

Short Communication

An Original Study on Anatomical Variations of Hypoglossal Canal

Meera Jacob¹, Ramakrishna Avadhani², Bindhu Nair³, Rani Nallathamby⁴, Meril Ann Soman⁴

¹Assistant Professor, ²HOD, ³Associate Professor, ⁴PG student, Department of Anatomy, Yenepoya Medical College, Mangalore, India.

Corresponding Author: Meera Jacob

Received: 23/10/2014

Revised: 21/11/2014

Accepted: 27/11/2014

ABSTRACT

Hypoglossal canal transmits hypoglossal nerve that supplies motor innervations to the tongue. The canal also contains venous plexus and an arterial branch leading to duramater. Studies on anatomical variations of hypoglossal canal was been a considerable interest to research workers because of their regional and racial importance. A total of 60 skulls were examined for the presence of duplicated canal and its clinical significance.

Key Words: Hypoglossal canal, Duplicate canal, Hypoglossal nerve

INTRODUCTION

Hypoglossal canal is a permanent component of skull in man and animals.^[1] Hypoglossal canal is also called as anterior condylar canal that transmits hypoglossal nerve, meningeal branch of ascending pharyngeal artery and an emissary vein from the basilar plexus. ^[2] Anthropological studies concerned with the nonmetric cranial traits have been increasing in frequency in the last ten years and was first studied by Wood Jones.^[3] Berry made a special study of nonmetric cranial variants including double hypoglossal canal. ^[4] Hypoglossal canal is of great clinical importance when taking into account certain pathological conditions like occipital bone fractures, intracranial and extracranial neoplasms and in congenital defects. ^[5,6] Present study is done to know the incidence of double hypoglossal canal and to draw significant conclusion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

About 60 human skulls obtained from the Department of Anatomy, Yenepoya Medical College were used for the purpose of this study. The skulls were observed for variations in the hypoglossal canal, the incidence of double hypoglossal canal, whether it was unilaterally or bilaterally present. Skulls were also closely inspected by the use of hand lens for any variant bony specules and extra foramina. The data thus obtained was tabulated and separated with respect to sides.

RESULTS

Out of 60 skulls studied, double hypoglossal canal was seen only in 12 skulls (in 4 bilaterally and in 8 unilaterally. Thus the incidence of this cranial variant was 20%.Out of these, It was bilateral in 6.6% cases (Fig1a) and unilateral in 13.3% cases(Fig1b). Bony spur within hypoglossal canal was seen unilaterally in 2 skulls (Fig2).The incidence if this variant was 3.33%. Table 1 shows 4types of combinations in variations of hypoglossal canal with its incidence.

Table1:- Type of	combinations	in	Hypoglossal	canal,	double		
canals with their incidence							

cultures with their incidence							
S.NO	VARIATIONS		NO.OF	INCIDENCE			
	RIGHT	LEFT	SKULLS				
1	SC	SC	46	76.6%			
2	DC	DC	4	6.66%			
3	SC	DC	6	10%			
4	DC	SC	4	6.66%			
SC Single could DC Deable could							

SC-Single canal, DC- Double canal,



Fig1a:- Double hypoglossal canal Bilaterally, 1b:-Double hypoglossal canal unilaterally



Fig 2a: Showing presence of bony spur in hypoglossal canal,

DISCUSSION

Cranial variants have been studied and being described in textbooks as rare or occasionally found and few have been utilised as anthropological markers. ^[7] Berry AC suggested that these cranial variants can

be used to calculate a distance statistics between population samples. ^[4] For population studies the trait should be genetically determined and should not show sex or side dependence. In humans it is difficult to assess the degree of genetic control. Since the human variants are analogous to those in mice, genetic background of nonmetric traits in humans can be strongly supported based on study of mice.^[8] In the present study, bilateral double hypoglossal canal was noted in 6.6% of dry skulls and unilateral double canal was found in 16.6% Of dry skulls. So the incidence of double canal in our study was 23%. Zaidi et al showed the incidence of double canal to be 12.5% (5% bilaterally and 7.5% unilaterally)^[9] Wyosocki J et al found double hypoglossal canal in 43% of human skulls. ^[10] Study conducted on human and mammalian species showed the incidence of double hypoglossal canal to be 43%. ^[10] Incidence of duplicated hypoglossal canal

either bilateral or unilateral was studied in different population^[4] as shown in Table2.

Table2:-Comparison of duplicated hypoglossal canal with other studies.								
Nigeria	Palestine	Palestine(modern)	Burma	Egypt	North	South	North India	Our study
56 skulls	54 skulls	18 skulls	51 skulls	250 skulls	America	America	53 Skulls	South India
					50 skulls	53Skulls		60 skulls
11.6%	7%	8.3%	9.8%	16.6%	24%	27%	17.9%	20%

A study conducted by Bhuller et al in 1998 reported that the hypoglossal canal was divided into two canals by a small bony spicule in 28.12% of cases. ^[11]

CONCLUSION

Anatomical variations of hypoglossal important for Anatomists. are canal Anthropologists and Clinicians. These non metric variants help us to study embryology without actually dealing with the embryos. Also the difference in incidences of these variants reflects genetic differences between the populations. Hypoglossal canal is important pathological clinically in conditions like occipital bone fractures, congenital defects and intra and extracranial neoplasms. Present study has given the incidence of duplicated hypoglossal canal in South Indian mandibles.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sisson S, Grossman JD (1945) The anatomy of the domesticanimals. 3 ed. W.B. Saundres Co., Philadelphia
- 2. Standring Susan. Gray's Anatomy. The Anatomical basis of clinical practice. 39th ed. Edinburg: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone. 2005, pp- 461.
- 3. Wood Jones F. The Non metrical morphological characters of skulls as criteria for racial diagnosis.1993-1994; IV.J Anat. (68): 96-108.

- 4. Berry AC. Factors affecting the incidence of non metrical skeletal variants.1975: J Anat.120:519-535.
- 5. Braun JP, Tournade A (1977) Venous drainage in the craniocervical region. Neuroradiology 13: 155-158
- 6. Lang J, Hornung G (1993) The hypoglossal channel and its contents in the posterolateral access to the petroclivalarea. Neurochorurgia, 36: 75-80.
- 7. Brothwell DR. The use of non-metrical characters of the skull in differentiating populations. Dtsch Ges Anthropol 1958;6:103-9.
- 8. Grüneberg H. The pathology of development: a study of inherited skeletal disorders in animals. New York: Wilev: 1963.
- 9. Zaidi SHH,Gupta R, Usman N.A study of hypoglossal canal in north Indian crania. J. Anat.Soc.India.2011;60(2):224-226.
- 10. Wysocki J, Kobryn H, Bubrowski M, Kwiatkowski J, Reymond J, Skarzynska B. The morphology of the hypoglossal canal and its size in relation to skull capacity in man and other mammal species. Folia Morphol. (Warsz). 2004; 63: 11–17.
- 11. Bhuller A, Sanudo JR, Choi D, Abrahams PH. Intracranial course and relations of the hypoglossal nerve: An anatomic study. Surg. Radiol. Anat. 1998; 20: 109–112.

How to cite this article: Jacob M, Avadhani R, Nair B et. al. An original study on anatomical variations of hypoglossal canal. Int J Health Sci Res. 2014;4(12):339-341.
