

Original Research Article

Clinical Profile and Short Term and Long Term Outcome of Childhood Haemolytic Uraemic Syndrome

Alpana Ohri^{1*}, Uma S Ali²

¹Assistant Professor, Dept. of Peadiatrics, ²Head of Division of Pediatric Nephrology Bai Jerbai Wadia Hospital for children, Parel, Mumbai

*Correspondence Email: dralpanaohri@yahoo.co.in

Received: 19/02//2013

Revised: 23/03/2013

Accepted: 26/03/2013

ABSTRACT

Objective- To evaluate the clinical and laboratory profile, short term and long term outcome of childhood haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS).

Methods- Retrospective study of 33 episodes in 30 patients of HUS over a 11 years. Data on demography, duration of oliguria, requirement for and duration of dialysis, proteinuria, and severe hypertension and CNS involvement was recorded. On follow up the frequency of residual CNS impairment, proteinuria, blood pressure, GFR and deaths were noted.

Results- The patients had a mean age of onset at 5.6 years, 3.6 years for D+ and 6.5 years for D-(p=0.04). Out of total 30 patients, 66% (20/30) were D- and 33% (10/30) D+. D- HUS had longer oliguria 24.7 days versus 9.4 days (p=0.03), dialysis16.4 versus 7.6 days (p=0.12) and hospital stay 36.4 versus 15.9 (p=0.05) than D+. Overall 50% had nephrotic range proteinuria which was more common in D- than D+ (65% versus 20%, p=0.02).

Conclusion- D- HUS was seen in 66% of cases and in older group and severe hypertension, proteiuria, and CNS involvement was common. They also had longer oliguria and more days on dialysis. Mortality was 20% and 73.6% of survivors had sequelae, proteiuria in 73%, hypertension in 63.5 %, eGFR less than 60 ml/min/m2 in 26.3%, CNS impairment in 21%. 82% of D- HUS had sequelae. *Key words-* Hemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS), renal failure, outcome

INTRODUCTION

The haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS) is characterized by the triad of thrombocytopenia, microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia and acute renal failure. ^[1] It is one of the leading cause of acute renal failure in children in India. ^[1] Two broad subgroups are recognized; diarrhea/dysentery related called D+ or

typical, the other has no relation to enteritis (D- or atypical). ^[2-5] D+ HUS is caused by virulent strains of Shigella dysenteriae that produce shigatoxin 1 or verocytotoxin producing E coli. ^[5] D- HUS is heterogenous and can be familial, drug induced or recurrent. It has been increasingly realized that besides the acute complications and mortality, HUS is known to cause considerable long term morbidity. Over the decades the epidemiology, management and outcome of HUS has changed considerably in different parts of the world. We undertook this retrospective survey to identify the clinical profile and long term outcome of HUS in western part of India.

Objectives

1. To evaluate the clinical profile and long term outcome of childhood hemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS)

2. To compare typical with atypical HUS with regards to severity of acute illness and long term outcome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective analysis was made of all children who were admitted with HUS in the nephrology division of Bai Jerbai Wadia Hospital for children over a span of 11 years (1999-2009). Hospital admission records, nephrology proformas, dialysis registers were reviewed to identify patients of HUS defined as the triad of microangiopathic hemolytic anaemia, thrombocytopenia and azotemia. Clinical and laboratory features on presentation (including typical [diarrhoeapositive, D+] or atypical [diarrhoeanegative, D-] presentation), clinical course, treatment and features on subsequent outpatient follow-up (1, 3, 6 and 12 months later), renal outcome on long term follow-up was recorded.

The episodes were categorized into 2 groups D+ and D-. Episodes which were preceded by diarrahoea/dysentery lasting more than 24 hrs in preceding 2 weeks were called D+ or diarrhoel HUS. D- Patients had no preceding history of diarrhoea. Clinical and laboratory profile of the two groups was reviewed to characterize the severity of renal failure as adjudged by level of serum creatinine at time of admission, maximum creatinine during the hospital stay, duration of oliguria,need for dialysis, duration of dialysis, duration of hospital

stay, presence of hypertension, severity of hypertension (defined as requirement of more than three antihypertensive drugs), presence of proteinuria and severity of (mild-when urine albumin proteinuria creatinine ration is less than 0.5, moderate when it is between 0.5-2, severe or nephrotic range when it is more than 2). Records were screened to identify presence or not of extra renal involvement like encephalopathy, cardiomyopathy and coagulopathy. Both groups were compared with regards to acute mortality (death during first 3 months of onset of symptoms) or during followup. Renal outcomes of both groups was compared to ascertain the numbers who were in mild (defined as eGFR of more than 60 ml/min/m2) or moderate to severe renal insufficiency (defined as eGFR of less than 60 ml/min/m2), those who had residual proteinuria, residual hypertension (blood pressure more than 95th percentile) or neurological sequele.

RESULTS

Short term outcome

A total 33 episodes of HUS in 30 children over 11 year period were included in the study. 69% (23/33) episodes were of atypical (D-) type. 13% (3) patients in Dgroup had recurrences. Mean age of presentation of entire group was 5.6 years (6.5 yr for D- versus 3.6 yr for D+). There were 2 females, all of whom had D- HUS. The total 3 boys had a relapsing course with a mean interval of 16 months (8 months-24 months) between the relapses. A seasonal variation in the incidence of D+ HUS was seen. Cases were more common in months of July and August. Except for one patient pneumococcal HUS, no other secondary causes of HUS were seen. None of patients had familial HUS. Prodromal features other than diarrahoea were similar in groups & included pallor, fever, vomiting, jaundice, and hematuria.

The mean serum creatinine at admission 3.0 mg/dL. The was corresponding values were similar in 2 groups (3.10 mg/dL in D- versus 2.90 mg/dL in D+). Peak serum creatinine of the entire cohort of HUS patients was 6.40 mg/dL (6.50 mg/dL in D- and 6.30 mg/dL in D+). Levels of hemoglobin, white blood count, platelet counts were comparable but D- patients had nephrotic range proteinuria more often than D+ counterparts (p=0.020). The mean duration of oliguria was considerably longer in the D- group than D+ group (24.5 days in D- versus 9.4 days in D+, p=0.03). Requirement for dialysis was similar in both groups (85% in D- versus 80% in D+). Patients in D- group required dialysis for twice the duration as compared to D+ group but the difference did not reach significance (16.4 days in D- versus 7.6 days in D+, p=0.12). Duration of hospital stay was significantly longer in the D- group than D+ group (35.2 days in D- versus 15.9 days

in D+, p=0.005). Neurologic impairment (74% in D- versus 40% in D+, p=0.14) and cardiovascular dysfunction (48% in Dversus 30% in D+, p=0.51) during acute phase of the disease was commoner in Dgroup but not significantly. As Tables 1 and 2 illustrate the details of clinical and laboratory features as an entire cohort together and comparative value in D+ and D- groups. There were 6 deaths in total (20%), 5 in D- group and 1 in post diarrhoeal group indicative of higher acute mortality rate in D- group. 2 deaths occurred during the acute phase before discharge from the hospital, 2 patients recovered renal function but died at home within 3 months of presentation due to unknown causes, 2 were late deaths due to CKD. Severe hypertension was seen in all who died and in only 10/24 of the survivors (p=0.05). Fatal cases had longer anuria 42 days than the survivors 23 days (p=0.05).

Table 1: Clinical features during the acute liness					
Features	D+	D-	Total	p-value	
Duration of Oligoanuria	9.4d	24.7d	19.6d	0.03	
Hypertension	60%	90%	80%	0.14	
Severe hypertension	30%	70%	53%	0.02	
Encephalopathy	75%	40%	63%	0.14	
Cardiomyopathy	50%	30%	43%	0.51	
Coagulopathy	35%	20%	30%	0.67	
Requirement for dialysis	100%	90%	93%	0.59	
Duration of Dialysis	7.6d	16.45d	13.5d	0.12	
Duration of Hosp. Stay	15.9d	36.4d	29.5d	0.17	

Table 1: Clinical features during the acute illness

Table 2: Lab inv	estigations dur	ing the acute illness

Parameters	D+	D-	Total	p-value
Hb (at admission)	6.66	6.03	6.24	0.3
TLC (at admission)	11.5	10.1	10.5	0.5
Plt (at admission)	0.58	0.80	0.73	0.1
Cr (at admission)	2.9	3.4	3.26	0.4
Cr. Max	6.3	7.4	7.0	0.3
Nephrotic range	20%	65%	50%	0.02
proteinuria				

Long term outcome

Follow up data was available 19 patients out of the initial cohort of 30 for a variable period of 32-120 months. (5 died during acute phase and 6 were lost to follow up). Overall 73.6% (14/19) of all HUS patients developed sequel. Proteinuria on follow-up was present in 73.6% (14/19), 83.3% of D-

43% of D+ (p=0.04). 63.5% (12/19) and patients had hypertension, 83% in D- and 28% in D+ (p=0.014). 52.6% (10/19) progressed to various stages of CKD. 21% (4/19) had residual neurological deficit, 25% in D- and 14% in D+. On multivariate analysis, no correlation was found between oliguria of more than 14 days, severe hypertension, severe proteinuria as risk factor for CKD. CNS sequele range from ADHD, spastic paraparesis, neuroregression, blindness, forgetfulness. The comparative longterm outcome of two groups is given in Table 3. In Table 4 the details of renal outcomes of two groups are compared.

Factors predictive for adverse long term outcome

On univariate analysis-duration of oliguria, presence of severe hypertension and duration of hospital stay were found to be significantly associated with long term outcome. On multivariate analysis none of the acute phase variables reached statistical significance.

Outcome of D- patients who were plasma pheresed

25% patients in D- group (5/20) were treated with plasmapheresis in addition to dialysis. 2 out of these 5 children died (1 after acute phase, 1 late death due CKD). All out of 5 survivors had residual 3 hypertension, 2/5 developed chronic renal insuffiency and had additional 1 development delay.

Table 3: Comparison of long term outcome				
Parameters	D+	D-	Total	p-value
Hypertension	2/7 (28%)	10/12 (83%)	12/19 (63.5%)	0.014
Proteinuria	3/7(43%)	10/12(83%)	14/19(73.6%)	0.04
CNS Sequele	1/7 (14%)	3/12 (25%)	4/19 (21%)	0.01

Table 3: Co	mparison o	f long terr	n outcome

Table 4: Details of the long term renal outcome in 30 pts of HUS				
Outcome	D+	D-	Total	
Acute Deaths	1	4	5/30 (16.6%)	
Late Deaths	0	1	1	
Lost to FU <3mts after onset	2	3	5/30 (16.6%)	
Complete renal recovery	3/7 (42.8%)	2/12(16.6%)	5/19 (26.3%)	
Stage 1 (GFR>90ml/min/1.73m2 but	2/7(28.5%)	2/12(16.6%)	4/19 (21%)	
HT/proteinuria/both)				
Stage 2	2/7(28.5%)	5/12(41.6%)	7/19 (36.8%)	
(GFR 60-90ml/min/m2				
Stage 3,4 and 5 (GFR<60/ml/min/1.73	0/7	3/12(25%)	3/19(15.8%)	
m2)				

DISCUSSION

The current concept divides childhood HUS into post diarrhoeal D+ HUS and non diarrhoeal or atypical D-HUS.^[2-5] D+ HUS accounts for >80% of cases in children. ^[3–13] Most cases of D+ HUS are caused by VTEC in developed and shigella dysentrae in countries developing nations. ^[15] For patients with D+ HUS, supportive therapy including dialysis is still the most effective treatment. ^[26, 27, 28] Previous reported studies predict a variable

prognosis. ^[3–13] with mortality of 5-10% in the acute phase and 25% risk of developing chronic kidney disease many years later. ^[16] The D+ patients in our study had acute mortality rate of 10% and 40% of survivors had sequelae after a variable follow up of 3.5-11 years Atypical HUS, in contrast, comprises a heterogeneous group of varied epidemiology, outcome. ^[14] pathophysiology and outcome. A number of previous publications have reports that D- HUS is associated generally with a greater

morbidity and mortality than D+ HUS, ^{[3–10} ^{,13},^{17]} as was also seen in our study (Table 2). However a few studies reported that outcome in D- HUS and D+ HUS is not significantly different and that D- is not invariably associated with a poorer prognosis than D+ HUS. ^[11,18,19] .Another large study by Fitzpatrick et al found that D- had a superior outcome in terms of mortality and sequel than D+ counterparts. The patients in their study had a milder clinical illness with only 21% requiring dialysis, which is far less than in the other series, ranging from 53 to 80%. ^[6, 8–10] However 92% of their patients had D+ HUS which could have contributed to overall better outcome. Our study is comparable to the studies of Renaud et al, ^[20] Neuhaus et al^[21] in having similar number of patients, a higher proportion of D- HUS and a similar clinical profile. The mortality and morbidity in terms of sequel were significant in both the studies and also in our study.

A unique finding in our study was the significantly high proportion of Dpatients. Two third of our patients with HUS were of D- subtype, which is higher than previously quoted, 13^{-1}

^{13,17,18}] except for an Italian study (34% D-HUS).^[22] The absence of D+HUS outbreaks and epidemics in our referral area partly accounts for the high percentage of patients with D- HUS. Another possibility is that population may be genetically our predisposed to disorders of complement dysregulation and antibody production putting it at a greater risk for non enteric form of HUS.^[23] None of our HUS patients were familial, 3 had a recurrent course and only had post pneumococcal subtype. This is at variance with studies from Taiwan, Spain [24, 25] and other parts of the world where post pneumococcal HUS constitutes a sizable chunk of D- patients. This boy had a pneumococcal empyaema, followed by a fulminant acute phase of HUS. He survived the acute phase but was left with residual nephropathy and hypertension.

Plasma exchange was not found useful in the management of D- patients in our study. We used plasmapheresis in only 5/20 of our D- patients. 2 out of these 5 patients, died, 2 had sequelae on followup. One patient was found to be in stage 4 CKD with severe hypertension and the other had residual proteinuria and hypertension. This is again at variance with a number of studies which have found plasma exchange to be a useful standard modality in the management of D- patients. ^[28-31] A possible explanation may be the delayed initiation of plasma exchange in our patients. At the time of study we use to offer plasma exchanges as a rescue therapy only to patients who failed to improve with renal replacement therapy and other supportive therapy by end of 2 weeks of admission and those who could afford it. Studies which endorse the use of plasmapheresis for D- HUS insist on initiating it very early on in the treatment course. We tried to identify factors in the acute phase which could predict prognosis. On univariate analysis, we found duration of oliguria, presence of severe hypertension and duration of hospital stay to be significantly associated with long term outcome. However on multivariate analysis none of the acute phase variables reached statistical significance. A number of studies have found anuria of more than 1 week or oliguia of more than 2 weeks to be a useful predicting factor. ^[32-34] Others have found neurological involvement, duration and thrombocytopenia, severity of high leucocyte count during acute phase in acute phase to be a strong determinant of adverse long term outcome. ^[21, 35, 36]

CONCLUSION

We conclude by saying that in the last decade, D- HUS was seen twice as frequently as D+ in our centre in western India. Childhood HUS was associated with overall mortality of 20% (16.6% acute phase deaths, 3.3% late deaths due to CKD) and 52% incidence of chronic renal insuffiency. developed persistent hypertension, 63% 68% residual proteinuria and 20% were left permanent neurological deficits. with Patients with atypical HUS had a more severe acute nephropathy & higher rates of residual hypertension, proteiuria & neurological sequelae as compared to children with typical disease.

REFERENCES

- 1. Date A, Unni JC, Raghupathy P et al. The pattern of medical renal disease in children in a south Indian hospital. Ann Trop Paediatr 1984;4(4):207-11
- Walker CL, Applegate JA, Black RE.Haemolytic-uraemic syndrome as a sequela of diarrhoeal disease.J Health Popul Nutr. 2012 Sep;30(3):257-61. Review.
- Constantinescu AR, Bitzan M, Weiss LS, Christen E, Kaplan BS, Cnaan A, Trachtman H. Non-enteropathic hemolytic uremic syndrome: causes and short-term course. Am J Kidney Dis. 2004 Jun;43(6):976-82
- 4. Trompeter RS, Schwartz R, Chantler C, *et al.* Haemolytic uraemic syndrome: an analysis of prognostic features. *Arch Dis Child* 1983;58:101-5.
- 5. Kaplan BS, Proesmans W. The hemolytic uremic syndrome of childhood and its variants. *Semin Hematol* 1987;24:48-160.
- 6. Milford DV, Taylor CM, Guttridge B, Hall SM, Rowe B,Kleanthous H. Haemolytic uraemic syndromes in the British Isles 1985-88: association with verocytotoxin producing Escherichia coli. Part 1: clinical and epidemiological aspects. *Arch Dis Child* 1990;65:716-21.

- 7. Fitzpatrick MM, Shah V, Trompeter RS, Dillon MJ, Barratt TM. Long term renal outcome of childhood haemolytic uraemic syndrome. *BMJ* 1991;303:489-92.
- Loirat C, Baudouin V, Sonsino E, Mariani-Kurdjian P, Elion J. Hemolytic-uremic syndrome in the child. *Adv Nephrol* 1993;22:141-68.
- Imoberdorf G, Bianchetti MG, Rossi E, Gugler E, Oetliker OH. Hämolytisch-urämisches Syndrom bei Kindern: Retrospektive über 19 Jahre. Schweiz Med Wochenschr 1993;123:1439-44.
- Tönshoff B, Sammet A, Sanden I, Mehls O, Waldherr R,Schärer K. Outcome and prognostic determinants in the hemolytic uremic syndrome of children. *Nephron* 1994;68:63-70.
- Kelles A, Van Dyck M, Proesmans W. Childhood haemolytic uraemic syndrome: long-term outcome and prognostic features. *Eur J Pediatr* 1994;153:38-42.
- 12. Siegler RL, Pavia AT, Christofferson RD, Milligan MK. A 20 year population-based study of postdiarrheal hemolytic uremic syndrome in Utah. *Pediatrics* 1994:94:35-40.
- 13. Wende-Fischer R, Hoyer PF, Offner G, Brodehl J. Hämolytischurämisches Syndrom im Kindesalter. *Monatsschr Kinderheilkd* 1996;144:526-533.
- 14. Kaplan BS. Another step forward in our understanding of the hemolytic uremic syndromes: tying up some loose ends. *Pediatr Nephrol* 1995;9:30-2.
- 15. Karmali MA. Infection by verocytotoxin-producing Escherichia coli. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1989;2:15-38.

- 16. Gagnadoux MF, Habib R, Gubler MC, Bacri JL, Broyer M.Long-term (15-25 years) outcome of childhood hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Clin Nephrol* 1996;46:39-41.
- 17. Fitzpatrick MM, Walters MDS, Trompeter RS, Dillon MJ,Barratt TM. Atypical (non-diarrheaassociated) hemolytic-uremic syndrome in childhood. J Pediatr 1993;122:532-7.
- 18. Siegler RL, Pavia AT, Hansen FL, Christofferson RD, CookJB. Atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome: a comparison with postdiarrheal disease. J Pediatr 1996;128:505-11
- 19. Mizusawa Y, Pitcher LA, Burke JR et al. Survey of haemolytic-uraemic syndrome in Queensland 1979-1995.Med J Aust. 1996 Aug 19;165(4):188-91
- 20. Renaud C, Niaudet P, Gagnadoux MF et al. Haemolytic uraemic syndrome: prognostic factors in children over 3 years of age. Pediatr Nephrol. 1995 Feb;9(1):24-9
- 21. Neuhaus TJ, Calonder S, Leumann EP. Heterogeneity of atypical haemolytic uraemic syndromes. Arch Dis Child. 1997 Jun;76(6):518-21
- 22. Gianviti A, Rosmini F, Caprioli A, *et al.* Haemolytic uraemic syndrome in childhood: surveillance and case-control studies in Italy. *Pediatr Nephrol* 1994;8:705-9.
- 23. Kavanagh D, Goodship TH, Richards A. Atypical haemolytic uraemic syndromeBr Med Bull. 2006;77-78:5-22. Epub 2006 Sep 11
- 24. Lee CS, Chen MJ, Chiou et al. Invasive pneumococcal pneumonia is the major cause of paediatric haemolytic-uraemic syndrome in Taiwan. Nephrology (Carlton) 2012;17(1):48-52

- 25. Giménez Llort A, Camacho Díaz JA, Vila Cots J, Vila Santandreu A, Jordán García Y, Palomeque Rico A, Suñol Capella M. Hemolyticuraemic syndrome. A review of 58 cases. An Pediatr (Barc). 2008 Oct;69(4):297-303. Spanish.
- 26. Michael M, Elliott EJ, Ridley GF. Interventions for haemolytic uraemic syndrome and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura.Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009 Jan 21;(1):CD003595. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003595.pub2. Review
- 27. Menne J, Nitschke M, Stingele R. Validation of treatment strategies for enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli O104:H4 induced haemolytic uraemic syndrome: case-control study. BMJ. 2012 Jul 19;345:e4565
- Robson WL. Haemolytic uraemic syndrome.Paediatr Drugs. 2000 Jul-Aug;2(4):243-52. Review.
- 29. Hollenbeck M, Kutkuhn B, Aul C, Leschke M, Willers R, Grabensee B. Haemolytic-uraemic syndrome and thrombotic-thrombocytopenic purpura in adults: clinical findings and prognostic factors for death and end-stage renal disease.Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1998 Jan;13(1):76-81.
- 30. Bertholet-Thomas A, Ranchin B, King LA, Bacchetta J, Belot A, Gillet Y, Collardeau-Frachon S, Cochat P. Post-diarrheal haemolytic uremic syndrome: when shall we consider it? Which follow-up?Arch Pediatr. 2011 Jul;18(7):823-30
- Camba L, Al-Hilali MM, Shulji MM, Joyner MV, Feest TG. Haemolyticuraemic syndrome with renal failure: the effect of plasmapheresis. Haematologica. 1985 Jul-Aug;70(4):341-4

- 32. Oakes RS, Kirkham JK, Nelson RD, Siegler RL. Duration of oliguria and anuria as predictors of chronic renalrelated sequelae in post-diarrheal hemolytic uremic syndrome.Pediatr Nephrol. 2008 Aug;23(8):1303-8.
- 33. Loirat C. Post-diarrhea hemolyticuremic syndrome: clinical aspects].Arch Pediatr. 2001 Sep;8 Suppl 4:776s-784s [Article in French]
- 34. Kavanagh D, Goodship TH, Richards A. Atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome. Br Med Bull. 2006;77-78:5-22. Epub 2006 Sep 11
- 35. Garg AX, Suri RS, Barrowman N et al. Long-term renal prognosis of diarrhea-associated hemolytic uremic syndrome: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. JAMA. 2003 Sep 10;290(10):1360-70.
- 36. Siegler RL, Pavia AT, Christofferson RD, Milligan MK. A 20-year population-based study of postdiarrheal hemolytic uremic syndrome in Utah.Pediatrics.1994 Jul;94(1):35-40.

How to cite this article: Ohri A, Ali US. Clinical profile and short term and long term outcome of childhood haemolytic uraemic syndrome . Int J Health Sci Res. 2013;3(4):17-24.
