cesarean scar pregnancy is independent of number of cesarean sections.³ If early diagnosis and treatment is not done timely it can progress into an abnormally invasive placenta which can result in uterine rupture and life threatening hemorrhage.⁴-⁶ Therefore early recognition of problem and optimal treatment is the key factor in cesarean scar pregnancy.

Patients of cesarean scar pregnancy can present with pelvic pain and vaginal bleeding during first trimester. Although many women remain stable and asymptomatic until diagnosis, the diagnostic investigation is trans-vaginal ultrasound that can be combined with trans-abdominal scan. MRI can be used in few cases when the diagnosis is in doubt.⁷,⁸

The diagnosis of cesarean scar pregnancy is based on visualization of empty uterine cavity and cervical canal, detection of placenta or gestational sac embedded in uterine scar,⁹ presence of thin myometrium less than 3 mm, or absent myometrial layer between the gestational...
sac and bladder presence of prominent or at times rich vascular pattern at or in the area of cesarean scar with positive pregnancy test. (10)

Shin-yu lin et.al described a new ultrasound grading system for cesarean scar pregnancy Grade I: when the cesarean scar pregnancy is occupying less than half the thickness of myometrium. Grade II: when it is occupying more than half of the thickness of myometrium. Grade III: when the gestational sac bulges out of the overlying myometrium and uterine serosa. Grade IV: when the cesarean scar pregnancy becomes an amorphous tumour with rich vascularity around the cesarean scar. (10)

Many treatment options are available for management of cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy. It may be medical, surgical or uterine artery embolization or combination of the above. (11) All these management options are derived from various researches based on gestational age, viability of embryo, evidence of deficient myometrium and clinical presentation. (12-15) Medical management is effective, cheap and noninvasive in majority of the cases. Offer anti D prophylaxis to all Rh negative women who had surgical removal of ectopic pregnancy or when bleeding is repeated, heavy or associated with abdominal pain.

The aim of reporting this case is that initially the diagnosis was missed and when diagnosed on second visit we successfully managed this case with a single dose of methotrexate in-spite of initial high BHCG levels.

CASE REPORT

32 years old African woman known case of diabetes mellitus on insulin, gravida three para two living one with history of two previous cesarean sections, presented to obstetrics and emergency department of Dr. Sulaiman Al Habib Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia with complains of lower abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding for one week. She was not sure of her last period and gave history of irregular cycles for the last 6 months. Her past medical and family history was unremarkable, no known allergies. Clinically patient was stable with pulse rate 90 beats/minute blood pressure 112/78 mm hg, her RBS was 84 mg/dl.

Her body weight was 61 kg and height 161 cm. Her initial BHCG was 23876.5 IU/L, Blood group type O positive. Ultrasound done showing thickened endometrium 15.8mm and mixed echogenicity within the uterus 6.2x 8mm as shown in figure-I.

Figure-I Thickened endometrium with mixed echogenicity.

Figure-II Type II scar ectopic pregnancy.

Patient admitted as a case of incomplete miscarriage for observation and evaluation. Bleeding was minimal after admission. Patient counseled for expectant vs surgical management but patient opted for expectant management. Patient discharged home with follow-up and emergency care instructions. Patient was seen in the clinic after one week, patient
was stable with minimal vaginal bleeding. Physical examination was unremarkable. Repeat BHCG and Ultrasound performed.

On trans-vaginal ultrasound a well-defined regular echogenic mass with tiny central cystic area measuring 1.3 x 1.1 x 2.3 cm seen merged within the anterior myometrium (Type II scar ectopic) at the lower uterine segment near the scar site. No yolk sac or fetal pole seen referring to figure-II. Repeat BHCG was 38469 mIU/ml. Management options were discussed with patient and the complication of scar ectopic explained to the patient as well. Patient counseled regarding the need for follow up, repeat BHCG tests, the need for additional treatment doses and possibility of surgical intervention including hysterectomy. She opted for medical management. Her complete blood count, renal function tests and liver function tests were within normal limits. Calculated dose of methotrexate 82 mg (50 mg / square meter) intramuscular single dose given on same day in outpatient department. Patient followed up on day 4, Patient was well except complains of slight nausea and there was minimal vaginal bleeding. BHCG on day 4 was 40308 mIU/ml, that was expected to be high, so patient kept on follow-up. Patient came back on day 7, BHCG on that day was 11375 mIU/ml the fall in BHCG was 29.5%. Patient advised BHCG weekly and follow-up weekly. BHCG after one week was 1487 mIU/ml. Also on repeat ultrasound it was resolving scar ectopic pregnancy. Figure-III.

**DISCUSSION**

Cesarean scar pregnancy is one of rarest forms of ectopic pregnancy. Cesarean scar pregnancy can pose a diagnostic dilemma. We missed the diagnosis at initial presentation in our case, but due to high BHCG levels we repeated the BHCG and ultrasound on next visit. On repeat trans-vaginal ultrasound we could diagnose scar ectopic pregnancy. There are no available standard treatment options. Medical management is one of the safest options in many stable patients when diagnosed early in pregnancy. The patient presented in this report desired to avoid surgical management and prefer less invasive approach. There are no standard criteria for selection of this medical management and also there is no standard dose regimen for methotrexate to treat in cesarean scar ectopic.

Systemic administration of methotrexate is commonly used alone to successfully treat the cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy. Most of the series methotrexate dose regimen and follow up is same as tubal ectopic pregnancy. In our case we used the same regimen as tubal ectopic pregnancy. After review of some cases in which systemic methotrexate was used only, we found that 41% scar ectopic pregnancies resolved with no additional treatment required. However in our case even though the BHCG levels are high we successfully treated this case with single dose of systemic methotrexate.

It has been observed that majority of cases with high levels of BHCG are treated surgically or with repetitive doses of methotrexate, as reported by Rumbidzia Majangara.

Interestingly one case of alive cervical ectopic pregnancy was reported by Dearworth et.al which was treated...
successfully with single dose methotrexate without any additional treatment. (18)

CONCLUSION

Cesarean scar pregnancy poses a diagnostic challenge for physicians and sonologists, they need to maintain high index of suspicion during imaging and follow up. A missed diagnosis with delayed management may lead to uterine rupture, massive hemorrhage and even a maternal death. Trans-vaginal ultrasound by keen sonologists will pick up most cases of cesarean scar pregnancy. Single dose of systemic methotrexate treatment for cesarean scar pregnancy can be the first choice in managing Type II cesarean scar pregnancy.
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