Relationship between Primary Dysmenorrhea and Body Composition Parameters in Young Females
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ABSTRACT

The present study was aimed to assess body composition in young women with primary dysmenorrhea (PD). Healthy unmarried female volunteers (30 with primary dysmenorrhea and 30 eumenorrheic (control) ), in the age group of 18-25 years, having regular 28-32 days menstrual cycle were enrolled. Anthropometric measurements such as height, weight, waist and hip circumferences were measured. Body mass index (BMI), waist-hip ratio (WHR), percent body fat (BF%), lean mass, total body water (TBW) and fat-free-mass index (FFMI) were estimated by multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance technique using Body Stat Quad-Scan 4000 in menstrual, follicular and luteal phases. Total body weight, BMI, WHR and BF% were higher while lean mass, TBW and FFMI were found to be lower in dysmenorrheic subjects when compared with eumenorrheic females, but the differences were not statistically significant (P >0.05). No significant (P >0.05) differences were found in change in body composition among all the phases of the menstrual cycle in both groups. Also, dysmenorrhea was not significantly correlated with BMI and body fat percent.
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INTRODUCTION

Primary dysmenorrhea (PD) is usually defined as cramping pain in the lower abdomen occurring at the onset of menstruation or a few hours following onset in the absence of any identifiable pelvic disease. [¹] It is one of the most common gynaecological complaints affecting more than 70% young women. Primary dysmenorrhea can be indicative of ovarian hormonal imbalances, which can lead to impaired fertility and menopausal problems in the future. [²] The etiology and pathophysiology of dysmenorrhea have not been fully elucidated. The risk factors for PD are early menarche, long and heavy menstrual flow as well as positive family history. Although, in literature obesity has also been considered as one of the factors for primary dysmenorrhea, [³] In India, few studies have reported 25.26% [⁴] to 91.67% [⁵] overweight and obese girls suffering from dysmenorrhea. However, the evidence of an association between obesity and dysmenorrheal is inconsistent. [⁶]

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) has become an extremely popular technique to estimate body composition
because of its simplicity, portability, and low cost. BIA estimates total body water (TBW), which is used to predict fat-free mass (FFM). Body fat mass is calculated from the subject’s total body mass minus the estimated FFM. Most of the studies were carried out to estimate body composition using BIA during the normal menstrual cycle. However, there is a paucity of data in primary dysmenorrhea. Therefore, the objective of the present study was -

1. To determine if there were fluctuations in body composition parameters during different phases of menstrual cycle in primary dysmenorrheic women and to compare these findings with eumenorrheic women.
2. To find out, if there was any possible association between the body composition variables and dysmenorrhea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The present study was conducted in the Department of Physiology, Subharti Medical College and associated Chattrapati Shivaji Subharti Hospital, Meerut. The study was approved by the research and ethical committees of the institute. Healthy unmarried young female volunteers in the age group of 18-25 years, having regular 28-32 days menstrual cycle for at least last 6 months prior to the study were recruited from the Subharti University campus. On the basis of a menstrual distress questionnaire, two groups of subjects were enrolled in this study. Group 1 consisted of 30 dysmenorrheic women and group 2 consisted of 30 eumenorrheic women as control. Intensity of pain was assessed using visual analogue scale (VAS) of 0-10. Dysmenorrhea was scored consisting of 3 grades- mild (score 2-4, did not require analgesics), moderate (score 5-7, required analgesics) and severe score (≥8, not relieved by analgesics). Written informed consent was taken from all the participants prior to the beginning of the study.

Exclusion criteria
After a detailed medical history and thorough clinical examination of the subjects, those with history of gynecological, endocrinological, neurological, cardiovascular or any other chronic disorders, smoking, alcoholism, taking hormonal contraceptive pills, diuretics, laxatives, participating in a weight reduction or endurance exercise program or having fever, vomiting and diarrhea during the study were excluded.

Experimental protocol
All the participants were asked to report to the research laboratory of the department preferably between 11 AM-1PM for three subsequent visits of one menstrual cycle i.e. in menstrual, follicular and luteal phases with following instructions:

a) No eating or drinking 3 to 4 hours prior to the test
b) No exercise 12 hours prior to the test.
c) No caffeine consumption 24 hours prior to the test.
d) To remove all metal jewellery.

Anthropometric and body composition measurements
Height was measured by stadiometer to nearest 1 cm and weight (wt), by weighing machine (Krups) to the nearest 1 kg with subjects standing without shoes and wearing light clothes. Circumferences at waist (at the level of umbilicus) and hip (at the level of maximum extension of hips) were measured with a tape measure nearest to 0.1 cm.

Participants were asked to lie in the supine position for 5 minutes breathing normally in a relaxed position. Body mass index (BMI), waist-hip ratio (WHR), BF%, lean mass %, TBW% and fat-free-mass index (FFMI) were measured by multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance technique
using BodyStatQuadScan4000version 4/10(Isle of Man, UK) which employs multi-frequency (5, 50, 100 & 200 kHz) currents through a tetrapolar hand-to-foot impedance model. Care was taken to place the arm well apart from the torso and the legs not touching one another.

**Statistical analysis**

Microsoft Excel and Graph Pad Instat version 3.10,32 bit for Windows were used for statistical analysis. All values were expressed as mean±SD. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used in analyzing the data among the phases of menstrual cycle in both groups. Unpaired Student’s t-test and chi square test were used to find out the level of significance between the two groups. Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was used to assess any possible association between the variables. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**TABLE I : Age and menstrual status of dysmenorrheic (Group I) and control (Group II) subjects.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group II (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>18.9±1.22</td>
<td>19.3±1.91</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at menarche (Years)</td>
<td>12.1±2.36</td>
<td>12.7±0.97</td>
<td>0.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of cycle (days)</td>
<td>29.8±1.29</td>
<td>29.5±1.52</td>
<td>0.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of menses (days)</td>
<td>5.07±1.01</td>
<td>4.60±0.96</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as Mean±SD; Data were considered statistically significant. P>0.05 was calculated using χ² =6.79, df=1.

**Table II: Body composition parameters in different phases of menstrual cycle of dysmenorrheic (d) and control (c) subjects (n=30 in each group).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Phases of menstrual cycle</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wt (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>60.47±13.03</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>59.96±9.98</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>23.8±4.55</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>23.47±3.96</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>0.80±0.06</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>0.78±0.04</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>29.72±5.64</td>
<td>0.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>28.66±5.45</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass(%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>70.27±5.64</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>71.30±5.43</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBW (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>49.18±5.24</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>49.40±4.53</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>16.38±1.62</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>16.54±1.73</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as Mean±SD; statistical analysis was done by one-way ANOVA test among 3 phases. Wt (weight), BMI (body mass index), WHR (waist-hip ratio), BF%(body fat percentage), TBW% (total body water) and FFMI (fat-free-mass index). No significant differences (P>0.05) were observed between dysmenorrheic and control subjects using Student’s unpaired t test.

**Table III: Pearson correlation coefficient (r) of VAS (5.70±1.32) with BMI and BF % in dysmenorrheic subjects (n=30).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF (%)</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAS (visual analogue scale), BMI (body mass index), BF% (body fat percentage)

**General parameters**

Table I showed age and menstrual status of dysmenorrheic and control subjects. There was no significant difference (P>0.05) with respect to age, age at menarche, length of menstrual cycle and duration of menses between the two groups. Family history of dysmenorrhea was present in 56% and 26.6% in dysmenorrheic and control subjects respectively. In our study, mean VAS score was 5.70±1.32 and it was revealed that 20%, 66.6% and 13.4% of subjects had mild, moderate and severe pain respectively.
Anthropometric & Body composition parameters

Table II showed the measurements of body composition parameters in different phases of the menstrual cycle of dysmenorrheic and control subjects.

Analysis of the data indicated an increase in weight from the menstrual to the luteal phase but the mean BMI was found to be within the normal range in both groups. Majority of the participants had a normal BMI (18.5-24.9 Kg/m²) which was 56.6% in study group & 66.6 % in control group. The underweight (<18 Kg/m²), overweight (25-29.9 Kg/m²) and obese (≥30 Kg/m²) categories were 3.3%, 26.6% and 13.3% respectively in the study group and 6.6%, 20% and 6.6 % respectively in control group. Total body weight, BMI, WHR and BF% were higher while lean mass, TBW and FFMI were found to be lower in dysmenorrheic subjects, but the differences were not statistically significant (P >0.05).

By applying ANOVA, no significant (P >0.05) differences were found in change in body composition among all the phases of the menstrual cycle in both groups. However, BMI and BF% were highest in follicular phase and lowest in menstrual phase. In the menstrual phase this was accompanied by an increase in lean mass and TBW followed by luteal and follicular phases. It was also seen that FFMI was highest in luteal phase and lowest in follicular phase in both groups.

Pearson correlation coefficient

Table III revealed Pearson correlation coefficient between variables in dysmenorrheic subjects. There was no significant correlation of VAS with BMI (p =0.177) and BF% (P = 0.545).

DISCUSSION

The body composition was assessed to determine BMI, WHR, body fat percent, lean mass, total bodyweight and total body water during different phases of the menstrual cycle in young women suffering from primary dysmenorrhea and to compare these findings with eumenorrheic women.

In our study, weight was found to increase from menstrual to luteal phase in both groups which was in accordance with other studies. [8,12] Most women normally store more water during the premenstrual (luteal) phase than during other phases of the cycle. [13] The cause of this fluid retention in the luteal phase may be due to increase in progesterone concentration which is thought to affect renal output and lead to water retention and an increase in TBW. [14] Since water makes up approximately 73% of lean body tissue, fluctuations in body water may influence measurements of body composition and thus affect BF%, lean weight, and total body weight. [15]

Kirchengast and Gartner studied the effect of the menstrual cycle on WHR of 32 females (24 non-oral contraceptive users and 8 oral contraceptive users) and reported slight increase in body weight and WHR in both groups during the second half of the menstrual cycle. [16]

We observed a little reduction in BF% which is accompanied by an increased FFM during the luteal phase followed by menstrual and follicular phases in both groups. Gleichauf et al have reported higher BF% estimated by BIA during the follicular phase than during the luteal phase. Increase in TBW during the luteal phase may cause an overestimation of FFM, and subsequent decrease in BF%. [8] Result of no significance in body fat percent was consistent with the findings of other study. [9]

In our study, there was no significant relationship between the dysmenorrhea with BMI which is consistent with previous studies [4,5] Haidari et al reported that the severity of dysmenorrhea was significantly
related to body fat mass and WHR. However, there was no significant relationship with weight or BMI. [17] A study on the relation of dysmenorrhea of some patients and body composition analysis reported significant relationship with BMI, body fat mass and WHR [18] Few studies have reported that the risk of dysmenorrhea was higher in women who were underweight compared with overweight and obese women. [19,20] Obesity and excess adipose tissue in relation to lean body mass affects estrogen/ progesterone ratio. [21] It has been suggested that high circulating estrogen levels in the luteal phase may cause the excessive prostaglandin (PG)production specially PGF2-α and PGE2. PG action on the uterus is dependent on progesterone levels, with high levels of progesterone rendering the uterus resistant to PG stimulation, and that excess PGs cause dysmenorrhea as progesterone falls prior to menses. [22] Prostaglandin increases myometrial activity resulting in uterine ischemia causing pain. Dysmenorrheic women produce 8–13 times morePGF2-αthan do non dysmenorrheic women [23]

CONCLUSION

Anthropometric (total body weight, BMI and WH) and body composition data (body fat percent, lean mass and TBW) did not present significant differences in the menstrual cycle phases in both groups. When these variables were compared in each phase of the cycle between the control and the study groups, no significant difference was found. Also, dysmenorrhea was not significantly correlated with BMI and body fat percent. Future research may include large sample size, measurement of prostaglandins, estrogen and progesterone levels.

Limitations

The following limitations are noted as they may have affected the outcome of this study. Participants self-reported their dates of menstruation using a calendar starting with the first day of menstruation to the last day of the menstrual cycle, prior to the start of the next menstruation. No other determinations were used in this study. Sample size was small. Also, diet was not controlled. Other methods to assess body composition like Dexas and Tanita were not done due to financial considerations.
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