ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** The purpose of this study is to utilize simpler multi parametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging (mp-MRI): T2 Weighted Image (T2WI) and Diffusion Weighted Image (DWI) for prostate cancer detection without contrast injection.

**Methods:** This cross sectional study evaluated 19 patients who met inclusion and exclusion criteria. The prostate mp-MRI used pelvic phased array surface coil and endorectal coil. Category evaluation in T2WI and DWI sequence based on PI-RADS version 2 standard, while ADC value as a complementary added value derived from DWI sequence by pointing the specified Region of Interest (ROI). The score and value results were analyzed statistically with Mann Whitney U test to know whether the results could differentiate prostate cancer with benign prostate. Cut off points were defined using Receiver Operating Curve (ROC).

**Results:** The mp-MRI median score for prostate cancer and benign prostate were 9 (8:10), 2 (2:9) respectively while ADC median value for prostate cancer and benign prostate were 0.542 (0.339:0.768), 0.987 (0.450:1.569). There was a significant difference between prostate cancer and benign prostate score (p=0.005, p<0.05), there was also a significant difference between ADC value for prostate cancer and benign prostate (p=0.022, p<0.05). Cut off point of 7 for mp-MRI score has 100% sensitivity and 71.4% specificity while ADC value cut off of 0.684 has 71.4% sensitivity and 91.7% specificity.

**Conclusion:** This simpler mp-MRI is able to differentiate prostate cancer from benign prostate. Using mp-MRI score cut off 7, it has good sensitivity also specificity and since it is simpler, it might be applicable and helpful for prostate cancer detection complemented by ADC value. Due to small sample number; therefore, further studies are needed to validate this research.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer in men, it was estimated that 1.1 million men worldwide were diagnosed with prostate cancer in 2012 and 70% of the cases occurred in more developed countries. The risk of a man to be diagnosed with cancer prostate is 1 out of 6 men, while the mortality risk is 1 out of 35 men. The standardized and widely accepted prostate cancer detection modality for people with prostate abnormalities based from European Association of Urology (EAU), American Urological Association (AUA), National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) also National Institute for
Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) are from history or patient complaints/symptoms, Digital Rectal Examination (DRE), and Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) lab test with diagnostic establishment by histopathology findings. Even so, there are risks of unnecessary biopsies that eventually will increase logistic loads, morbidity also psychological burdens for the patients. The incidence and prevalence of prostatitis and Benign Prostate Hyperplasia (BPH) in Asian countries are comparable to Western countries, but are not the same for prostate cancer. The prostate cancer incidence is much lower in Asian countries. Therefore, considering low incidence of prostate cancer, there should be different and wise perspectives about the interpretation of elevated PSA in Asian countries for prostate cancer detection.

MRI is the chosen imaging modality in this research because it has all the strengths needed and without radiation exposure. The strengths of MRI are its high soft tissue contrast and characterization, its multi parametric image acquisition, its multiplanar imaging capability, and the advanced computational methods to assess function. Moreover, it can be performed without intravenous contrast administration, allowing more patients with medical limitations, such as kidney problems or allergy to undergo this procedure and more comfortable for screening purpose. Resolution of MRI images in the pelvis can be augmented using an endorectal coil. It helps clinicians, especially in tough anatomical position such as apex and anterior gland, that may not be reached even with extended biopsy method. MRI is effective for studying the anterior and posterior regions of the prostate in patients presenting lesions suspected of being neoplastic.

Mp-MRI images are defined as images acquired with at least one more sequence in addition to the anatomical T2-weighted images. This research used T2WI and Diffusion Weighted Image (DWI) sequences, without Dynamic Contrast Enhancement (DCE) sequence to avoid contrast injection with shorter acquisition time. DWI is a functional imaging technique that quantifies random water molecules Brownian movement in the tissue. Net displacement of the molecules is called Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC). Cancer tissue has increasing signal intensity on high- b-value Diffusion-Weighted Images than healthy prostate tissue, that will result in decreased ADC values.

Recently, DCE role has been questioned given a view by some experts that findings from DCE-MRI may enhance tumor detection compared with findings from the combination of T2WI and DWI but in less than 20% of cases. DCE-MRI improved tumor detection compared to T2WI, but it did not clearly raise performance compared with the combination of T2WI and DWI. Therefore, we believe that simpler MRI protocol with T2WI and DWI without DCE may be sufficient for tumor detection and could be used to differentiate malignancy.

Through this study, we will find out whether this simpler non contrast mp-MRI could differentiate prostate cancer and benign prostate, define the cut off points of the mp-MRI score and ADC value along with their diagnostic parameters.

**RESEARCH DESIGN and METHODS**

Seventy (70) patients were referred to Gading Pluit Hospital Radiology Department with prostate cancer suspicion to do prostate mp-MRI. Prior of the referral, patients were examined thoroughly by urologists and underwent laboratory tests that are considered necessary. All the patients consecutively underwent the MRI exam procedure from May 2015 to November 2015. Among them, only nineteen (19) patients were eligible for the study with characteristics as shown in table 1. The inclusion criteria are PSA level ≥ 4 ng/ml, with or without nodular palpable prostate from DRE. Exclusion criteria are
not cooperative/ fail to do MRI procedure, patients with MRI contra indications, claustrophobia and fail to provide histopathology result after mp-MRI procedure.

Examination using single calibrated MRI unit 1.5 Tesla (Avanto Fit), gradient strength 45 mT/m, slew rate 200 T/m/s, b value of 0, 50, 500, 800, 1000, 1500, 2000 (high b-value) with a pelvic phased array surface coil and endorectal coil.

Below is the specified parameter of the MRI that was used in this research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Parameter of mp-MRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior to the exam, patients were given Hyoscine-N-butylbromide injection to reduce rectal spasm and peristaltic. An endorectal prostate coil (Sentinelle Medical, Siemens AG) was inserted using analgesic gel to avoid pain. The mp-MRI images were evaluated by a radiologist experienced with MRI and had been assessing prostate mp-MRI for at least 5 years. After the examination, patients were asked to bring the result to the urologist, to get further assessment for work-up, therapy or follow up procedures. All the patients were contacted by researcher for further follow up regarding the biopsy results and asked to send the data over.

The prostate is divided into peripheral zone, central zone and transitional zone with prostate anatomical map from Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PIRADS) version 2 to point out the lesion location. This map can be used as biopsy guidance so that the biopsy will focus more in the suspicious areas. The category evaluation was based on Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PIRADS) version 2.
Assessment of T2Weighted Image (T2WI)

T2 images are used to differentiate central gland with peripheral gland, assess abnormalities within the gland and to evaluate seminal vesicle invasion, Extra Capsular Extension (ECE), and nodal involvement.

Assessment of Diffusion-Weighted Imaging (DWI)

DWI reflects the random motion of water molecules and is a key component of the prostate mp-MRI exam. It should include an ADC map and ADC value in the setting of high b value (b value ≥ 1400sec/mm²). The ADC map is a map of ADC values for each voxel in an image. MRI software setting automatically displayed ADC value when the cursor was placed at certain point, that is called Region Of Interest (ROI). The cursor has to be precisely located to acquire valid value with a reasonable diameter. After the MRI procedure, patients were sent back to the urologist along with the result for evaluation. Biopsies were done by experienced urologists and sent to Pathology Anatomy Department to be analyzed by pathologists. The results were then analyzed using statistical test. As their data distribution was not normal, Mann Whitney U test was used as non-parametric test to analyze whether there was difference between malignant and benign prostate. ROC curve were built to define cut off points of MRI score and ADC value.

RESULTS

From the research flow below, there are 34 patients from 68 patients who have not needed biopsy for the meantime, 50% of the sample populations avoided the unnecessary biopsy and were subjected to active surveillance instead of biopsy since they only had history of PSA elevation, which is not specific for prostate cancer. Unfortunately of all patients who needed to undergo biopsy, only 19 patients were willing to do the procedure. The rests (44.12%) refused to do biopsy because mostly were afraid to do the invasive procedure.
There were 12 patients out of 19 (63.16%) who came out positive for prostate cancer from histopathology report. More patients (58.3%) had multifocal lesions, while 68.75% lesions were located in peripheral zone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Result Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant mp-MRI score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign mp-MRI score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant ADC value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign ADC value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI median score was 2 for benign prostate and 9 for prostate cancer, while ADC median value for benign and prostate cancer respectively was $0.987 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s and $0.542 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s. Statistically significant differences were identified between MRI score for benign and malignant prostate ($p=0.005$, $p<0.05$) also between ADC value for benign and malignant prostate ($p=0.022$, $p<0.05$).

Knowing that there were significant differences between malignant and benign score, there should be cut off points of the MRI score and ADC value to differentiate prostate cancer and benign prostate.

Area Under the Curve (AUC) from the ROC was 0.869 for mp-MRI score and 0.821 for ADC value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Coordinate Points for mp-MRI Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy if score ≥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the coordinate points in table 4, we chose 7 as mp-MRI cut off score as it had the best sensitivity with an acceptable specificity. We also decided to use cut off value 0.684 for ADC with 71.4% sensitivity and 91.7% specificity.

**DISCUSSION**

There was a statistical significant difference between multiparametric MRI total score in benign prostate and malignant prostate, ADC value also had statistical significant difference between benign and malignant prostate. It means both parameters can be used to differentiate malignancy toward other non-malignant conditions. Multiparametric MRI total score that is bigger or equal than 7 indicates malignancy while score that is less than 7 suggests benign condition. As a complementary value, ADC value is used to confirm the finding from MRI score by measuring the ADC value of the lesion. ADC value less than $0.684 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s confirms malignant prostate. However, ADC value reference could only be used
with the same MRI parameter as shown in this research because the value is widely varied and dependent in many internal also external factors. ADC value depends on many factors such as magnetic strength difference of the MRI device used.\textsuperscript{(21)} Tamada et al. mentioned that it also influenced by biologic difference in human at different age.\textsuperscript{(22)} The choice of $b$-value can significantly affect ADC value estimation. ADC value cut off points from other studies or literature can’t be adapted instantly and need caution regarding the $b$ value used.\textsuperscript{(23)} Mean ADC value for cancer Region Of Interest (ROI) and non-cancer ROI, respectively, were 1.11±0.41x10$^{-3}$ and 1.68±0.40x10$^{-3}$ mm$^2$/second ($p <0.01$).\textsuperscript{(16)}

It is a better imaging modality in detecting prostate cancer locally compared to ultrasound, and CT-scan. It can be done without intravenous contrast administration to avoid discomfort in patients and allergic reactions. MRI without contrast injection also can be done to patients with renal impairment.

DRE only has 77.6% sensitivity, 36.7% specificity in detecting prostate cancer.\textsuperscript{(24)} PSA, which has been widely recognized as prostate cancer tumor marker, is not cancer specific.\textsuperscript{(25)} Positive predictive value is 20.6% for PSA from 4-10 ng/mL, and 32.7% for those with PSA from 10-20 ng/mL.\textsuperscript{(26)} Transrectal Ultra Sound (TRUS) is used to measure the volume of prostate gland, with the diagnostic accuracy is as low as DRE. TRUS has been used for local staging of prostate cancer in some studies but was generally considered insufficient; therefore TRUS alone without biopsy has limited value in prostate cancer detection.\textsuperscript{(27)}

TRUS is able to find hypo echoic lesion during biopsies, even though it is more useful for prostate margin landmark, despite that biopsy will still be done systematically without knowing the exact location of the lesion. We have to argue that this kind of “blind” biopsy is somehow inappropriate. It is also against the medical principle of \textit{primum non nocere}, especially for cancer patients whom psychologically fragile and prone to biopsy bad myths in society. Similar opinion was expressed by Dickinson et al who stated that the current diagnostic pathway for prostate cancer remains suboptimal because TRUS guided biopsy as the current standard is conducted blindly to cancer location within the prostate. Men were subjected to TRUS guided biopsies based on elevated PSA only, that led to some probably unnecessary biopsies. This rendered prostate cancer diagnosis a health state that was largely determined by chance, even worse.\textsuperscript{(11,28)} Even with systematic biopsy that has increased from 12 cores to 20 cores, there is still many chances of missed lesion because the lesion can be anywhere outside the “chart” coverage. From one study, it was stated that TRUS-guided biopsy only found hypoechoic lesion in 88 out of 400 patients (22%) and only 20 patients were proven prostate cancer. Nevertheless, no visible lesion from the ultrasound didn’t mean that it was cancer free, there were 25 prostate cancer patients from 312 patients without any lesions visible from ultrasound.\textsuperscript{(28)} Djavan et al. also found in their study previously that the initial biopsy was 22% positive for prostate cancer and at least 10% negative initial biopsy was found positive later in the repeated biopsy.\textsuperscript{(29)} Patients with negative biopsy result but persistent or increasing PSA levels need to do repeat biopsy, which will have negative impact in their quality of life. Repeated biopsy causes more harm and decreasing accuracy compared to repeated MRI. The cancer detection rate was 50.5% in patients with one series of prior negative biopsy findings and 42.3%, 47.6%, 33.3%, and 40% in patients with two, three, four and five series of negative biopsy findings, respectively.\textsuperscript{(30)} Targeted biopsy to a lesion seen by MRI can increase detection of significant cancers and improve evaluation of their grade and size. This strategy will also mean that the detection of insignificant cancers (micro foci detected by chance during systematic biopsy) will decrease,
since mp-MRI do not give rise to suspicion, the indications for unnecessary biopsy would be reduced. This strategy also reduces the number of biopsies to be performed by resorting solely to targeted biopsies. \(^{(14)}\)

A guideline on prostate cancer from European Association of Urology year 2015 mentioned a controversy as some authors proposed mp-MRI as a triage test for biopsy candidate to increase detection of aggressive cancers and reduce over detection of non-significant foci. MRI is done before biopsy, beside to locate the lesion also to avoid MRI artifact due to biopsy. The alternative of waiting 2-4 months after biopsy is likely to be unacceptable and impractical for patients and clinicians. \(^{(31,32)}\) From one systematic review, others concluded that there was not enough evidence to recommend mp-MRI before a first set of negative biopsy. The combination of systematic and targeted biopsy schemes provide the highest detection rate in all studies, suggesting that this approach is the best option, if detection rate is considered the main outcome. \(^{(33)}\)

From this study, we have experienced that there were some patients who refused to do biopsy or repeat biopsy. This might be a relevant condition of people nowadays that would prefer non-invasive methods rather than invasive procedures if possible, mostly because of fear, hesitate against the side effects it may cause and biopsy bad myths.

De Rooij et al. compared the quality of life (QoL) and health care costs for the systematic TRUS-guided biopsy strategy and the imaging-based strategy where MRI and directed MR-guided biopsies were performed. Their results suggested comparable healthcare costs in the two strategies but an improved quality of life (QoL) in the imaging arm. The benefits in QoL are decrease in overdiagnosis and overtreatment in the imaging arm. \(^{(34)}\) Nevertheless, urologists are the ones responsible for decision making, because there are different risks each patient is imposed to, which they need to make clinical judgement for each case regarding the MRI timing, and whether to do biopsy or active surveillance based on different kind of perspectives, studies and views considered. On the other hand, Computed Tomography (CT) is not recommended for local prostate imaging. Although CT continues to be widely used in patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer, it has virtually no role in prostate cancer detection or primary tumor staging. On CT scans, intraprostatic anatomy is not well demonstrated. The poorness of quality make it hard to be able to identify prostate lesion clearly, unless in locally advanced stage. The major role of CT is in the nodal staging of prostate cancer, for which it is limited. \(^{(25)}\) Reported CT sensitivity for the detection of lymph node metastases varies, but it is typically in the range of 36%. \(^{(35)}\)

This research proved that this simpler prostate mp-MRI fulfilled the expectation to be able assist prostate cancer detection and identify the need of biopsy. Mp-MRI imaging procedure is also simple and safe without the use of contrast and without radiation exposure, which support repeated use without any harm. With MRI score 7 as the cut off point for prostate malignancy, the sensitivity is 100 % and specificity is 71.4 %. As for ADC value, cut off point of ≥ 0.684 indicates benign prostate condition with 91.7% specificity and 71.4% sensitivity. ADC value was lower than those mentioned in the literature, both in benign or malignant prostate tissue. It was very possible to have different values, due to different settings, such as b value, different magnetic strength, etc., so this value is only valid for the same MRI device specifications used in this study.

We suggest further research with bigger number of sample and correlation with prostate specific biomarker for widely accepted clinical use.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This simpler multi-parametric MRI can be utilized to differentiate prostate cancer with benign prostate. Cut off of 7 in
mp-MRI score has good sensitivity and specificity while ADC could be used as a complementary value to support the result. Further studies are needed to validate our findings, also more research to elaborate this study with prostate specific biomarker to improve the diagnostic accuracy.
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