



Original Research Article

Prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in an Urban Area of Nanded City (Maharashtra, India)

Aswar Nandkeshav R¹, Kale Kalpana M¹, Ghorpade Kusumakar S², Doibale Mohan K³, Barure Balaji S⁴

¹Associate Professor, Dept. of Community Medicine, Govt. Medical College, Akola

²Professor, Dept. of Biochemistry, Govt. Medical College, Akola

³Professor, Dept of Community Medicine, Dr. S.C. Govt. Medical College, Nanded

⁴Medical Officer, Public Health Department, Latur, India.

Corresponding Author: Aswar Nandkeshav R

Received: 30/12/2014

Revised: 13/01/2015

Accepted: 14/01/2015

ABSTRACT

Introduction: In 2020 India is going to be the capital of diabetes as it is reported that 1 out of 4 individuals will be an Indian diabetic in the world.

Unfortunately, there is still inadequate awareness about the real dimension of the problem among the general public. Hence an attempt is being made to find the prevalence and associated risk factors of type 2 diabetes mellitus in urban population of aged 30 years and above of Nanded city (Maharashtra-India).

Material and methods: The present community based cross-sectional study was conducted in urban area of Nanded City of Maharashtra state (India) during July - December 2013. The study population included 780 subjects 30 years & above age group selected by random sampling method.

Results and discussion: In the present study prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus was found to be 9.7% in population aged 30 years and above.

In this study statistically significant association was found between type2 DM and advancing age of the subject, unemployed subjects, high S-E group, having family history of DM, calorie consumption at or above recommended, alcohol consumption, performing sedentary physical activity, having hypertension, obese subjects and having abnormal WHR. No statistical significant association was found between type 2 DM and sex of the subject, religion, educational status, marital status, type of family, type of diet and smoking habit.

Conclusion: Regular screening of high risk persons should be conducted and people should be encouraged to adopt healthy lifestyle for prevention and control of diabetes mellitus.

Key words: Type 2 DM, Obesity, BMI, WHR, Sedentary life style

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is one of the most common noncommunicable diseases prevalent globally and there is substantial evidence that it is a modern epidemic in many developing and newly industrialized

nations, thus posing a serious threat to be met within the 21st century. ⁽¹⁾

According to recent estimates(2011), approximately 366 million (8.5%) people worldwide in 20–79 years age group have diabetes and by 2030, 552 million (8.9%)

people of the adult population is expected to have diabetes with 51% increase in the number of people with diabetes. ⁽²⁾

The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimates the total number of people in India with diabetes to be around 61.3 million in 2011, projected to reach 101.2 million by 2030. India currently stands at number two in the list of top 10 countries. ⁽²⁾ Another estimate has projected that in 2020 India is going to be the capital of diabetes as it is reported that 1 out of 4 individuals will be an Indian diabetic in the world. ⁽³⁾

Unfortunately, there is still inadequate awareness about the real dimension of the problem among the general public in India. There is also a lack of awareness about the existing interventions for preventing diabetes and the management of complications. ⁽⁴⁾

Since studies on diabetes mellitus are scanty from this region of Marathwada (Maharashtra-India) and there is no reported community based study in Nanded city of this region, an attempt is being made to find out the prevalence and associated risk factors of type 2 diabetes mellitus in urban population of aged 30 years and above of this city.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present community based cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted in Municipal Corporation area of Nanded City of Maharashtra state (India) during July - December 2013. According to 2011 census, total population of city was 430,733. ⁽⁵⁾ The study population included all men and women of 30 years & above age group.

The sample size calculated for the present study was 800 by considering the prevalence (p) 12.1%, ⁽⁶⁾ allowable error 20% of prevalence and adding 10% study population to this estimated sample size as

to compensate non-response or incomplete answers.

Sampling technique:

The municipal corporation had 65 wards. According to probability proportional to size (PPS) sampling system ⁽⁷⁾ list of subjects (30 years and above) for each ward was prepared using voter list (Electoral list amended in 2012) and from this list of each ward the study subjects were selected randomly by using random number table. If eligible selected subject was unavailable during the first home visit, they were approached on another pre-informed date as per their convenience. Even after three such visits if the subject was non-compliant, then he / she was considered as non-respondent.

Inclusion criteria:

1. All the men and women aged 30 years and above.
2. Men and women who had given written consent.
3. Known cases of type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Exclusion criteria:

1. Pregnant women and lactating women up to 12 weeks post-partum were excluded from the study due to possibility of impaired glucose tolerance status due to pregnancy.
2. Type 1 diabetes mellitus cases - Those cases of diabetes mellitus which have disease prior to age 30 years and requirement of insulin as the initial therapy. ⁽⁸⁾

Ethical considerations:

Ethical committee approval was obtained prior to the start of the study from Institutional Ethics Committee of Dr. S. C, Govt. Medical College, Nanded.

Tools and techniques for data collection:

The data was collected by visiting the recruited study subjects at their houses. These subjects were interviewed by administering a predesigned and semi-structured questionnaire. Before collection

of the data, written consent was taken from all the study subjects after explaining the purpose of the study in detail.

Socio-demographic characteristics of study subjects like age, sex, religion, marital status, education, occupation, ⁽⁹⁾ socio economic status ^(10,11) and type of family etc were recorded. Information regarding personal habits such as alcohol consumption and smoking, physical activity, dietary history, medical history about diabetes and hypertension was obtained from all subjects. Total Physical activity was assessed by combining scores of occupational and leisure time activities. Leisure time physical activity such as brisk walking, cycling, swimming and house hold work with duration was enquired. ⁽¹²⁾

Family history of diabetes mellitus in their family members like mother, father, brother, sister, grandparents was enquired. Dietary history was assessed by 24 hour recall method for each study subject. Total calorie intake was calculated by approximate caloric values of cooked food preparations. ⁽¹³⁾

Clinical examination of each study subject was carried out in their own house by maintaining the privacy. Female subjects were examined in the presence of female health worker. Blood pressure was measured as per WHO guidelines. ⁽¹⁴⁾ Anthropometric measurements of each study subject i.e. weight, height, waist circumference and hip circumference were measured as per the standard techniques. ^(15,16)

Subjects were asked to remain on overnight fast and after confirmation of fasting, OGTT was performed as per the WHO guidelines. ⁽¹⁷⁾ Known cases of diabetes mellitus were excluded from OGTT but included in the study as cases of diabetes mellitus.

Data analysis:

A database was created in Microsoft Excel software 2007 version. Data analysis

was carried out with the help of statistical measures such as percentages, proportion, Chi-square test and Chi-square test for trend using software Graph Pad Prism Version 5.01 and Open Epi Version 2.3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of 800 study subjects, 20 could not be studied due to various reasons like absence at home even after three visits - 7, migrated to other place - 4, not willing - 4, pregnant women - 3 and lactating women - 2. Hence 780 (97.5%) subjects were studied for present study during the period July - December 2013.

Table 1 shows results of Oral Glucose Tolerance Test. Out of total 780 study subjects, 49 study subjects were already diagnosed as Type 2 DM (known cases) and hence excluded from OGTT. Thus OGTT was performed in 731 study subjects.

Table 1: Results of Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (n=731)

Results (OGTT)	Male (%)	Female (%)	Total (%)
Normal Glucose Tolerance (NGT) (<140 mg/dl)	318(90.1)	331(87.6)	649(88.8)
Impaired Glucose Tolerance (140 to <200 mg/dl)	23(6.5)	32(8.5)	55(7.5)
Diabetes Mellitus (≥200 mg/dl)	12(3.4)	15(3.9)	27(3.7)
Total	353(48.3)	378(51.7)	731(100)

In the present study out of 731 subjects, normal glucose tolerance was seen in 649 (88.8%), Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) in 55 (7.5%) and diabetes mellitus in 27 (3.7%) subjects. Individuals with impaired glucose tolerance may be euglycaemic in their daily lives & diabetes will not necessarily develop in them. IGT is not a clinical entity in its own right ⁽¹⁷⁾ and hence considered as non-diabetics. Thus total cases of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the present study were 76 i.e. 27 newly diagnosed cases and 46 known cases of diabetes mellitus. Thus the prevalence of

Type2 DM among study subjects was 9.7% (95% C.I. 7.5-11.9).

The ratio of known cases to newly detected Type2 DM was 1.8:1 i.e. approximately behind every two known cases of DM there was one undiagnosed case of DM which signifies the hidden burden of the disease in this population.

Various studies done in urban Indians show the prevalence of Type2 DM in the range from 5.3% to 15.7 % .^(6,18,19)

Present study had shown that the prevalence of type 2 DM is high in this urban population i.e. 9.7%. The global prevalence of diabetes in 2008 was estimated to be 10% in adults⁽⁴⁾ which is comparable to prevalence observed in this study.

Table 2: Socio-demographic characteristics of subjects and diabetes mellitus

Demographic factors		Diabetics (%)	Non-diabetics (%)	Total (%)
Age Group (Years)	30 – 39	06(03.4)	173(96.6)	179(22.9)
	40 – 49	21(08.9)	215(91.1)	236(30.3)
	50 – 59	28(14.2)	169(85.8)	197(25.3)
	60 – 69	15(13.0)	100(87.0)	115(14.7)
	≥70	06(11.3)	47(88.7)	53(06.8)
Sex	Male	33(08.8)	341(91.2)	374(47.9)
	Female	43(10.6)	363(89.4)	406(52.1)
Religion	Hindu	23(08.3)	255(91.7)	278(35.6)
	Muslim	27(12.8)	184(87.2)	211(27.1)
	Buddhist	25(10.5)	212(89.5)	237(30.4)
	Others(Sikh, Jain)	01(01.9)	53(98.1)	54(06.9)
Type of family	Nuclear	56(10.1)	497(89.9)	553(70.9)
	Joint	20(08.8)	207(91.2)	227(29.1)
Educational status	Illiterate	19(11.7)	143(88.3)	162(20.8)
	Primary school	13(08.2)	146(91.8)	159(20.4)
	Middle school	15(07.8)	178(92.2)	193(24.7)
	Secondary school	12(11.4)	93(88.6)	105(13.5)
	Higher secondary	8(08.5)	86(91.5)	94(12.1)
	Graduation & above	9(13.4)	58(86.6)	67(08.5)
Marital Status	Unmarried	00(00.0)	26(100)	26(03.3)
	Married	72(10.1)	641(89.9)	713(91.4)
	Others (widowed, divorced)	04(09.8)	37(90.2)	41(05.3)
Occupation	Unemployed	49(12.2)	354(87.8)	403(51.7)
	Unskilled	06(03.7)	156(96.3)	162(20.8)
	Semiskilled	10(09.0)	101(91.0)	111(14.2)
	Skilled	07(09.9)	64(90.1)	71(09.1)
	Professionals	04(12.1)	29(87.9)	33(04.2)
S-E status	Class I	04(33.3)	08(66.7)	12(01.5)
	Class II	10(17.2)	48(82.8)	58(07.4)
	Class III	24(13.7)	150(86.2)	174(22.3)
	Class IV	18(08.0)	206(92.0)	224(28.7)
	Class V	20(06.4)	292(93.6)	312(40.0)

Relation between Socio-demographic characteristics of study subjects and diabetes mellitus is shown in table 2.

It is observed that prevalence of diabetes mellitus increased significantly with advancing age. (χ^2 test for trend = 9.513, df = 1, p < 0.05) Such type of finding is also observed by various authors in India population.^(6,19,20)

Although the diabetes may occur at any age, surveys indicate that prevalence rises steeply with age. Type 2 diabetes usually occurs in the middle year of life and thereafter begins to rise in frequency. It may be due to glucose tolerance decreases with age due to deterioration in insulin sensitivity with increasing age and also probably due to less work, less exercise, carbohydrate

intolerance and improved life expectancy. Hence the age is considered most consistent risk factor world over for rise in DM prevalence. This is quite consistent with the studies done outside the Indian subcontinent like in USA, ⁽²¹⁾ Denmark ⁽²²⁾ and Hongkong. ⁽²³⁾

Prevalence was observed more in females (10.6%) as compared to males (8.8%). Since females in this part of Indian subcontinent are sedentary house wives, have less outdoor activities hence tend to be more obese which could explain the increased prevalence of DM in them as compared to men. ⁽²⁴⁾ But in this study this difference is not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 0.6916$, $df = 1$, $p > 0.05$). Similar findings were also reported by other studies. ^(18,25,19,26)

In the present study high prevalence of diabetes mellitus among Muslims (12.8%), as compared to other religions was observed. However this association is not significant ($\chi^2 = 6.918$, $df = 3$, $p > 0.05$). Similar findings were also observed by Tandle BV, ⁽¹⁸⁾ Vijayakumar G et al, ⁽²⁷⁾ Rao CR et al, ⁽²⁸⁾ Dowse GK et al. ⁽²⁹⁾

The present study reveals that there is no statistical association between educational status and type 2 DM ($\chi^2 = 3.561$, $df = 5$, $p > 0.05$). Bharati DR et al ⁽³⁰⁾ also found no association between type 2 DM with education in their study.

However in contrast to present study findings, Arora V et al ⁽¹⁹⁾ reported that the prevalence of diabetes mellitus was influenced by education.

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus among married study subjects was 10.1% and among widowed & divorced subjects it was 9.8%. No case of DM was found in unmarried. The association between marital status and diabetes mellitus among study subjects was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 2.909$, $df = 2$, $p > 0.05$).

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus was highest 12.2% among unemployed subjects, 12.1% among professionals, 9.9% among skilled workers, 9.0% among semiskilled workers and lowest 3.7% among unskilled workers. The difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 9.675$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.05$). Similar observations were also reported by Ramachandran A et al ⁽⁶⁾ and Arora V et al. ⁽¹⁹⁾

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus was 10.1% among study subjects belonging to nuclear families and 8.8% among subjects belonging to joint families. The difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 0.3169$, $df = 1$, $p > 0.05$).

In the present study, it is observed that prevalence of DM increased from low socioeconomic group to high S-E group i.e. from 6.4% in class V to 33.3% in class I S-E group. The Chi-square for trend for S-E status and type2 DM is found to be statistically significant (χ^2 test for trend = 16.52, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). Association between DM and S-E status was also observed by various authors in their studies. ^(6,27) Higher socioeconomic classes are associated with high calorie diet intake and sedentary lifestyle which lead to obesity and then to diabetes.

Table 3 shows high risk factors present in the subjects and diabetes mellitus. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus was significantly high 19.9% among subjects with family history of diabetes mellitus compared to 7.4% among study subjects without family history of diabetes mellitus. ($\chi^2 = 20.92$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). Family history of type 2 diabetes mellitus is one of the major contributing factors in causation diabetes in next generation i.e. NIDDM is having genetic predisposition. Similar findings were noted by various authors in their studies. ^(6,21,31-33)

Table 3: High risk factors present in the subjects and diabetes mellitus

High risk factors		Diabetics (%)	Non-diabetics (%)	Total (%)
Family H/O DM	Yes	29(19.9)	117(80.1)	146(18.7)
	No	47(07.4)	587(92.6)	634(81.3)
Diet pattern	Vegetarian	22(08.2)	245(91.8)	267(34.2)
	Mixed diet	54(10.5)	459(89.5)	513(65.8)
Calorie intake	≥2100 kcal	42(17.4)	199(82.6)	241(30.9)
	<2100 kcal	34(06.3)	505(93.7)	539(69.1)
H/O Alcohol consumption	Alcoholics	16(14.8)	92(85.2)	108(28.9)
	Non-alcoholics	17(06.4)	249(93.6)	266(71.1)
Smoking habit	Smokers	14(09.8)	129(90.2)	143(38.2)
	Non-smokers	19(08.2)	212(91.8)	231(61.8)
Physical activity	Sedentary	30(17.0)	146(83.0)	176(22.5)
	Light	27(12.1)	196(87.9)	223(28.6)
	Moderate	16(05.6)	270(94.4)	286(36.7)
	Heavy	3(03.2)	92(96.8)	95(12.2)
hypertension	Present	21(14.9)	120(85.1)	141(18.1)
	Absent	55(08.6)	584(91.4)	639(81.9)
BMI	Non obese (<29.99)	61(08.6)	648(91.4)	709(90.9)
	Obese (≥30.00)	15(21.1)	56(78.9)	71(09.1)
Waist Hip Ratio	Normal (Male <1.0, Female <0.85)	34(06.6)	479(93.4)	513(65.8)
	Abnormal (Male >1.0, Female >0.85)	42(15.7)	225(84.3)	267(34.2)

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus was 10.5% (54/513) among subjects with mixed diet pattern and 8.2% (22/267) in vegetarian subjects. The association between diet pattern and diabetes mellitus was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 1.044$, $df = 1$, $p > 0.05$). But it was observed that the prevalence of diabetes mellitus in subjects consuming calories at or above recommended level was more (17.4%) while it was 6.3% in those consuming below recommended level. The association between calorie intake and prevalence of diabetes mellitus was statistically highly significant ($\chi^2 = 23.41$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). It may be because of higher calories consumption if it is associated with physical inactivity causes obesity which is important determinant of insulin resistance.

As in the present study no women was found to be alcoholic hence these were excluded from denominator. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus in alcoholics was 14.8% (16/108), and in non-alcoholics it was 6.4% (17/266). The association between alcohol consumption and diabetes mellitus was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 4.412$, $df = 1$, p

< 0.05). Excessive intake of alcohol can increase the risk of diabetes by damaging the pancreas and liver and by promoting obesity. Similar finding were also observed by Carlsson S. (34)

As in the present study no women was found to be smoker hence these were excluded from denominator. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus in smokers was 9.8% (14/143), and in non smokers 8.2% (19/212). The association between smoking and diabetes mellitus was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 0.2689$, $df = 1$, $p > 0.05$). No statistical association between smoking and diabetes was observed by Gupta A et al (35) Morris RD et al (36) and Uchimoto S et al (37)

On the contrary to the findings of present study, Tandle BV (18) and Ahmad J et al (26) showed that association of smoking and diabetes mellitus was significant.

The role of smoking in causation of diabetes mellitus is still uncertain; some showing statistical association between smoking and diabetes and others does not.

In the present study prevalence of DM was found to increase from lowest

(3.2%) among subjects performing heavy physical activities to highest (17.0%) among subjects performing sedentary physical activities. The association between physical activity and prevalence of diabetes mellitus was highly significant ($\chi^2 = 22.37$, $df = 3$, $p < 0.001$). Physical inactivity may alter the interaction between insulin and its receptors and subsequently lead to type 2 DM.

Similar findings were also observed in various studies. (6,18,26,28,30) Globally physical inactivity accounts for 14% of diabetes mellitus. It acts as a major risk factor for obesity which has significant relation with diabetes mellitus. (38)

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus among subjects having hypertension was 14.9% while it was 8.6% among normotensive subjects. The association between hypertension and diabetes mellitus was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 5.191$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.05$). Both diabetes mellitus and hypertension occur in increasing frequency with increasing age and they have a common predisposing factor i.e. insulin resistance. Similar findings were also recorded in various studies. (27,32)

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus was 8.6% among non obese subjects and 21.1% among obese subjects. The association between BMI (≥ 30.00) and DM was highly significant ($\chi^2 = 11.51$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). The prevalence of diabetes mellitus among subjects having abnormal WHR was 15.7% and 6.6% among those having normal WHR. The association between WHR and diabetes mellitus was also highly significant ($\chi^2 = 16.55$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). A higher WHR (>1 in men & >0.85 in female) indicates abdominal fat accumulation and these persons are having increased risk for diabetes due to insulin resistance as compared to less serious gynoid fat distribution in which fat is more evenly and peripherally distributed around the body.

In some instances obesity reduces the number of insulin receptors on target cells. Evidences both from prospective and cross sectional studies suggest obesity to be strongly linked to diabetes. (18,27,39)

CONCLUSION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a chronic hereditary and lifestyle induced lifelong disease. It is of public health importance on account of its long term effect leading to serious complications including heart disease, blindness, kidney failure, neuropathy with risk of foot ulcers, amputations and premature death. Therefore it is important to detect and treat it before the occurrence of any complication. Regular screening of high risk persons such as those who are aged 30 years & above, obese, having sedentary lifestyle and family history of diabetes mellitus should be conducted. The population should be encouraged to adopt healthy lifestyle i.e. avoiding sedentary behavior, increasing physical exercise, avoid excess alcohol and should consume balanced diet etc.

REFERENCES

1. Rajendra P. Epidemiology of diabetes in India: Current Prospective and Future Projection. Journal of Indian Medical Association 2002;100(3):237-248.
2. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 5th edition. International Diabetes Federation, Brussels, Belgium, 2011.
3. Sudheer B, Venkatesh S, Thilagavathi J, Ashok kumar CK, Venkateswarlu naidu Y. Prevalence of diabetes in Tirupati urban population and the role of risk factors associated with it - A preliminary survey. Int J PharmTech Res 2010; 2(2):1437-1438.
4. Park K. Park's textbook of preventive and social medicine, 22nd

- edition, Banarsidas Bhanot Publishers, Jabalpur, India;2013:362-367.
5. Delimitation commission, Part – 1 (C) Abstract Data – Administrative unit of research Nanded, 2011 census.
 6. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Kapur A, Vijay V, Mohan V, Das AK et al. For the diabetes epidemiology study group in India. High prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National urban diabetes survey. *Diabetologia* 2001;44:1094-1101.
 7. Steps in applying Probability Proportional to Size (PPS) and calculating Basic Probability Weights [Online]. [cited on 11 Jan 2012]; Available from: URL:http://www.who.int/tb/advisory_bodies/impact_measurement_taskforce/meetings/prevalence_survey/psws_probability_prop_size_bierrenbach.pdf
 8. Kasper DL, Braunwald D, Fauci AS, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Jameson JL. Harrison's principles of internal medicine. 16th edition. McGraw Hill Medical Publishing Division 2005;(Vol II): 2152-2180.
 9. National classification of occupations, 2004. Directorate general of employment and training, Ministry of labor, Government of India [Online]. [Cited on 27 Sep 2011]; Available from: URL:<http://dget.nic.in/nco>
 10. Baride JP, Kulkarni AP. Textbook of Community Medicine, 3rd edition. Vora Medical Publication 2006;30-32.
 11. All India Consumer Price Index (Base 1960) for industrial workers (Quarterly Average) [Online]. [Cited on 10 Jul 2012]; Available from: URL:<http://cyberjournalist.org.in/manisana/aicpinew.html>
 12. Singh RB, Ghos S, Niza MA, Rastogi V. Validation of physical activity and socioeconomic status questionnaire in relation to food intakes for the five city study and proposed classification for Indians. *JAPI* 1997;45(8):603-606.
 13. Gopalan C, Ramshastri BV, Balasubramanian SC. Nutrition value of Indian foods – 2004. National Institute of Nutrition, ICMR, Hyderabad:98.
 14. Report of WHO consultation. Hypertension control. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; TRS - 862, 1996.
 15. Jelliffe D.B. The assessment of the nutritional status of the community. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; WHO Monograph series - 53, 1966.
 16. Report of WHO consultation. Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; TRS - 854, 1995.
 17. Report of a WHO consultation. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications; Part 1: Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1999.
 18. Tandle BV. Prevalence and risk factors of diabetes mellitus in adults aged 25 years and above in urban health centre field practice area of Govt. Medical College, Aurangabad: Thesis submitted to Marathwada University, Auragabad; 2000.
 19. Arora V, Malik JS, Khanna P, Goyal N, Kumar N, Singh M. Prevalence of

- Diabetes in urban Haryana. *AMJ* 2010;3(8):488-494.
20. Nayak HK, Vyas S, Solanki A, Tiwari H. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes in urban population of Ahmedabad, Gujarat. *Indian J Med Specialities* 2011;2(2):101-105.
 21. Lee ET, Howard BV, Savage PJ et al. Diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in three American Indian population aged 45-74 years. The Strong Heart Study, *Diabetes care*, 1995; 18(5), 599-610
 22. Denmark (Anger E, Thorsteinson, Erikson M. Impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes mellitus in elderly subjects. *Diabetes Care* 5, 1989; 600-604)
 23. Woo J, Swaminathan R, Cockram C, Pang CP, Mark YT, Au SY et al. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus and assessment of methods of detection among the community of elderly Chinese in Hong Kong *Diabetologia* 1987 30: 863-868
 24. Motala AA, Pirie FJ, Gouws E, Amod A, Omar MA. High incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in south African Indian. A 10 years follow up study. *Diabetes Med* 2003; 20:23-30
 25. Misra A, Pandey RM, Devi JR, Sharma R, Vikram NK, Khanna N. High prevalence of diabetes, obesity and dyslipidemia in urban slum population in northern India. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001;25:1722-1729.
 26. Ahmad J, Ahmad MM, Mohd A, Rashid R, Ahmad R, Ahmad A et al. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and its associated risk factors in age group of 20 years and above in Kashmir, India. *Al Ameen J Med Sci* 2011;4(1) :38 -44.
 27. Vijayakumar G, Arun R, Kutty VR. High prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and other metabolic disorders in rural central Kerala. *JAPI* 2009;57:563-567.
 28. Rao CR, Kamath VG, Shetty A, Kamath A. A study on the prevalence of type-2 diabetes in coastal Karnataka. *Int J Diab Dev Ctries* 2010;30(2):80-85.
 29. Dowse GK, Gareeboo H, Zimmet PZ, Alberti KG, Tuomilehto J, Fareed D et al. High prevalence of NIDDM and impaired glucose tolerance in Indian, Creole, and Chinese Mauritians. Mauritius noncommunicable disease study group. *Diabetes* 1990;39(3):390-6.
 30. Bharati DR, Pal R, Kar S, Rekha R, Yamuna TV, Basu M. Prevalence and determinants of diabetes mellitus in Puducherry, South India. *J Pharm Bioall Sci*. 2011;3(4):513-518.
 31. Bener A, Zirie M, Janahi IM, Al-Hamaq AO, Musallam M, Wareham NJ. Prevalence of diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes mellitus and its risk factors in a population-based study of Qatar. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2009 Apr;84(1):99-106.
 32. Ravikumar P, Bhansali A, Ravikiran M, Bhansali S, Walia R, Shanmugasundar G et al. Prevalence and risk factors of diabetes in a community-based study in North India: The Chandigarh urban diabetes study. *Diabetes Metab* 2011;37:216-221.
 33. Shah Sk, saikia M, Barman NN, snehlata C, ramchandran A. High prevalence of type 2 diabetes in urban population in north eastern India. *Int.J.diabetesdev* vol 19, 144-146.
 34. Carlsson S. Alcohol consumption, type 2 diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in middle aged Swedish men: Heavy drinking is a

- risk factor in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetic Medicine* 2000;26:2353-2358.
35. Gupta A, Gupta R, Sarana M, Ratogi S, Gupta VP, Kothari K. Prevalence of diabetes impaired fasting glucose and insulin resistance syndrome in an urban Indian population. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2003;61:69-76.
36. Morris RD, Rimm AA. Association of waist to hip ratio and family history with the prevalence of NIDDM among 25,272 adult, white females. *American Journal of Public Health* 1991;81(4):507-509.
37. Uchimoto S, Tsumura K, Hayashit T, Suematsut C, Endot G, Fujii S et al. Impact of cigarette smoking on the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in middle aged Japanese men: The Osaka Health Survey. *Diabetic Medicine* 1999;16:951-955.
38. American Diabetes Association, Diabetic Nephropathy, *Diabetes Care* 2002, 25; 85-89
39. Akhter A, Kaniz F, Afroz A, Bhowmik B, Liaquat A, Akhtar H. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and its associated risk indicators in a rural Bangladeshi population. *The Open Diabetes Journal* 2011;(4):6-13.

How to cite this article: Aswar NR, Kale KM, Ghorpade KS et. al. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in an urban area of Nanded city (Maharashtra, India). *Int J Health Sci Res.* 2015; 5(2):1-10.

International Journal of Health Sciences & Research (IJHSR)

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Health Sciences & Research is a multidisciplinary indexed open access double-blind peer-reviewed international journal that publishes original research articles from all areas of health sciences and allied branches. This monthly journal is characterised by rapid publication of reviews, original research and case reports across all the fields of health sciences. The details of journal are available on its official website (www.ijhsr.org).

Submit your manuscript by email: editor.ijhsr@gmail.com OR editor.ijhsr@yahoo.com