

Review Article

## **Neurocognitive Effects of Cancer: A New Surrogate End Point**

K Govind Babu<sup>1</sup>, Lakshmaiah K C<sup>2</sup>, Nagesh T Sirsath<sup>3</sup>, Lokanatha Dasappa<sup>1</sup>, Linu Abraham Jacob<sup>4</sup>, Suresh Babu<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>2</sup>Professor, <sup>3</sup>DM Resident, <sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Oncology, Kidwai Memorial Institute of Oncology, Banglore-560029, Karnataka, India.

Corresponding Author: Nagesh T Sirsath

Received: 08/02//2014

Revised: 04/03/2014

Accepted: 14/03/2014

#### ABSTRACT

The longer survival of a subset of cancer patients treated with chemotherapy (CT) or CNS directed radiotherapy (RT) has revealed that these treatments can impair cognitive functions. Following this discovery, researchers and clinicians have a new challenge on their table: to improve the quality of life of cancer survivors. Neurocognitive effects of cancer defined by problems with thinking, learning, remembering and executive function (i.e. the ability to organize, plan, hold information in mind and manipulate it and self-monitor behavior) have become an expanding area of scientific interest. These deficits significantly impair the daily life of the patient causing decreased independence, interference in academic or vocational pursuits, and increased caregiver distress and burden. Subtle cognitive deficits can also significantly limit a patient's ability to perform usual activities, although it may not be evident on casual observation or detectable via routine medical examinations. Neurocognitive outcomes are considered important end points in clinical trials of new agents; the U.S. Food and Drug Administration considers improvement in neurocognitive function or delay in expected decline as the approved end points in registration trials, as these end points directly relate to clinical benefit.

The purpose of this review is to discuss neurocognitive impairment in cancer patients and various neuroprotective strategies available to minimize this neurocognitive deficit.

Key words: neurocognitive, chemotherapy, WBRT, cancer

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Cognitive changes are a documented consequence of cancer therapies, including chemotherapy and radiotherapy. The ability to inhibit cell division, the key element in cancer therapies, causes reduction in neurogenesis, which is implicated in cognitive disorders. Radiotherapy is delivered to the brain for the treatment of primary brain tumors and brain metastases, and also prophylactically to decrease the occurrence of CNS relapse in patients with small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and certain hematological malignancies with known high rates of CNS relapse. Brain radiotherapy has been shown to have profound negative impact on cognitive functions.<sup>[1]</sup>

Chemotherapy induced cognitive impairment is noted in 30% patients. The exact underlying mechanism of the impairment is currently unknown. Most of the cognitive research has been in breast cancer survivors although there are currently ongoing studies investigating cognitive function in patients with colorectal, testicular and prostate cancer. Longitudinal studies with baseline cognitive assessments have been published in the last few years. These have reported that up to 30% of patients with solid tumors may have cognitive impairment before receiving chemotherapy.<sup>[2]</sup> This has been attributed as a paraneoplasic manifestation of cancer and is closely related to the area involved in case of brain tumors.

The impact of primary tumor itself on neurocognitive functions and role of surgery alone in causing neurocognitive deterioration is being studied extensively.

The present scenario justifies the routine use of cognitive rehabilitation programmes in cancer survivors and use of preventive strategies to minimize the neurocognitive deficit.

# Neurocognitive late effects in childhood cancer survivors

Neurocognitive late effects most commonly follow treatment of malignancies that require central nervous system (CNS)-directed therapies, such as cranial radiation, systemic therapy with high-dose methotrexate or cytarabine, or with intrathecal chemotherapy. Children with brain tumors or acute lymphoblastic leukemia are most likely to be affected. Risk factors for the development of neurocognitive side effects are female gender, young age at the time of treatment, higher radiation dose, and treatment with both cranial radiation and chemotherapy (systemic or intrathecal).<sup>[3][4]</sup>

## Pediatric brain tumors

Brain tumors are the most common pediatric solid tumor with an annual incidence of 2.7 per 100,000 children under the age of 20 years. <sup>[5]</sup> Survival rates have increased over recent decades for children with brain tumors; however, long-term cognitive effects due to their illness and associated treatments are emerging. Cranial irradiation used in management of these tumors has consistently shown a link to symptoms of neurocognitive deficit. Upon neuropsychological testing, 40-100% of long-term brain tumor survivors have been found to have some form of cognitive dysfunction.<sup>[6]</sup>

Neurocognitive deficits are inversely related to age at the time of irradiation and correlate directly with both site (supratentorial irradiation > posterior fossa irradiation) and radiation dose. <sup>[7][8]</sup> Detailed assessment of neuropsychological function usually identifies multiple areas of damage in information processing. Some studies have found specific neurocognitive deficits in attention, memory, coordination, fine motor speed, visual motor processing, mathematics, and spatial relations. <sup>[7][8]</sup> The negative impact of radiation treatment has characterized been by changes in intelligence quotient (IO) scores, which have been noted to drop about 2 to 5 years after diagnosis and an attenuation of the decline 5 to 10 years afterward, followed by stabilization of the IQ scores 20 to 40 years after diagnosis. <sup>[9][10][11]</sup> Affected children experience information-processing may deficits resulting in academic difficulties, and are prone to problems with receptive and expressive language, attention span, and visual and perceptual motor skills. These changes in intellectual functioning may be partially explained by radiation-induced or chemotherapy-induced reduction of normal white matter volume as evaluated through magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).<sup>[12]</sup>

Extent of surgical resection has been found to have a positive relation with intellectual function. <sup>[13]</sup> as well as no relation at all. <sup>[14]</sup> Brain tumor patients with hydrocephalus at diagnosis are at higher risk for intellectual deficits than those with no hydrocephalus at diagnosis. <sup>[15]</sup> The resultant increased intracranial pressure due to hydrocephalus can give rise to declining academic performance, fatigue, personality changes and vague intermittent headaches.

Additionally, there is preliminary evidence to suggest that survivors of medulloblastoma with glutathione Stransferase M1 and T1 gene polymorphisms may be at an increased risk of neurotoxicity and intellectual impairment. <sup>[16]</sup> A report from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital showed that age at time of irradiation was more important than radiation dose in predicting cognitive decline. Children younger than 5 years showed the most cognitive decline.<sup>[17]</sup>

Using lower doses of radiation and more targeted volumes have demonstrated improved results minimizing the neurocognitive effects of therapy. Recent indicated that patients studies with medulloblastoma exposed to lower doses of whole-brain irradiation (23.5 Gy) are at reduced risk of neurocognitive dysfunction. <sup>[18]</sup> Treatment of neurocognitive deficits with pharmacologic and/or rehabilitative interventions may ameliorate the learning and memory difficulties associated with declines in IQ. Methylphenidate has been shown to reverse attentional problems and improve memory/learning at least in timelimited measures. <sup>[19]</sup>Ongoing studies are being performed to address prospective interventions during and immediately after irradiation.

## Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia

The increase in cure rates for children with ALL over the past decades has resulted in greater attention to the neurocognitive morbidity and quality of life of survivors. In survivors of ALL, cranial radiation therapy leads to considerable neurodevelopment late sequelae. Although these abnormalities are mild in some patients (overall IQ fall of approximately 10

points), those who have received higher doses at a young age may have significant learning difficulties.<sup>[20][21]</sup> Deficits in visualmotor integration, processing speed. and short-term memory attention. are reported in children treated with 1800 cGy to 2400 cGy. <sup>[22][23]</sup> Girls and younger children are more vulnerable to cranial irradiation.<sup>[24]</sup> The decline in intellectual functioning appears to be progressive, showing more impairment of cognitive function with increasing time since radiation therapy.<sup>[25]</sup> Most studies of chemotherapyonly CNS-directed treatment display good neurocognitive long-term outcomes. However, longitudinal few studies evaluating long-term neurocognitive outcome report a decline in global IQ after treatment with chemotherapy alone.<sup>[26][27]</sup> achievement The academic of ALL survivors in the long term seems to be generally average for reading and spelling with deficits mainly affecting arithmetic performance.<sup>[28][29]</sup> During long-term followup of children treated for ALL that had CNS-directed RT [18 Grey (Gy) in 10 chemotherapy fractions] and using intrathecal methotrexate, parents commonly report that children are unable to attend to simple tasks or their eye-hand coordination is poor or their memory is unsatisfactory or their arithmetic ability is inadequate. These reports are substantiated by the school teachers' reports of these children. Because of its penetrance into the CNS, systemic methotrexate has been used in a variety of and high-dose regimens for low-dose prophylaxis. leukemia CNS Systemic methotrexate in high doses can lead to an infrequent but well-described which severe leukoencephalopathy, in neurocognitive deficits are obvious.<sup>[30]</sup>

Neurocognitive assessment in children can be done by using the Bender gestalt test (BGT) <sup>[31]</sup> colored progressive matrices (CPM) <sup>[32]</sup> and the Binet Kamat test

168

(BKT) of intelligence.<sup>[33]</sup> The BGT is a nonverbal test of visuo-spatial perception and visuo-motor coordination and integration. It consists of simple geometrical figures which the subject has to copy as they are presented one by one. The test is scored on the basis of certain errors made. The total number of errors made provides an age equivalent, which is a measure of the cognitive function. The CPM is also a nonverbal test. It is designed to assess a child's ability to form comparisons and reason by analogy. It comprises three sets of 12 problems each, represented as patterns with a missing piece, where the subject has to find the missing piece from the alternatives given. It provides a percentile score on analytical reasoning, which is also a measure of the child's intelligence level. The BKT measures general intelligence and provides a global IQ (verbal and nonverbal) of the subject. Pattern analysis of the test items provides estimates of specific cognitive functions.

The goal of current ALL treatment is to minimize adverse late effects while maintaining high survival rates. Patients are stratified for treatment according to their risk of relapse. Avoiding cranial radiation in standard risk patients and using the 12-Gy preventive cranial radiotherapy regimen in medium risk and high risk patients has been shown to provide sufficient central nervous system prophylaxis.<sup>[34]</sup>

Since the life expectancy of these children has increased and most children have long-term survival and even cure, identifying children with cognitive difficulties and parental counseling could be important in the rehabilitation of these children, so that they may benefit from early educational intervention directed towards using cognitive strengths to overcome difficulties. In exceptional cases, admission into special schools for slow learners is another option, where remedial training in scholastic skills can be tried.<sup>[35]</sup> *Other cancers* 

Neurocognitive abnormalities have been reported in other groups of cancer survivors besides patients with CNS tumors and ALL. In a study of adult survivors of childhood non-CNS cancers (including ALL, n = 5,937), 13% to 21% of survivors impairment in had task efficiency, organization, emotional memory, or regulation. Patients with lymphoma and neuroblastoma were particularly affected. This rate of impairment was approximately 50% higher than that in the sibling comparison. Factors such as diagnosis before age 6 years, female gender, cranial radiation therapy, and hearing impediment were associated with impairment. This neurocognitive impairment was associated with important life outcomes in adults, such as unemployment, marriage status, and lack of independent living. Thus, monitoring all non-CNS cancer survivors, particularly those with leukemia, lymphoma, and neuroblastoma, for difficulties in learning and academic performance is essential so that the appropriate intervention may be given during childhood. Focused screening is especially important for children who received any cranial radiation therapy, who are female, who are treated in the preschool age range, and who have hearing deficits.<sup>[23]</sup> Neurocognitive effects of chemotherapy in adults

There is growing evidence that a subset of patients suffer cognitive [36-38] impairment after chemotherapy. Survivors have coined the terms 'chemobrain' and 'chemofog' to describe this symptom, although recent studies have patients' found that some cognitive impairment may predate the chemotherapy <sup>[39]</sup> and hormonal treatment for cancer may also impact on cognitive function. Fortunately, the problem is generally subtle and often improves after ceasing

chemotherapy. However, for some survivors the symptoms are sustained and can impact significantly on their quality of life and ability to function in their everyday activities.<sup>[41]</sup> Most of the cognitive research has been in breast cancer survivors although are currently there ongoing studies investigating cognitive function in patients with colorectal, testicular and prostate cancer. Studies have reported a 15-50% incidence of cognitive impairment in patients who received chemotherapy for solid tumors. <sup>[36,37]</sup> The studies were mainly cross-sectional in design with no evaluation of cognitive function before treatment and no longitudinal data. Comparison between studies is hampered by lack of clear definition of cognitive impairment and standardization of neuropsychological tests used. Despite methodological problems and small sample size, the studies consistently showed a sub-group of people who suffered subtle cognitive impairment, with diffuse yet patchy deficits after chemotherapy. The domains cognitive consistently most impaired were attention, concentration, verbal and visual memory and processing speed. [36,37]

The cause of cognitive impairment in cancer patients after chemotherapy is unknown, but is likely to be multi factorial. Possible mechanisms by which chemotherapy might lead to cognitive include direct dysfunction neurotoxic effects; oxidative damage induced hormonal changes; immune deregulation with release of cytokines and blood clotting in small vessels of the central nervous system. Some patients may have a genetic predisposition for developing cognitive impairment (for example, due to problems with DNA or neuronal repair, changes in neurotransmitter activity). <sup>[2]</sup> It is likely that the regimen, the dose and the duration of chemotherapy influence the incidence and severity of cognitive impairment. According to one study, objective rates of impairment have been higher following treatment with cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and 5fluorouracil (CMF) than after anthracyclinecontaining regimens. <sup>[42]</sup> Studies comparing high-dose chemotherapy for breast cancer with standard-dose chemotherapy have generally found higher rates of cognitive dysfunction in patients who received high doses.<sup>[43]</sup> However, another study found no significant difference between high-dose and standard-dose chemotherapy.<sup>[44]</sup>

Methotrexate is a chemotherapeutic agent used in treatment of primary CNS lymphoma as well as other malignancies. It is often used in high doses and administered intravenously and intrathecally. Methotrexate therapy has been associated with cognitive dysfunction, including severe necrotizing disseminated leukoencephalopathy, which is more common in the elderly and in young children. Much of the toxicity of methotrexate has been attributed to alteration in normal blood brain barrier and brain architecture secondary to tumor infiltration. <sup>[45]</sup> However, there have been reports of leukoencephalopathy after lowdose, oral administration of methotrexate for benign disease such as rheumatoid arthritis.

<sup>[46]</sup> Neurocognitive testing of primary CNS lymphoma patients after treatment with methotrexate (without cranial radiotherapy) has revealed conflicting results, with one small study of 10 patients observing mild cognitive dysfunction.<sup>[47]</sup> and another small study of 10 patients found no gross cognitive deterioration. <sup>[48]</sup> Unfortunately there has been only limited research to examine the neurocognitive effects of specific chemotherapy regimens in primary brain tumor patients. Hilverda et al. <sup>[49]</sup> in their study of cognitive functioning in glioblastoma patients during radiotherapy and temozolomide treatment concluded that non progreesed glioblastoma patients did not have neurocognitive deterioration with

concomitant temozolomide and adjuvant use. Immunotherapy, particularly interferon (IFN)-alpha, can cause significant cognitive impairments and organic mood disturbance. One prospective study of 30 chronic myelogenous leukemia patients examined before and during treatment with IFN-alpha alone or IFN-alpha and chemotherapy, found a significant decline in cognitive function in 53.3% of patients. <sup>[50]</sup> Several physiological mechanisms have been proposed for the neurotoxic effects of IFN, including actions mediated through neuroendocrine, neurotransmitter, and cytokine pathways. Patients with IFN neurotoxicity have been reported to exhibit mild-to-moderate symptoms of frontalsubcortical brain dysfunction, including cognitive and behavioral slowing, apathy, impaired executive functions, and decreased memory.

Study	Participitants	Evaluation	Results
Castellon et al <sup>[51]</sup>	17 breast cancer patients; 19 healthy subjects	2–5 years post-treatment [ baseline assessment not done]	Chemo-treated patients significantly worse in domains of verbal learning, visuospatial functioning, and visual memory than those who had surgery only; No significant difference between chemotherapy treatment regimens.
Brezden et al <sup>[52]</sup>	40 breast cancer patients; 36 healthy controls	Pts currently receiving CT; Patients completed CT on average 2 years previously	More patients who were receiving or had received chemo in past had moderate or severe impairment than in the control group; No significant differences between CMF and CEF groups.
Tchen et al <sup>[53]</sup>	100 breast cancer patients; 100 patient- matched healthy controls	Patients reassessed at 1 to 2 years after initial treatment; Assessment done in conjunction with matched breast cancer patients	Patients experienced a higher incidence of moderate or severe cognitive impairment (16% patients vs. 4% controls). Patients self-reported quality of life was poorer than controls, especially in areas of physical and functional domains.

Table 1. Chamathanany and a	a amitiva deficite studios	a a mama anim a human at a a maa a	motionto mith	motobod boolth	1.00040010
Table 1: Chemoineraby and C	oonnive dencir: sindles i	comparing prease cancer	Darients with	плагспео пеаних	v compois
radie in enemotierapy and e	Shine of activity studies	eomparing oreast eareer	parterio min	materie a meaning	

CEF = cyclophosphamide, epirubicin, and Fluorouracil, CMF = cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and Fluorouracil.

Table 2: Hormonal treatment and cognitive deficit.

Study	Participitants and Treatment given Evaluation	Results		
Bender et al <sup>[54]</sup>	<ul> <li>19 breast patients (stage I,II) treated with Chemotherapy only; 15 breast patients (stage I,</li> <li>II) treated with Chemotherapy and tamoxifen;</li> <li>12 breast carcinoma patients with only surgical treatment (no chemotherapy or tamoxifen)</li> </ul>	Recipients of chemo plus tamoxifen displayed the broadest declines with changes in visual memory and verbal working memory; Women treated solely with chemotherapy exhibited declines in working memory only		
Phillips et al [55]	<ul> <li>22 patients received Tamoxifen (T) for 5 years;</li> <li>37 pts. Received Letrozole (L) for 5 years;</li> <li>28 pts. Received 2 years of T followed by 3 years of L; 33 pts. Received 2 years of L followed by 3 years of T</li> </ul>	Letrozole patients had better overall cognitive function than those taking tamoxifen; In comparison to tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitors are unlikely to impair cognition		
Bender et al [56]	15 patients received Anastrozole for 5 yeras ; 16 pts. Received Tamoxifen for 5 years.	Treatment with anastrozole resulted in greater verbal/visual learning and memory impairment		
Hermelink et al <sup>[57]</sup>	30 patients treated with Chemotherapy only; 62 patients treated with Chemotherapy and hormonal therapy (tamoxifen, anastrazole, or letrozole)	Antiestrogen treatment with tamoxifen, anastrazole, or letrozole did not impact Cognition		

Neurocognitive impairment after whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT)

Radiation therapy (RT) is a major treatment modality for malignant brain tumors and metastasis to brain. The major limiting factor in its use is neurotoxicity. Radio-induced neurocognitive impairment evolves in a biphasic pattern: a subacute transient decline with a peak at four months, and a late delayed irreversible impairment of neurocognitive functions several months or years after completion of WBRT. <sup>[58,59]</sup> Late neurocognitive dysfunction prominently affects working memory, learning ability, executive function, and attention span.

Radiation-induced injury in cerebral tissue is a highly complex and interactive process. Early histopathologic changes in blood vessels after irradiation include dilation and thickening of blood vessels, endothelial cell nuclear enlargement, and hypertrophy of perivascular astrocytes. The dose-dependent endothelial cell death and apoptosis occur as early as 24 hours after irradiation. <sup>[60,61]</sup> The initial injury of vessels

is followed by the formation of platelet matrix and thrombi, which eventually results in occlusion and thrombosis in microvessels within weeks to months. <sup>[62,63]</sup> Furthermore, cerebral vascular injury is followed by degenerative structural changes in white matter. The time lag between vascular injury and demyelination and necrosis in white matter diminishes with increased dose. <sup>[64]</sup>

Α number of studies have prospectively performed extensive neuropsychological testing on adult patients with low-grade neoplasm before (baseline) and after radiotherapy (up to 6 years after radiotherapy) and have not found significant neurocognitive deterioration when compared to either baseline or to a cohort of patients with low-grade brain neoplasms not treated with radiotherapy.

Study	Radiation	Brain	% patients impaired				
	Dose	metastasis	2 months	3 months	6 months	12 month	18 months
		response					
Regine et al <sup>[65]</sup>	30 Gy/10 fractions/ 12	Controlled	0	0	NR	NR	NR
	days	uncontrolled	28	46			
Shibamoto et al <sup>[68]</sup>	40 Gy/20 fractions/33	Controlled	NR	7.4	11	12	0
	days						
Corn et al <sup>[66]</sup>	37.5 Gy/15fractions/19	Controlled	18	24	24	28	28
	days	Uncontrolled	23	23	33	38	40
Aoyama et al <sup>[67]</sup>	30 Gy/10 fractions/12		5	16	16	28	40
	days						

Table 3: Neurocognitive impairment after WBRT as assessed by MMSE

Several studies find that tumor progression is associated with deterioration of neurocognitive function after WBRT in patients receiving WBRT for unresectable brain metastasis. Regine et al <sup>[65]</sup> evaluated neurocognitive outcome as measured by the Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) among patients with unresectable brain metastases randomly assigned to accelerated fractionation AF (3 Gy q.d. to 30 Gy) vs. accelerated hyper fractionated AH (1.6 Gy b.i.d. to54.4 Gy) whole-brain radiation therapy (WBRT). The authors concluded that use of AH as compared to AF-WBRT was not associated with a significant difference in neurocognitive function as measured by mini mental status examination (MMSE).However, control of brain metastases had a significant impact on MMSE. Corn et al. <sup>[66]</sup> also have reported that in patients receiving WBRT for brain metastasis those with progressive disease showed considerable drop in MMSE scores as compared with those who had response to WBRT.

Both Corn et al and Aoyama et al <sup>[67]</sup> showed that neurocognitive decline occurred continuously over time following WBRT. Shibamoto et al <sup>[68]</sup> observed no apparent decrease in mean MMSE score after WBRT. Individually, MMSE scores decreased by four or more points in 11% at 6 months, 12% at 12 months, and 0% at 18 months. Patients with brain progression were excluded from the evaluation but patients

Based on Table 3, NCF as assessed by MMSE score is impaired after WBRT in the year following the completion of WBRT, but appears strongly related to uncontrolled brain metastases. Neurocognitive decline occurred continuously over time. For this reason, the authors subsequently attempted to isolate prognostic factors for brain recurrence in patients with expected long survival, with the objective to withhold WBRT. <sup>[69,70]</sup> They found that patients who had solitary brain metastasis in the absence of extracranial metastases were at lower risk of brain tumor recurrence compared with patients with multiple brain metastases or extracranial disease, and proposed to postpone WBRT in those cases, given that the brain tumor recurrence risk was 31% at 6 and 12 months in patients at lower risk without "up-front" WBRT, allowing 69% of patients to avoid unnecessary treatment. These patients with lower risk of brain recurrence treated tumor were with Stereotactic radiosurgery monotherapy without WBRT.

# Impact of surgery on neurocognitive function

Surgery for brain tumors leads to focal neurocognitive deficits.<sup>[71]</sup> The effects of surgery on neurocognitive functions has been observed in patients with benign tumors, such as pituitary adenomas with nearly half of the transfrontal resection and one third of the transsphenoidal resection cognitive dysfunction demonstrating compared to one quarter of the nonsurgical group.<sup>[72]</sup> In contrast, a study of 27 adult brain tumor patients that included extensive neurocognitive testing before surgery and after surgery found 84% improved in one or

with systemic progressive disease were included, and in about half the patients MMSE score decrease was associated with a decrease in performance status caused by disease progression. systemic more cognitive domains, and 36% worsened in one or more cognitive domains. If memory was impaired at baseline, it was most likely to improve, while executive function was most likely to decline. The authors postulated the improvements in cognitive function were primarily due to relief of mass effect and intracranial pressure. <sup>[73]</sup> Because the treatment of central nervous system tumors typically requires multimodality therapy, it has been difficult to assess the contribution of surgery to the cognitive dysfunction. Further studies are necessary to evaluate patients, especially those receiving surgery alone, with formal neurocognitive testing before and after surgery to help address this issue.

# Impact of primary tumor on cognitive decline

Whether the predominant cause of cognitive decline in patients with brain tumors is the treatment or the tumor itself is an important question. Older studies have emphasized that late neurotoxicity of treatment, especially radiotherapy is the culprit in cognitive decline. However, these studies have lacked baseline neurocognitive testing as the brain tumor itself is often the primary cause of cognitive difficulties. More recent studies that have included prospective baseline and serial neurocognitive testing have found tumor to be the dominant cause of cognitive decline in brain metastases. <sup>[74]</sup> low-grade glioma.<sup>[75]</sup> and high-grade glioma patients.<sup>[76]</sup> There is also mounting evidence that cognitive decline in brain tumor patients after therapy may frequently reflect subclinical tumor progression rather than neurotoxicity resulting from treatment. In an M. D. Anderson Cancer Center study of 56 patients with recurrent high-grade gliomas

treated on phase 1 and phase 2 trials, cognitive deterioration occurred 6 weeks prior to radiographic failure, highlighting the sensitivity and predictive value of neurocognitive assessments.<sup>[77]</sup>

### Neuroprotection

Neuroprotection may be a viable realistic in preventing and goal neurocognitive sequelae in patients with cancer, especially in the setting of cranial irradiation. Modern radiotherapy techniques allow for sparing of sensitive areas of the CNS radiating only the brain area affected by cancer, and not the hippocampus, where the memory is located. Some of them are the intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT), image-guideded radiotherapy (IGRT), and CiberKnife tomography. All allow radio-surgery with great accuracy and minimum error. Sparing of the hippocampus, which has a crucial role in short-term memory, can also be achieved with the use of IMRT. This approach can still deliver acceptable target coverage and homogeneous delivery to the tumor area in the case of brain metastases. <sup>[78]</sup> Obviously, short-term memory is only one of the cognitive aspects affected by hippocampal damage. Exposure of other parts of the brain, such as the frontal and prefrontal cortex, may have a more subtle effect. Declines in attention, executive function, and motor. language. and general intellectual skills have been reported. Therefore. prospective well-controlled studies are needed to validate the role of hippocampal sparing. The researchers now think of adding neuroprotective drugs to the current treatments of CT and RT to prevent brain damage and associated cognitive impairment in patients expected to survive beyond six months. The results are, by far, preliminary and disappointing. For example, in chemobrain erythropoietin has only been tested in a timely trial in women with breast cancer who were treated with chemotherapy

and it gave negative results. A phase III trial was recently completed comparing RT and donepezil with RT and placebo. The results are not favourable to the addition of donepezil. Methylphenidate has also been tried out, but in a less robust assay design, as it lacked a placebo control group. In many studies of the biochemical and behavioral models, lithium, antidepressants, atypical antipsychotics, and many substances such as vitamin A have been found to be neuroprotective. However, none has shown a significant effect size in subsequent adequately powered human clinical trials for this indication. Two very recent breastcancer specific trials have shown that modafinil. а new eugeronic (wakepromoting) drug is useful for the prevention chemotherapy-induced fatigue and of cognitive changes. <sup>[79,80]</sup> However, further studies are needed to validate these results.

### CONCLUSIONS

As the efficacy of treatment for cancer patients continues to evolve and improve, long term treatment sequlae and quality of life issues have emerged as a new challenge for the treating clinicians. Particularly over the past few years neurocognitive effects of cancer have come under immense scrutiny. As we go into the depth of underlying mechanisms associated with neurocognitive deficits associated with cancer and its treatment, effective strategies to prevent and minimize it can be devised.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

### REFRENCES

1. Mustafa Khasraw, David Ashley, Greg Wheeler, and Michael Berk. Using lithium as a neuroprotective agent in patients with cancer. BMC Med. 2012; 10: 131.

- Janette Vardy. Neurocognitive effects of chemotherapy in adults. Aust prescr 2008; 31:22-4
- Ellenberg L, Liu Q, Gioia G, et al.: Neurocognitive status in long-term survivors of childhood CNS malignancies: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Neuropsychology. 2009; 23 (6): 705-17.
- 4. Robinson KE, Kuttesch JF, Champion JE, et al.: A quantitative meta-analysis of neurocognitive sequelae in survivors of pediatric brain tumors. Pediatr Blood Cancer.2010; 55 (3): 525-31.
- Ries LAG, Smith MA, Gurney JG et al. Cancer Incidence and Survival Among Children and Adolescents: United States, SEER Program, 1975-1995. National Institute of Health Pub. No. 99-4649. Bethesda, MD, 1999
- 6. Glauser TA, Packer RJ. Cognitive deficits in long-term survivors of childhood brain tumors. Child's Nervous System 1991;7:7-12.
- 7. Mulhern RK, Palmer SL, Reddick WE, et al. Risks of young age for selected neurocognitive deficits in medulloblastoma are associated with white matter loss. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:472–479.
- Packer RJ, Sutton LN, Atkins TE, et al. A prospective study of cognitive function in children receiving wholebrain radiotherapy and chemotherapy: 2year results. J Neurosurg 1989;70:707– 713.
- 9. Mabbott DJ, Spiegler BJ, Greenberg ML, et al.: Serial evaluation of academic and behavioral outcome after treatment with cranial radiation in childhood. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23 (10): 2256-63.
- 10. Brière ME, Scott JG, McNall-Knapp RY, et al.: Cognitive outcome in pediatric brain tumor survivors: delayed attention deficit at long-term follow-up. Pediatr Blood Cancer.2008; 50 (2): 337-40.
- 11. Edelstein K, Spiegler BJ, Fung S, et al.: Early aging in adult survivors of childhood medulloblastoma: long-term

neurocognitive, functional, and physical outcomes. Neuro Oncol.2011; 13 (5): 536-45.

- 12. Reddick WE, White HA, Glass JO, et al.: Developmental model relating white matter volume to neurocognitive deficits in pediatric brain tumor survivors. Cancer.2003; 97 (10): 2512-9.
- 13. Packer RJ, Meadows AT, Rorke LB, Goldwein JL, D'Angio G. Long-term sequelae of cancer treatment on the central nervous system in childhood. Medical and Pediatric Oncology 1987;15:241-253.
- 14. Ellenberg L, McComb JG, Siegel SE, Stowe S. Factors affecting intellectual outcome in pediatric brain tumor patients. Neurosurgery 1987;21:638-644.
- 15. Jannoun L, Bloom HJG. Long-term psychological effects in children treated for intracranial tumors. International Journal of Radiation, Oncology and Physics 1990;18:747-753.
- Barahmani N, Carpentieri S, Li XN, et al. Glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 polymorphisms may predict adverse effects after therapy in children with medulloblastoma. Neuro Oncol 2009; 11: 292–300.
- 17. Merchant TE, Conklin HM, Wu S, et al.: Late effects of conformal radiation therapy for pediatric patients with lowgrade glioma: prospective evaluation of cognitive, endocrine, and hearing deficits. J Clin Oncol.2009; 27 (22): 3691-7.
- 18. Ris MD, Packer R, Goldwein J, et al.: Intellectual outcome after reduced-dose radiation therapy plus adjuvant chemotherapy for medulloblastoma: a Children's Cancer Group study. J Clin Oncol.2001; 19 (15): 3470-6.
- 19. Thompson SJ, Leigh L, Christensen R, et al. Immediate neurocognitive effects of methylphenidate on learningimpaired survivors of childhood cancer. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:1802– 1808.

- 20. Cousens P, Waters B, Said J, et al.: Cognitive effects of cranial irradiation in leukaemia: a survey and meta-analysis. J Child Psychol Psychiatry.1988; 29 (6): 839-52.
- 21. Jankovic M, Brouwers P, Valsecchi MG, et al.: Association of 1800 cGy cranial irradiation with intellectual function in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. ISPACC. International Study Group on Psychosocial Aspects of Childhood Cancer. Lancet.1994; 344 : 224-7.
- 22. Reddick WE, Shan ZY, Glass JO, et al.: Smaller white-matter volumes are associated with larger deficits in attention and learning among long-term survivors of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer. 2006; 106 (4): 941-9.
- Kadan-Lottick NS, Zeltzer LK, Liu Q, et al.: Neurocognitive functioning in adult survivors of childhood non-central nervous system cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst; 2010; 102 (12): 881-93.
- 24. Silber JH, Radcliffe J, Peckham V, et al.: Whole-brain irradiation and decline in intelligence: the influence of dose and age on IQ score. J Clin Oncol. 1992; 10 (9): 1390-6.
- 25. Moore IM, Kramer JH, Wara W, et al.: Cognitive function in children with leukemia. Effect of radiation dose and time since irradiation. Cancer;1991: 68 (9): 1913-7.
- 26. Jansen NC, Kingma A, Schuitema A, et al.: Neuropsychological outcome in chemotherapy-only-treated children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol.2008; 26 (18): 3025-30.
- Peterson CC, Johnson CE, Ramirez LY, et al.: A meta-analysis of the neuropsychological sequelae of chemotherapy-only treatment for pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2008; 51 (1): 99-104.
- 28. Spiegler BJ, Kennedy K, Maze R, et al.: Comparison of long-term neurocognitive outcomes in young

children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with cranial radiation or high-dose or very high-dose intravenous methotrexate. J Clin Oncol . 2006;24 (24): 3858-64.

- 29. Kaemingk KL, Carey ME, Moore IM, et al.: Math weaknesses in survivors of acute lymphoblastic leukemia compared to healthy children. Child Neuropsychol. 2004; 10 (1): 14-23.
- Cohen ME, Duffner PK: Long-term consequences of CNS treatment for childhood cancer, Part I: Pathologic consequences and potential for oncogenesis. Pediatr Neurol. 1991; 7 (3): 157-63.
- Koppitz EM. The Bender gestalt test for young children. Vol. 11. New York: Grune and Stratton; 1975.
- 32. Raven JC, Court JH, Raven J. Coloured progressive matrices. Great Britain: Oxford Psychologists Press; 1990.
- Kamat VV. Measuring intelligence of Indian children. 4 th ed. Mumbai: Oxford University Press; 1967.
- Martin Schrappe, Alfred Reiter, Wolf-Dieter Ludwig, Jochen Harbott, Martin Zimmermann, Wolfgang Hiddemann et al. Improved outcome in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia despite reduceduse of anthracyclines and cranial radiotherapy: results of trialALL-BFM 90. BLOOD; 2000 : 95, 3310-22.
- 35. Precourt S, Robaey P, Lamothe I, Lassonde M, Sauerwein HC, Moghrabi A. Verbal cognitive functioning and learning in girls treated for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia by chemotherapy with or without cranial irradiation. Dev Neuropsychol 2002;21: 173-95.
- 36. Ahles TA, Saykin AJ, Furstenberg CT, Cole B, Mott LA, Skalla K, et al. Neuropsychologic impact of standarddose systemic chemotherapy in longterm survivors of breast cancer and lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:485-93.
- 37. Schagen SB, van Dam FS, Muller MJ, Boogerd W, Lindeboom J, Bruning PF.

Cognitive deficits after postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy for breast carcinoma. Cancer 1999;85:640-50.

- 38. Tchen N, Juffs HG, Downie FP, Yi QL, Hu H, Chemerynsky I, et al. Cognitive function, fatigue, and menopausal symptoms in women receiving adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:4175-83.
- Wefel JS, Lenzi R, Theriault R, Buzdar AU, Cruickshank S, Meyers CA. 'Chemobrain' in breast carcinoma?: a prologue. Cancer 2004;101:466-75.
- 40. Shilling V, Jenkins V, Fallowfield L, Howell T. The effects of hormone therapy on cognition in breast cancer. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2003;86:405-12.
- 41. Tannock IF, Ahles TA, Ganz PA, van Dam FS. Cognitive impairment associated with chemotherapy for cancer: report of a workshop. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:2233-9.
- 42. Ahles TA, Saykin AJ. Candidate mechanisms for chemotherapy-induced cognitive changes. Nat Rev Cancer 2007;7:192-201.
- 43. van Dam FS, Schagen SB, Muller MJ, Boogerd W, vd Wall E, Droogleever Fortuyn ME, et al. Impairment of cognitive function in women receiving adjuvant treatment for high-risk breast cancer: high-dose versus standard-dose chemotherapy. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90:210-18.
- 44. Scherwath A, Mehnert A, Schleimer B, Schirmer L, Fehlauer F, Kreienberg R, et al. Neuropsychological function in high-risk breast cancer survivors after stem-cell supported high-dose therapy versus standard-dose chemotherapy: evaluation of long-term treatment effects. Ann Oncol 2006;17:415-23.
- 45. Laack NN, Ballman KV, Brown PB, et al. Whole-brain radiotherapy and highdose methylprednisolone for elderly patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma: Results of North Central Cancer Treatment Group

(NCCTG) 96-73-51. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006;65(5):1429.

- 46. Worthley SG and McNeil JD, Leukoencephalopathy in a patient taking low dose oral methotrexate therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 1995;22(2):335.
- 47. Correa DD, DeAngelis LM, Shi W, et al. Cognitive functions in survivors of primary central nervous system lymphoma. Neurology 2004;62(4):548.
- 48. Fliessbach K, Urbach H, Helmstaedter C, et al. Cognitive performance and magnetic resonance imaging findings systemic after high-dose and intraventricular chemotherapy for primary central nervous system lymphoma. Arch Neurol 2003;60(4):563.
- 49. Hilverda K, Bosma I, Heimans JJ,et al. Cognitive functioning in glioblastoma patients during radiotherapy and temozolomide treatment: initial findings. J Neurooncol 2010;97:89.
- 50. Scheibel RS, Valentine AD, O'Brien S, et al. Cognitive dysfunction and depression during treatment with interferon-alpha and chemotherapy. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2004;16(2):185.
- 51. Castellon SA,Ganz PA, Bower JE, Petersen L,AbrahamL,Green-dale GA. Neurocognitive performance in breast cancer survivors exposed to adjuvant chemotherapy and tamoxifen. J Clin Exp Neuropsy 2004;26:955–969.
- 52. Brezden C, Phillips KA, Abdolell M, Bunston T, Tannock IF. Cognitive function in breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2000;18:2695–2701.
- 53. Tchen N, Ju"s HG, Downie FP, Yi Q-L, Hu H, Chemerynsky I, Clemons M et al. Cognitive function, fatigue, and in menopausal symptoms women receiving adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2003:21:4175-4183.
- 54. Bender CM, Sereika SM, Berga SL, Vogel VG, Brufsky AM, Paraska KK.

Cognitive impairment associated with adjuvant therapy in breast cancer. Psycho-Oncology.2006;15:422–430.

- 55. Phillips K, Ribi K, Sun Z, Stephens A, Thompson A, Harvey V et al. Cognitive function in postmenopausal women receiving adjuvant letrozole or tamoxifen for breast cancer in the BIG 1–98 randomized trial. Breast 2010;19(5):388–395.
- 56. Bender CMP, Sereika SMP, Brufsky AMMDP, Ryan CMP, Vogel VGMDM. HS, Rastogi PMD et al. Memory impairments with adjuvant anastrozole versus tamoxifen in women with earlystage breast cancer. Menopause 2007;15:995–998.
- 57. Hermelink K, Henschel V, Untch M, Bauerfeind I, Lux MP, Munzel K. Short term effects of treatment induced hormonal changes on cognitive function in breast cancer patients. Cancer 2008;113:2431–2439. 129.
- 58. Armstrong C, Ruffer J, Corn B, DeVries K, Mollman J: Biphasic patterns of memory deficits following moderatedose partial brain irradiation: neuropsychologic outcomes and proposed mechanisms. J Clin Oncol 1995, 13:2263–71.
- 59. Butler JM, Rapp SR, Shaw EG: Managing the cognitive effects of brain tumor radiation therapy. Curr Treat Options Oncol 2006, 7(6):517–23.
- Ljubimova NV, Levitman MK, Plotnikova ED, Eidus L. Endothelial cell population dynamics in rat brain after local irradiation. Br J Radiol. 1991;64(766):934–40.
- 61. Pena LA, Fuks Z, Kolesnick RN. Radiation-induced apoptosis of endothelial cells in the murine central nervous system: protection by fibroblast growth factor and sphingomyelinase deficiency. Cancer Res.2000;60(2):321– 7.
- 62. Belka C, Budach W, Kortmann RD, Bamberg M. Radiation induced CNS toxicity--molecular and cellular

mechanisms. Br J Cancer. 2001;85(9): 1233–9.

- 63. Verheij M, Dewit LG, Boomgaard MN, Brinkman HJ, van Mourik JA. Ionizing radiation enhances platelet adhesion to the extracellular matrix of human endothelial cells by an increase in the release of von Willebrand factor. Radiat Res. 1994;137(2):202–7.
- 64. Reinhold HS, Calvo W, Hopewell JW, van der Berg AP. Development of blood vessel-related radiation damage in the fimbria of the central nervous system. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1990;18(1):37–42.
- 65. Regine WF, Scott C, Murray KJ, Curran W: Neurocognitive outcome in brain metastases patients treated with accelerated-fractionation vs. accelerated-hyperfractionated radiotherapy: an analysis from Radiation therapy Oncology Group study 91–04. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001, 51(3):711–17.
- 66. Corn BW, Moughan J, Knisely JP, Fox SW, Chakravarti A, Yung WK, et al:Prospective evaluation of quality of life and neurocognitive effects in patients with multiple brain metastases receiving whole-brain radiotherapy with or without thalidomide on Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) trial 0118. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008, 71(1):71–8.
- 67. Aoyama H, Tago M, Kato N, Toyoda T, Kenjyo M, Hirota S. et al: Neurocognitive function of patients with brain metastasis who received either whole brain radiotherapy plus stereotactic radiosurgery or radiosurgery alone. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007, 68(5):1388-95.
- 68. Shibamoto Y, Baba F, Oda K, Hayashi S, Kokubo M, Ishihara S, et al:Incidence of brain atrophy and decline in Mini-Mental State Examination score after whole-brain radiotherapy in patients with brain metastases: aprospective study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008, 72(4):1168–73.

- 69. Aoyama H: Radiation therapy for brain metastases in breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer 2011, 18(4):244–51.
- 70. Abe E, Aoyama H: The Role of Whole Brain Radiation Therapy for the Management of Brain Metastases in the Era of Stereotactic Radiosurgery. Curr Oncol Rep 2012, 14(1):79–84.
- 71. Scheibel RS, Meyers CA, Levin VA. Cognitive dysfunction following surgery for intracerebral glioma: influence of histopathology, lesion location, and treatment. J Neuro Oncol 1996;30(1):61.
- 72. Peace KA, Orme SM, Padayatty SJ, et al. Cognitive dysfunction in patients with pituitary tumour who have been treated with transfrontal or transsphenoidal surgery or medication. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1998;49(3):391.
- 73. Farace E, Sheehan JM, Shaffrey ME. Majority of patients show neurocognitive improvement after initial surgical resection of primary brain tumors. Neuro Oncol 2006;8(4):478 (abst QL-17).
- 74. Li J, Bentzen SM, Renschler M, et al. Regression after whole-brain radiation therapy for brain metastases correlates with survival and improved neurocognitive function. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25(10):1260.
- 75. Torres IJ, Mundt AJ, Sweeney PJ, et al. A longitudinal neuropsychological study of partial brain radiation in adults with brain tumors. Neurology 2003;60(7): 1113.

- 76. Brown PD, Jensen AW, Felten SJ, et al. Detrimental effects of tumor progression on cognitive function of patients with high-grade glioma. J Clin Oncol 2006;24(34):5427.
- 77. Meyers CA, Hess KR, Yung WK, et al. Cognitive function as a predictor of survival in patients with recurrent malignant glioma. J Clin Oncol 2000;18(3):646.
- 78. Gondi V, Tolakanahalli R, Mehta MP, Tewatia D, Rowley H, Kuo JS, Khuntia D, Tom WA: Hippocampal-sparing whole-brain radiotherapy: A "how-to" technique using helical tomotherapy and linear accelerator-based intensitymodulated radiotherapy.Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010, 78(4):1244-1252.
- 79. Jean-Pierre P, Morrow GR, Roscoe JA, Heckler C, Mohile S, Janelsins M, et al. A phase 3 randomized, placebocontrolled, double-blind, clinical trial of the effect of modafinil on cancer-related fatigue among 631 patients receiving chemotherapy: A University of Rochester Cancer Center Community Clinical Oncology Program Research base study. Cancer 2010;116:3513-20.
- 80. Kohli S, Fisher SG, Tra Y, Adams MJ, Mapstone ME, Wesnes KA, et al. The effect of modafinil on cognitive function in breast cancer survivors. Cancer 2009;115:2605-16.

How to cite this article: Babu KG, Lakshmaiah KC, Sirsath NT et. al. Neurocognitive effects of cancer: a new surrogate end point. Int J Health Sci Res. 2014;4(4):166-179.

\*\*\*\*\*